

REPORT 8

PATTON STATE HOSPITAL

June 7-11, 2010

**THE HUMAN POTENTIAL CONSULTING GROUP
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA**

NOTE

The Court Monitor is responsible only for monitoring and providing an independent evaluation of Patton State Hospital's compliance with the Enhancement Plan.

The Court Monitor is not in any way responsible for the services provided at Patton State Hospital or for outcomes of these services for any individual resident at the facility during or following the tenure of the Enhancement Plan. Neither the Court Monitor nor his experts are in any way responsible for the administration of the facility, the day-to-day clinical management of the individuals served, clinical outcomes for any individual, staffing, outcomes for staff providing services at the facility or any other aspect of the operations of Patton State Hospital. All decisions regarding the facility, its clinical and administrative operations and the individuals it serves are made independently from the Court Monitor.

Table of Contents

C. Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning	21
1. Interdisciplinary Teams	22
2. Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Service Planning (WRP).....	34
D. Integrated Assessments	103
1. Psychiatric Assessments and Diagnoses.....	105
2. Psychological Assessments.....	125
3. Nursing Assessments	142
4. Rehabilitation Therapy Assessments	153
5. Nutrition Assessments	170
6. Social History Assessments	187
7. Court Assessments	193
E. Discharge Planning and Community Integration	196
F. Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services	211
1. Psychiatric Services.....	213
2. Psychological Services	242
3. Nursing Services	269
4. Rehabilitation Therapy Services	285
5. Nutrition Services	293
6. Pharmacy Services	299
7. General Medical Services.....	302
8. Infection Control	323
9. Dental Services	341

G. Documentation	353
H. Restraints, Seclusion, and PRN and Stat Medication	354
I. Protection from Harm	369
1. Incident Management	371
2. Performance Improvement	399
3. Environmental Conditions	414
J. First Amendment and Due Process	422

Acronyms used in Court Monitor reports:

AA	Alcoholics Anonymous
ABA	Applied Behavior Analysis
ACNS	Assistant Coordinator of Nursing Services
ACT	Administrative Clinical Team
AD	Administrative Directive
ADCAP	Audit-Driven Corrective Action Plan
ADR	Adverse drug reaction
AED	Anti-epilepsy drug
AIMS	Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale
A/N	Abuse/Neglect
A/N/E	Abuse/Neglect/Exploitation
ARNP, BC	Advanced Registered Nurse Practitioner, Board Certified
ASH	Atascadero State Hospital
ASI	Addiction Severity Index
ASL	American Sign Language
A-WRP	Admission Wellness and Recovery Plan
BCC	Behavioral Consultation Committee
BG	Behavior Guidelines
BMI	Body Mass Index
CA	Clinical Administrator
CAC	Cooperative Advisory Council
CAF	Corrective Action Form
CASAS	Comprehensive Adult Student Assessment Systems
CCA	Clinical Chart Auditing
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CDPH	California Department of Public Health
CET	Consistent Enduring Team
CEU	Continuing Education Units
CHF	Congestive heart failure
CIS	Clinical Information System

CIPRTA	Comprehensive Integrated Physical Rehabilitation Therapy Assessment
CM	Court Monitor
CON	Clinical Oversight Nurse
COPD	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
COT	Community Outpatient Treatment
COVR	Classification of Violence Risk
C-PAS	Central Psychological Assessment Services
CPR	Cardio-pulmonary resuscitation
CRG	Council Representative Group
CRIPA	Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act
CSW	Clinical Social Worker
CV	Curriculum vitae (i.e. resumé)
DBT	Dialectical behavioral therapy
DCAT	Developmental and Cognitive Abilities Team
DMH	Department of Mental Health
DOJ	Department of Justice
DPH	Department of Public Health
DPS	Department of Police Services
DSM-IV-TR	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders—Fourth Edition (Text Revision)
DTO	Danger(ousness) to others
DTR	Dietetic Technician, Registered
DTS	Danger(ousness) to self
DUE	Drug Utilization Evaluation
Dx	Diagnosis
EAP	Employee Assistance Program
EKG	Electrocardiogram
EMS	Emergency Medical Service
EP	Enhancement Plan
EPPI	Enhancement Plan Performance Improvement
EPS	Extrapyramidal symptoms
ETRC	Enhanced Trigger Review Committee
FRP	Forensic Review Panel

FSP	Family Services Program
FSSW	Family Services Social Worker
FTE	Full time employee, full time equivalent
GAF	Global Assessment of Functioning [Score]
H&P	History and Physical [Examination]
HAC	Hospital Advisory Council
HAI	Hospital-associated infection
HAR	Hospital administrative resident
HAU	Hospital Annual Update (training)
HIMD	Health Information Management Department
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
HSS	Health Services Specialist
HTN	Hypertension
IAPS	Integration Assessment: Psychology Section
IA-RTS	Integrated Assessment—Rehabilitation Therapy Section
IC	Infection Control
ICA	Intensive Case Analysis
ICF	Intermediate Care Facility
ICPT	Infection Control Psych(iatric) Tech(nician)
IDN	Inter-Disciplinary Note
IMRC	Incident Management Review Committee
INPOP	Individualized Nursing Physical/Occupational Plan
IPA	Integrated Assessment: Psychology section
IRC	Incident Review Committee
IT	Information Technology
LPS	Lanterman-Petris-Short [Act] (re involuntary civil commitment)
LTBI	Latent tuberculosis infection
LVN	Licensed Vocational Nurse
MAPP	My Activity and Participation Plan
MAR	Medication Administration Record
MDO	Mentally Disordered Offender
MFT	Marriage and Family Therapist

MIRC	Mortality Interdisciplinary Review Committee
MMSE	Mini Mental Status Examination
MNT	Medical Nutrition Training
MOD	Medical Officer of the Day
MOSES	Monitoring of Side Effects Scale
MPPN	Monthly Physician's Progress Note
MRMC	Medical Risk Management Committee
MRSA	Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus
MSH	Metropolitan State Hospital
MTR	Medication and Treatment Record
MVR	Medication Variance Report
NA	Narcotics Anonymous Nurse Administrator
N/A	Not applicable
NAC	North Activity Center
NAMI	National Alliance on Mental Illness
NCHPPD	Nursing care hours per patient day
NCMT	Nutrition Care Monitoring Tool
NCS	Neuropsychological Consultation Service
NEC	Nurse Executive Council
NEO	New Employee Orientation
NGA	New generation antipsychotic
NGRI	Not guilty by reason of insanity
NOC	Nocturnal shift
NOS	Not otherwise specified
NP	Nursing Policy; Nurse Practitioner
NPO	Nulla per Os (nothing by mouth)
NRT	Narrative Restructuring Therapy
NSH	Napa State Hospital
NST	Nutritional Status Type
ORIF	Open Reduction with Internal Fixation [procedure to set bones]
OSI	Office of Special Investigations

OT	Occupational Therapy/Therapist
P&P	Policy and Procedure/Policies and Procedures
P&T	Pharmacy and Therapeutics [Committee]
PAC	Psychopharmacology Advisory Committee
PBS	Positive Behavior Support
PC	Penal Code
PCP	Primary Care Physician
PFA	Psychology Focused Assessment
PHN	Public health nurse
PIO	Public Information Officer
PMAB	Prevention and Management of Assaultive Behavior
PMHNP	Psychiatric Mental Health Nurse Practitioner
PMOD	Psychiatric Medical Officer of the Day
PNED	Psychiatric Nurse Education Director
POS	Physician Order System
POST	Physical, Occupational, and Speech/Language Pathology
PPD	Purified Protein Derivative (skin test for tuberculosis)
PPN	Physician's Progress Note
PRA	Patient Rights Advocate
PRC	Program Review Committee
PRN	Pro re nata (as needed)
PSH	Patton State Hospital
PSR	Psychosocial Rehabilitation
PSS	Psychology Specialty Services
PSSC	Psychology Specialty Services Committee
PT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Physical Therapy/Therapist (in Sections D.4 and F.4) • Psychiatric Technician (in Sections D.3 and F.3)
R&R	Rule(s) and Regulation(s)
RBANS	Repeatable Battery for the Assessment of Neuropsychological Status
RD	Registered Dietician
RIAT	Rehabilitation Integrated Assessment Team
RMS	Record Management System; Recovery Mall Services

RN	Registered nurse
RNA	Restorative Nursing Assistant
R/O	Rule out
RR	Readiness Ruler (substance use services assessment tool)
S&R	Seclusion and Restraint
SA	Substance abuse; suicide attempt
SAAT	Substance Abuse Assessment Team
SAMHSA	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration
SB-5	Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scales, Fifth Edition
SC	Standards Compliance
SCD	Standards Compliance Department
SGA	Second-Generation Antipsychotic
SI	Suicidal ideation
SIB	Self-injurious behavior
SLP	Speech Language Pathology/Pathologist
SNF	Skilled Nursing Facility
SO	Special Order
SOCRATES	Stages of Change Readiness and Treatment Eagerness Scale
S/P	Status post
S/R	Seclusion/restraint
SRA	Suicide Risk Assessment
SRN	Senior/Supervising Registered Nurse
SRT	Supervising Rehabilitation Therapist
SSI	Supervising Special Investigator
TB	Tuberculosis
TD	Tardive dyskinesia
TEC	Treatment Enhancement Coordinator
TMET	Therapeutic Milieu Enhancement Team
TSI	Therapeutic Strategies and Interventions
TST	Tuberculin skin test
URN	Utilization Review Nurse
VRA	Violence Risk Assessment

VRAT	Vocational Rehabilitation Assessment Tool
WAIS-III	Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, Third Edition
WaRMSS	Wellness and Recovery Model Support System
WNL	Within Normal Limits
WRAP	Wellness and Recovery Action Plan
WRP	Wellness and Recovery Plan
WRPC	Wellness and Recovery Planning Conference
WRPT	Wellness and Recovery Planning Team

Introduction

A. Background Information

The evaluation team, consisting of the Court Monitor (Mohamed El-Sabaawi, MD) and four expert consultants (Vicki Lund, PhD, MSN, ARNP, BC; Ramasamy Manikam, PhD; Elizabeth Chura, MS, RN; and Monica Jackman, OTR/L) visited Patton State Hospital (PSH) from June 7-11, 2010 to evaluate the facility's progress regarding compliance with the Enhancement Plan (EP). The evaluators' objective was to develop a detailed assessment of the status of the facility's compliance with all action steps of the EP.

The progress assessment is outlined in this compliance report, which follows the exact sequence of steps as written in the EP. The report covers Sections C through J (Sections A and B contain definitions and principles that do not entail action steps requiring assessment). For each section, a brief narrative summarizes the findings of the entire section in terms of accomplishments and deficiencies. This is followed by details of compliance assessment. The assessment is presented in terms of:

1. The methodology of evaluation, summarized in one cell at the beginning of each section or major subsection (C.1, C.2, D.1 through D.7, E, F.1 through F.9, G, H, I and J);
2. Current findings focused on the requirements in each action step of the EP; this includes, as appropriate, the facility's internal monitoring data and the evaluators' monitoring data;
3. Compliance status in terms of the EP; and
4. Recommendations.

To reiterate, the Court Monitor's task is to assess and report on State facilities' progress to date regarding compliance with provisions of the EP, which was negotiated between the State and the United States Department of Justice. In fulfilling that responsibility, the Court Monitor makes recommendations for changes and enhancements to current practices that he and his team believe can help the facilities achieve compliance in the future. The evaluators' recommendations are suggestions, not stipulations for future findings of compliance. The facility is free to respond to the recommendations in any ways it chooses as long as it meets the requirements in every action step in the EP.

The Court Monitor's recommendations are guided by current generally accepted professional standards of care, current literature and relevant clinical experience. These recommendations are linked to the current stage of the facilities' implementation of the EP. At early stages, many of the recommendations are more focused on process deficiencies. As the facilities make progress in each area, the recommendations will be directed to clinical outcomes to individuals as required by specific provisions of the EP.

The EP mandates the findings of compliance, but it does not mandate the means by which the facilities' caregivers and administrators execute their responsibilities to individuals or the processes and tactics by which the facilities achieve compliance with the terms of the EP. As noted earlier in this report and in every previous report, a facility is in fact free to use any mechanisms it wishes to implement and achieve compliance with the terms of the EP. The California DMH, however, may impose certain statewide policies, practices and procedures to effect improvements in its hospitals.

B. Methodology

The Court Monitor's evaluation team reviewed a variety of documents prior to, during and after the on-site evaluation. The documents included but were not limited to charts of individuals, facility administrative directives, policies and procedures, the State's special orders, and facility's internal monitoring and key indicator data. The charts of individuals were selected both randomly and on the basis of adverse outcomes in specific areas. While on site, the evaluators also interviewed administrative, clinical staff and some individuals and observed a variety of therapeutic, rehabilitative and other service delivery processes. The data provided by the facility were verified on a random basis to assess accuracy and reliability.

The Court Monitor's compliance findings are a function of independent review and judgment, taking into consideration both quantitative and qualitative factors related to the requirements of the particular EP cell.

The Monitor's quantitative data is typically collected through chart reviews while on site. Sources of qualitative information include: a) chart reviews; b) staff interviews; c) observations of teams, programs and the environment of care; d) assessment of the stability of the facility's current structure and functions in terms of potential for self-sustenance and e) assessment of trends and patterns of change rather than single and/or temporary occurrences that are inconsistent with these patterns and trends. The qualitative assessment may result in compliance findings that vary from a finding that might be expected if based on quantitative data alone.

The Monitor may also evaluate his findings relative to data presented by the facility that results from its internal performance process audits. Such audits serve as quantifiable mechanisms for facility self-assessment of progress on EP requirements. The facility's data is often referenced or included in the body of the report, particularly when it illustrates concordance with the monitor's findings, variance from the monitor's findings, or a pattern over time.

In the ratings of compliance, the Monitor uses a scale of non-compliance, partial compliance and substantial compliance. A rating of non-compliance indicates lack of efforts and progress towards compliance. A rating of partial compliance falls short of the Court Monitor's threshold of compliance, but indicates progress and efforts towards achieving compliance. A rating of substantial

compliance indicates that the facility has met the Monitor's threshold of acceptable progress in implementing specific requirements of the EP.

C. Statistical Reporting

The following statistical abbreviations used in the report are defined as follows:

Abbreviation	Definition
N	Total target population
n	Sample of target population reviewed/monitored
%S	Sample size; sample of target population reviewed/monitored (n) divided by total target population (N) and multiplied by 100
%C	Compliance rate (unless otherwise noted)

D. Findings

This section addresses the following specific areas and processes, some of which are not covered in the body of the compliance report.

1. Key Indicator Data

Key indicators are tracked by each facility as a management tool that can provide an overview of system performance across a number of domains. The key indicators can serve as a "dashboard" for management in terms of summarizing general performance and assessing trends, but they cannot stand alone as a means of formulating judgment regarding facility performance and practices, including such judgments that are part of EP monitoring. The court monitor reviews the key indicators from a statistical point of view, taking into consideration relative clinical significance, but does not conduct independent validation of the data. At times the court monitor will comment upon changes that he believes require the facility's attention, but the absence of comment by the court monitor should not be construed as an indication that no attention, investigation or follow-up is necessary. Facility management should continuously review the key indicators to assess trends and patterns and use this data to identify the factors that contribute to changes in facility trends and patterns. Taken as a whole, the key indicators presented by PSH at the time of this review indicate stable or improved performance in a number of domains over the previous six months. The facility should confirm the data on the numbers of diagnoses of diabetes mellitus and of individuals receiving new generation

antipsychotics, as the data have diverged from their usual relationship.

2. Monitoring, mentoring and self-evaluation

- a. PSH has maintained significant progress in self-assessment and data presentation.
- b. Regarding the process of self-assessment, this monitor has requested the following:
 - i. For data demonstrating compliance rates of less than 90% with the main indicators, all facilities should provide the following information:
 - Comparison of the mean compliance rates for the main indicator in the entire review period from the current to the previous periods;
 - Comparison of the mean compliance rates for the main indicators and sub-indicators (if they were presented) from the last month of the current review period to the last month of the previous review period;
 - A review of the facility's assessment of barriers towards compliance; and
 - A plan of correction.
 - ii. For data demonstrating compliance rates of 90% or more with the main indicators, all facilities should provide comparison of mean compliance rates with the main indicators for the entire review period from the current to the previous periods.
 - iii. For data derived from the DMH standardized auditing tools, all facilities should present their data using the same configuration of indicators/sub-indicators for each corresponding requirement of the EP.
- c. PSH has utilized all available DMH standardized auditing tools for all applicable sections of the EP.
- d. The existing monitoring tools should be viewed as dynamic instruments that continually respond to realities of clinical practice and updates in current standards of care.

3. Implementation of the EP

- a. PSH has made additional progress towards substantial compliance with the EP. The pace of this progress has accelerated significantly during the past six months. The following are the critical factors:
 - i. An effective system of psychiatric and medical oversight that the facility's Medical Director, George Christison, MD, has put in place, specifically the leadership of Dr. Kornbluh in Psychiatry and Dr. Mach in Medical Services;
 - ii. The recent recruitment of additional highly qualified psychiatric practitioners;
 - iii. The leadership and coordination of the cognitive remediation program;
 - iv. The steady and competent hand of the Department of Standards Compliance;

- v. The maintenance of an effective training and mentoring program regarding the process of Wellness and Recovery Planning. The newly created role of Program-Wide Trainers is a significant refinement to strengthen the clinical mentoring component; and
- vi. The efforts of the entire clinical and administrative staff.
- b. The facility's progress in each domain is outlined in the corresponding section of the report.
- c. The hospital has successfully implemented the processes associated with the Risk Management system established by the Special Order. With only a few exceptions, WRPTs were found to be responsive to incidents, triggers, high risk status and Risk Management committees' recommendations. WRPs referenced incidents, triggers, and high risk status, and a focus of treatment was directed at the behavior or condition. Recommendations made by the ETRC were implemented, in process, or a rationale was provided for why implementation was no longer required. In acknowledgement of the foundational role of the Program Review Committee to the effective operation of the entire Risk Management committee structure, the hospital is providing Program Directors with additional hands-on guidance and mentoring.
- d. A well-functioning PSR Mall that meets the specific needs of the individuals is the centerpiece of the Wellness and Recovery Planning model. PSH has continued its progress towards this goal, including additional progress in ensuring that providers of Mall groups and individual therapy complete and make available to each individual's Wellness and Recovery Planning Team (WRPT) the DMH-revised PSR Mall Facilitator Monthly Progress Note prior to regularly scheduled WRPCs.
- e. Psychiatrists, as attending physicians, should run groups for individuals under their care. This issue should be resolved as soon as possible in all four facilities.
- f. As mentioned earlier by this monitor, all facilities should ensure that PSR Mall groups are commensurate with the cognitive levels of the individuals at the hospital. PSH has made significant additional progress in this area.
- g. All facilities must ensure that there is a single unified PSR Mall system that incorporates all psychosocial rehabilitation services that are included in the individuals' WRPs.
- h. Those facilities that care for individuals who are civilly committed, and who have no legal barriers to attending rehabilitation and skills training groups in the community, should provide those individuals with that opportunity. These groups should be included as a part of a virtual PSR Mall. The WRPs of these individuals should include specific reference to community PSR Mall groups in the interventions.
- i. PSH must complete the analysis that was initiated by the facility's Medical Director, George Christison, MD, of trends, patterns and contributing factors in patient-to-staff aggression. This analysis was an excellent start, but more inter-disciplinary work is needed to address additional factors. Informed by this analysis, corrective actions must be developed and implemented.
- j. At this stage, it is critical that facility leaderships, supported by the DMH, engage in an ongoing dialogue with clinical staff about their concerns regarding the relative burden of documentation requirements and, informed by that dialogue, make any

necessary adjustments to the current system of WRP/disciplinary documentation templates and self-auditing. The following principles should guide this process:

- i. Find a reasonable allocation of time between direct care and documentation of this care; and
- ii. Tailor and prioritize documentation templates and auditing requirements to evolving clinical realities.

This should be done in a way that seeks the collaboration and incorporates the contributions of clinical staff. Within this process, it is important to find ways to ensure that the clinicians can recognize the gains/improvements that have been accomplished via the EP process, some of which may not be readily apparent to the practitioners. Working within the DMH-established leadership channels, the Medical Directors should take ownership of this process and accomplish this task in a methodical, coordinated and responsible manner.

- k. The DMH should continue its successful efforts to standardize across all hospitals the Administrative Directives that impact these services.

4. Staffing

The table below shows the staffing pattern at PSH as of April 30, 2010:

Patton State Hospital Vacancy Totals as of April 30, 2010				
Identified Clinical Positions	Budgeted Positions	Filled Positions	Vacancies	Vacancy Rate
Assistant Coordinator of Nursing Services	5.00	5.00	0.00	0%
Assistant Director of Dietetics	5.00	5.00	0.00	0%
Audiologist I	1.00	1.00	0.00	0%
Chief Dentist	1.00	1.00	0.00	0%
Chief Physician & Surgeon	1.00	1.00	0.00	0%
Chief, Central Program Services	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Chief Psychologist	1.00	1.00	0.00	0%
Clinical Dietician/Pre-Reg. Clin Dietician	13.00	12.00	1.00	8%
Clinical Laboratory Technologist	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Clinical Social Worker	96.50	96.50	0.00	0%

Patton State Hospital Vacancy Totals as of April 30, 2010

Identified Clinical Positions	Budgeted Positions	Filled Positions	Vacancies	Vacancy Rate
Coordinator of Nursing Services	1.00	1.00	0.00	0%
Coordinator of Volunteer Services	1.00	1.00	0.00	0%
Dental Assistant	4.00	4.00	0.00	0%
Dentist	2.00	2.00	0.00	0%
Dietetic Technician	4.00	3.50	0.50	13%
E.E.G. Technician	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Food Services Technician I and II	104.00	104.00	0.00	0%
Hospital Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Health Record Technician I	8.00	8.00	0.00	0%
Health Record Techn II Spec	3.00	3.00	0.00	0%
Health Record Techn II Supv	1.00	1.00	0.00	0%
Health Record Techn III	1.00	1.00	0.00	0%
Health Services Specialist	21.00	21.00	0.00	0%
Institution Artist Facilitator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Licensed Vocational Nurse	68.00	68.00	0.00	0%
Medical Technical Assistant	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Medical Transcriber	5.00	5.00	0.00	0%
Medical Transcriber Sup	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Sr Medical Transcriber	2.00	2.00	0.00	0%
Nurse Instructor	5.00	5.00	0.00	0%
Nurse Practitioner	5.00	5.00	0.00	0%
Nurse Coordinator	12.00	12.00	0.00	0%
Office Technician	31.00	28.00	3.00	10%
Pathologist	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%

Patton State Hospital Vacancy Totals as of April 30, 2010

Identified Clinical Positions	Budgeted Positions	Filled Positions	Vacancies	Vacancy Rate
Pharmacist I	15.00	15.00	0.00	0%
Pharmacist II	1.00	1.00	0.00	0%
Pharmacist Services Manager	1.00	1.00	0.00	0%
Pharmacy Technician	11.00	11.00	0.00	0%
Physician & Surgeon	23.00	22.75	0.25	1%
Podiatrist	1.00	1.00	0.00	0%
Pre-Licensed Pharmacist	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Pre-Licensed Psychiatric Technician	8.00	8.00	0.00	0%
Program Assistant	8.00	4.00	4.00	50%
Program Consultant (RT,PSW)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Program Director	10.00	6.00	4.00	40%
Psychiatric Nursing Education Director	1.00	1.00	0.00	0%
Psychiatric Technician	684.20	684.20	0.00	0%
Psychiatric Technician Trainee	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Psychiatric Technician Assistant	34.00	34.00	0.00	0%
Psychiatric Technician Instructor	1.00	1.00	0.00	0%
Psychologist-HF, (Safety)	71.30	71.30	0.00	0%
Public Health Nurse II	2.00	2.00	0.00	0%
Radiological Technologist	1.00	1.00	0.00	0%
Registered Nurse	378.90	378.90	0.00	0%
Reg. Nurse Pre Registered	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Rehabilitation Therapist	89.50	88.25	1.25	1%
Special Investigator	4.00	4.00	0.00	0%
Special Investigator, Senior	3.00	3.00	0.00	0%

Patton State Hospital Vacancy Totals as of April 30, 2010				
Identified Clinical Positions	Budgeted Positions	Filled Positions	Vacancies	Vacancy Rate
Speech Pathologist I	1.00	1.00	0.00	0%
Sr. Psychiatrist (Spvr)	14.20	10.00	4.20	30%
Sr. Psychologist (Spvr and Spec)	23.50	23.00	0.50	2%
Sr. Psych Tech (Safety)	75.00	75.00	0.00	0%
Sr. Radiological Technologist (Specialist)	1.00	1.00	0.00	0%
Sr. Voc. Rehab. Counselor/Voc.Rehab. Counselor 2	2.00	2.00	0.00	0%
Staff Psychiatrist	93.40	93.40	0.00	0%
Supervising Psychiatric Social Worker	5.00	5.00	0.00	0%
Supervising Registered Nurse	3.00	3.00	0.00	0%
Supervising Rehabilitation Therapist	6.00	6.00	0.00	0%
Teacher-Adult Educ./Vocational Instructor	16.30	14.00	2.30	14%
Teaching Assistant	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%
Unit Supervisor	33.00	33.00	0.00	0%
Vocational Services Instructor (Landscp Gardn) (S)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%

Key vacancies include senior psychiatrists and Program directors.

E. Monitor's Evaluation of Compliance

The status of compliance is assessed considering the following factors:

1. An objective review of the facility's data and records;
2. Observations of individuals, staff and service delivery processes;
3. Interviews with individuals, staff, facility and State administrative and clinical leaders;
4. An assessment of the stability of the facility's current structure and functions in terms of potential for self-sustenance in order adequately meet the needs of individuals currently and in the future;
5. Assessment of trends and patterns of change rather than single and/or temporary occurrences of compliance or noncompliance that are inconsistent with these patterns and trends;

6. When no instance requiring implementation of a specific requirement was found in the baseline assessment, the compliance was rated as Not Applicable for this evaluation.
7. If any hospital maintains substantial compliance with any Section of the EP for eighteen consecutive months (four reviews), the CM's evaluation of that section will cease, and it will be up to DMH to provide oversight evaluation and ensure future maintenance. Thus, DMH should be prepared to assume this responsibility in terms of trained personnel to provide needed oversight.

F. Next Steps

1. The Court Monitor's team is scheduled to reevaluate Patton State Hospital December 6-10, 2010.
2. The Court Monitor's team is scheduled to tour Napa State Hospital July 19-23, 2010 for a follow-up evaluation.
3. All compliance reports should be reviewed and utilized, as applicable, by all facilities to guide implementation efforts regardless of the schedule of facility-specific assessments.

C. Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning	
<p>Each State hospital shall provide coordinated, comprehensive, individualized protections, services, supports, and treatments (collectively "therapeutic and rehabilitation services") for the individuals it serves, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. In addition to implementing the therapeutic and rehabilitation planning provisions set forth below, each State hospital shall establish and implement standards, policies, and practices to ensure that therapeutic and rehabilitation service determinations are consistently made by an interdisciplinary team through integrated therapeutic and rehabilitation service planning and embodied in a single, integrated therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan.</p>	<p>Summary of Progress:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PSH has achieved substantial compliance with all requirements of Section C.1. 2. PSH has maintained an adequate system of mentoring and training WRPTs in the process of Wellness and Recovery Planning, including establishing the role of Program-Wide Trainers to strengthen the mentoring component. This system is sufficient to meet the future needs of the facility in this area. 3. PSH has achieved substantial compliance with most of the requirements of Section C.2. In this regard, the facility has made significant progress in the following areas: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessment of individuals with cognitive impairments; • Provision of cognitive remediation interventions to meet the needs of these individuals (for example, 13 new cognitive remediation groups were added during this review period); • Addressing the needs of individuals with seizure disorders; and • Addressing the needs of individuals with substance use disorders. 4. The facility has recruited a highly qualified psychiatrist to direct its substance use services. 5. There has been a significant increase in the Mall group scheduled hours and attended hours in the 11-20 hour category. 6. Most disciplines now are meeting or exceeding their scheduled Mall group facilitation hours. 7. Mall group cancellation has been reduced significantly. 8. There has been significant improvement in the organization and operation of supplemental activities with increases in the number of hours and activities offered, and motivational strategies to improve participation.

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

1. Interdisciplinary Teams		
C.1	The interdisciplinary team's membership shall be dictated by the particular needs and strengths of the individual in the team's care. At a minimum, each State Hospital shall ensure that the team shall:	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Gari-Lyn Richardson, Director, Standards Compliance 2. George Christison, MD, Acting Medical Director <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PSH Clinical Chart Auditing Form summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 2. PSH WRP Observation Monitoring summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 3. PSH WRPT Facilitator Observation Monitoring Form summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 4. PSH data regarding staffing ratios on admissions and long-term units (November 2009-April 2010) <p><u>Observed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. WRPC (Program I, unit 74) for quarterly review of TMH 2. WRPC (Program I, unit U05) for quarterly review of SAN 3. WRPC (Program IV, unit 34) for monthly review of MT 4. WRPC (Program IV, unit 37) for annual review of DL 5. WRPC (Program V, unit N23) for monthly review of MLJ 6. WRPC (Program V, unit N23) for monthly review of TM 7. WRPC (Program VI, unit 71) for 14-day review of DBW 8. WRPC (Program VI, unit 71) for 14-day review of TW 9. WRPC (Program VI, unit EB10) for monthly review of CCH 10. WRPC (Program VI, unit EB02) for 14-day review of DC 11. WRPC (Program VII, unit 73) for monthly review of STJ 12. WRPC (Program VIII, unit N21) for monthly review of VA
C.1.a	Have as its primary objective the provision of individualized, integrated therapeutic and	Current findings on previous recommendations:

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

rehabilitation services that optimize the individual's recovery and ability to sustain himself/herself in the most integrated, appropriate setting based on the individual's strengths and functional and legal status and support the individual's ability to exercise his/her liberty interests, including the interests of self determination and independence.

Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:

- Provide a summary outline of any changes in WRP training and mentoring activities provided to the WRPTs during the reporting period.
- Continue to monitor this requirement.

Findings:

The following summarizes the facility's actions during this review period:

1. Phase II WRP training (as described in the previous report) was continued. This training was initially intended for all WRPT members. However, in an effort to ensure time efficiency for clinicians, the facility provided this training to WRPT members who were identified by supervisors or program management (or staff members in the newly created role of Program-Wide Trainer) as needing the training. The facility presented data regarding number of staff who received this training, but did not have data regarding the number of staff who required the training. The following is a summary of training data regarding number of staff competing this training:

Module	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	April
Present Status	45	41	56	46	32	50
Foci, Objectives and Interventions	36	35	36	49	30	56
Life Goal/Barriers to Discharge	36	32	49	47	33	52
Total	117	108	141	142	95	158

2. The facility continued computer-based Focus 6 training for nursing staff. During the previous review period, 68 RNs completed this training with the idea that they would assist with generalization of their skills to other nurses in their area. However, the facility recognized that many nurses required additional help and additional training was provided in February 2010 on a referral basis.

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>To complement this training, sample Focus 6/nursing treatment plans for 20 common medical conditions, prepared in a format corresponding with EP requirements, were developed and posted on the Patton intranet in February. Drafts for an additional set of conditions were initiated.</p> <p>The facility presented data regarding number of nursing staff who completed the training but did not have information regarding the number of staff who required the training. The following is a summary of the training data:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Nov-Jan</th> <th>Feb</th> <th>Mar</th> <th>April</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Focus 6 Training</td> <td>0</td> <td>73</td> <td>116</td> <td>67</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>3. WRPC mentoring was continued as described in the previous report. However, due to clinical demands, the number of mentors had to be decreased from 12 to nine. Mentors were discipline seniors or experienced unit clinicians (four MDs, four PhDs and one Social Worker). Two all-mentor meetings were held during the reporting period to discuss common issues and to ensure consistency of approach and standards. Starting in January 2010, 16 teams across programs were mentored. These teams were selected based on the following factors: a) presence of a newly hired psychiatrist, and/or b) did not receive mentoring in the previous reporting period, and/or c) were identified by Master Trainers as having particular need for mentoring.</p> <p>4. In addition to the above activities, the facility established a new role of Program-Wide Trainer (PWT). This was intended to provide direct coaching and feedback to WRPTs on specific WRP documents in the PWTs' assigned programs. While "Phase II" training focused on documenting components of the WRP using WaRMSS, the PWT process prioritizes clinical content. Eight trainers were selected from the disciplines of social work, rehabilitation therapy and psychology. PWTs</p>		Nov-Jan	Feb	Mar	April	Focus 6 Training	0	73	116	67
	Nov-Jan	Feb	Mar	April								
Focus 6 Training	0	73	116	67								

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>reviewed documents and provided notes regarding needed corrections and then reviewed the changes made by the teams. Additionally, the PWTs acted as resources for WRPT members with questions regarding the correct completion of the WRP document. PWTs also assisted with the provision of the computer-lab based training. This facilitated consistency between what was being trained in the computer lab and what was being coached on the units.</p> <p>Using the DMH WRP Clinical Chart Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 11% of the quarterly and annual WPRCs held each month (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Assume primary responsibility for the individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation services, and ensure the provision of competent, necessary and appropriate psychiatric and medical care.</i></td> <td>94%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Treatment, rehabilitation and enrichment services are goal-directed, individualized and informed by a thorough knowledge of the individual's psychiatric, medical and psychosocial history and previous response to such services.</i></td> <td>93%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance since the previous review period:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Mean compliance rate</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>83%</td> <td>94%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>62%</td> <td>93%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Other findings: The monitor and his experts attended 12 WPRCs. The meetings showed</p>	1.	<i>Assume primary responsibility for the individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation services, and ensure the provision of competent, necessary and appropriate psychiatric and medical care.</i>	94%	2.	<i>Treatment, rehabilitation and enrichment services are goal-directed, individualized and informed by a thorough knowledge of the individual's psychiatric, medical and psychosocial history and previous response to such services.</i>	93%		Previous period	Current period	Mean compliance rate			1.	83%	94%	2.	62%	93%
1.	<i>Assume primary responsibility for the individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation services, and ensure the provision of competent, necessary and appropriate psychiatric and medical care.</i>	94%																		
2.	<i>Treatment, rehabilitation and enrichment services are goal-directed, individualized and informed by a thorough knowledge of the individual's psychiatric, medical and psychosocial history and previous response to such services.</i>	93%																		
	Previous period	Current period																		
Mean compliance rate																				
1.	83%	94%																		
2.	62%	93%																		

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>further progress in the overall process of the team meetings, which was sufficient to meet substantial compliance with this requirement. However, the facility should correct the deficiencies listed in C.2.e and C.2.f.iii to ensure that the individuals' needs are adequately met.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide an update of WRP training and mentoring activities provided to the WRPTs during the reporting period. 2. Continue to monitor this requirement. 			
C.1.b	Be led by a clinical professional who is involved in the care of the individual.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Observation Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 20% of the quarterly and annual WRPCs held each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Each team is led by a clinical professional who is involved in the care of the individual.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>The facility also used the DMH WRPT Facilitator Observation Monitoring</p>	1.	<i>Each team is led by a clinical professional who is involved in the care of the individual.</i>	100%
1.	<i>Each team is led by a clinical professional who is involved in the care of the individual.</i>	100%			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Form to assess its compliance, based on an average sample of 78% of the required observations (two WRPC observations per team per month) during the review period:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>The team psychiatrist was present.</i></td> <td>87%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>The team facilitator encouraged the participation of all disciplines present.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>The team facilitator ensured the "Present Status" section in the case formulation was meaningfully updated.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>The team facilitator ensured that the interventions were linked to the objectives.</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated maintenance of a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for items 2 and 3 and the following changes in compliance for items 1 and 4:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">Mean compliance rate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>88%</td> <td>87%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>87%</td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Compliance rate in last month of period</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>88%</td> <td>95%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	1.	<i>The team psychiatrist was present.</i>	87%	2.	<i>The team facilitator encouraged the participation of all disciplines present.</i>	100%	3.	<i>The team facilitator ensured the "Present Status" section in the case formulation was meaningfully updated.</i>	100%	4.	<i>The team facilitator ensured that the interventions were linked to the objectives.</i>	97%		Previous period	Current period	Mean compliance rate			1.	88%	87%	4.	87%	97%	Compliance rate in last month of period			1.	88%	95%
1.	<i>The team psychiatrist was present.</i>	87%																														
2.	<i>The team facilitator encouraged the participation of all disciplines present.</i>	100%																														
3.	<i>The team facilitator ensured the "Present Status" section in the case formulation was meaningfully updated.</i>	100%																														
4.	<i>The team facilitator ensured that the interventions were linked to the objectives.</i>	97%																														
	Previous period	Current period																														
Mean compliance rate																																
1.	88%	87%																														
4.	87%	97%																														
Compliance rate in last month of period																																
1.	88%	95%																														
C.1.c	Function in an interdisciplinary fashion.	Current findings on previous recommendation:																														

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Observation Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 20% of the quarterly and annual WRPCs held each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Each team functions in an interdisciplinary fashion.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	2.	<i>Each team functions in an interdisciplinary fashion.</i>	99%
2.	<i>Each team functions in an interdisciplinary fashion.</i>	99%			
C.1.d	Assume primary responsibility for the individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation services, and ensure the provision of competent, necessary, and appropriate psychiatric and medical care.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Clinical Chart Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 11% of the quarterly and annual WRPs due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>The WRPT assumes primary responsibility for the</i></td> <td>94%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>The WRPT assumes primary responsibility for the</i>	94%
1.	<i>The WRPT assumes primary responsibility for the</i>	94%			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation services, and ensures the provision of competent, necessary, and appropriate psychiatric and medical care.</i></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 83% in the previous review period.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>		<i>individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation services, and ensures the provision of competent, necessary, and appropriate psychiatric and medical care.</i>	
	<i>individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation services, and ensures the provision of competent, necessary, and appropriate psychiatric and medical care.</i>				
C.1.e	Ensure that each member of the team participates appropriately in competently and knowledgeably assessing the individual on an ongoing basis and in developing, monitoring, and, as necessary, revising the therapeutic and rehabilitation services.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Observation Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 20% of the quarterly and annual WRPCs held each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Each member of the team participates appropriately in competently and knowledgeably assessing the individual on an ongoing basis and in developing, monitoring, and, as necessary revising the therapeutic and rehabilitation services.</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	3.	<i>Each member of the team participates appropriately in competently and knowledgeably assessing the individual on an ongoing basis and in developing, monitoring, and, as necessary revising the therapeutic and rehabilitation services.</i>	97%
3.	<i>Each member of the team participates appropriately in competently and knowledgeably assessing the individual on an ongoing basis and in developing, monitoring, and, as necessary revising the therapeutic and rehabilitation services.</i>	97%			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
C.1.f	<p>Ensure that assessment results and, as clinically relevant, consultation results, are communicated to the team members, along with the implications of those results for diagnosis, therapy and rehabilitation by no later than the next review.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: PSH used the DMH WRP Observation Monitoring Form to assess its compliance. The mean compliance rate was 99% for the review period. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
C.1.g	<p>Be responsible for the scheduling and coordination of assessments and team meetings, the drafting of integrated treatment plans, and the scheduling and coordination of necessary progress reviews.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Observation Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 20% of the quarterly and annual WRPCs held each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p>

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>The team identifies someone to be responsible for the scheduling and coordination of assessments and team meetings, the drafting of integrated treatment plans, and the scheduling and coordination of necessary progress reviews.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	5.	<i>The team identifies someone to be responsible for the scheduling and coordination of assessments and team meetings, the drafting of integrated treatment plans, and the scheduling and coordination of necessary progress reviews.</i>	100%															
5.	<i>The team identifies someone to be responsible for the scheduling and coordination of assessments and team meetings, the drafting of integrated treatment plans, and the scheduling and coordination of necessary progress reviews.</i>	100%																		
C.1.h	<p>Consist of a stable core of members, including at least the individual served; the treating psychiatrist, treating psychologist, treating rehabilitation therapist, the treating social worker; registered nurse and psychiatric technician who know the individual best; and one of the individual's teachers (for school-age individuals), and, as appropriate, the individual's family, guardian, advocates, attorneys, and the pharmacist and other staff.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue efforts to increase attendance of WRPT members at WRPCs.</p> <p>Findings: PSH presented core WRPT member attendance data based on an average sample of 20% of quarterly and annual WRPCs held during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous review period</th> <th>Current review period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Individual</td> <td>85%</td> <td>88%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Psychiatrist</td> <td>88%</td> <td>87%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Psychologist</td> <td>77%</td> <td>89%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Social Worker</td> <td>73%</td> <td>86%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rehabilitation Therapist</td> <td>70%</td> <td>90%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Previous review period	Current review period	Individual	85%	88%	Psychiatrist	88%	87%	Psychologist	77%	89%	Social Worker	73%	86%	Rehabilitation Therapist	70%	90%
	Previous review period	Current review period																		
Individual	85%	88%																		
Psychiatrist	88%	87%																		
Psychologist	77%	89%																		
Social Worker	73%	86%																		
Rehabilitation Therapist	70%	90%																		

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Registered Nurse</td> <td>96%</td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Psychiatric Technician</td> <td>85%</td> <td>96%</td> </tr> </table>	Registered Nurse	96%	98%	Psychiatric Technician	85%	96%		<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>																		
Registered Nurse	96%	98%																										
Psychiatric Technician	85%	96%																										
C.1.i	<p>Not include any core treatment team members with a case load exceeding 1:15 in admission teams (new admissions of 90 days or less) and, on average, 1:25 in all other teams at any point in time.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure compliance with the required ratios on the admission and long-term units. • Provide comparative data from review period to review period regarding case loads on both the admission and long-term units. <p>Findings: The facility provided the following data on average case load ratios:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous review period</th> <th>Current review period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: center;">Admission Units</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MDs</td> <td>1:16</td> <td>1:15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PhDs</td> <td>1:17</td> <td>1:15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SWs</td> <td>1:15</td> <td>1:15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RTs</td> <td>1:16</td> <td>1:15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RNs</td> <td>1:6</td> <td>1:6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PTs</td> <td>1:3</td> <td>1:3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Previous review period	Current review period	Admission Units			MDs	1:16	1:15	PhDs	1:17	1:15	SWs	1:15	1:15	RTs	1:16	1:15	RNs	1:6	1:6	PTs	1:3	1:3
	Previous review period	Current review period																										
Admission Units																												
MDs	1:16	1:15																										
PhDs	1:17	1:15																										
SWs	1:15	1:15																										
RTs	1:16	1:15																										
RNs	1:6	1:6																										
PTs	1:3	1:3																										

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous review period</th> <th>Current review period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: center;">Long-Term Units</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MDs</td> <td>1:25</td> <td>1:25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PhDs</td> <td>1:28</td> <td>1:26</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SWs</td> <td>1:25</td> <td>1:25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RTs</td> <td>1:28</td> <td>1:27</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RNs</td> <td>1:8</td> <td>1:8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PTs</td> <td>1:3</td> <td>1:3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>		Previous review period	Current review period	Long-Term Units			MDs	1:25	1:25	PhDs	1:28	1:26	SWs	1:25	1:25	RTs	1:28	1:27	RNs	1:8	1:8	PTs	1:3	1:3
	Previous review period	Current review period																								
Long-Term Units																										
MDs	1:25	1:25																								
PhDs	1:28	1:26																								
SWs	1:25	1:25																								
RTs	1:28	1:27																								
RNs	1:8	1:8																								
PTs	1:3	1:3																								
C.1.j	Not include staff that is not verifiably competent in the development and implementation of interdisciplinary wellness and recovery plans.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Same as C.1.a through C.1.f.</p> <p>Findings: Same as C.1.a through C.1.f.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>																								

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

2. Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Service Planning (WRP)	
<p>Each State hospital shall develop and implement policies and protocols regarding the development of therapeutic and rehabilitation service plans, referred to as "Wellness and Recovery Plans" [WRP]) consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, to ensure that:</p>	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Allison Pate, PhD, Senior Supervising Psychologist 2. Andrew Blaine, MD, Staff Psychiatrist 3. Barbara Emmons, LCSW 4. Beverly Monroe-Searcy, RT 5. Brian Starck-Riley, Assistant Director of Nutrition Services 6. Charles Ekokobe, US, Unit 34 7. Chris Keierleber, Senior Rehabilitation Therapist 8. Darryl Udell, PT 9. David Haimson, PhD, Chief of Psychology 10. Demetria Porter, RN 11. Denise Wright, PT 12. Dien X. Mach, MD, Chief Physician and Surgeon 13. Ernie Giron, By Choice staff 14. Floyd Jackson, RT 15. Gabe Mejia, LCSW, Social Worker 16. Gari-Lyn Richardson, Director, Standards Compliance 17. George Christison, MD, Acting Medical Director 18. Greg Siples, Director of Rehabilitation Therapy Services 19. Helga Thordarson, PhD, Senior Supervising Psychologist 20. Hope Marriott, LCSW, Assistant to Clinical Administrator 21. Jacqueline Doss-Haynes, Senior Rehabilitation Therapist 22. Jason Rowden, PhD, Acting Senior Psychologist 23. Jonathan Meyer, MD, Staff Psychiatrist, Director of Substance Abuse Services 24. Joy Tilton, MD, Psychiatrist 25. Kelly Hunsicker, PhD, Psychologist 26. Kevin Garland, Supplemental Activities Coordinator 27. Mark Richards, PT, By Choice Assistant Coordinator 28. Mark Williams, PhD, PBS Team member

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>29. Melanie Byde, PhD, Mall Director 30. Michael Gomes, Senior Rehabilitation Therapist 31. Michael Sewell, RN, Shift Lead 32. Mona Mosk, PsyD, Psychologist 33. Monica Brieitel, PT 34. Olayinka Kamson, MD, Psychiatrist 35. Rachel Strydom, LCSW, Supervising Social Worker 36. Ray Manuel, PT 37. Rebecca Kornbluh, MD, Acting Chief of Psychiatry 38. Renata Geyer, Senior Rehabilitation Therapist 39. Sarah Gutierrez, Acting Senior Rehabilitation Therapist 40. Senen Castro, RN 41. Stan Hydingler, Senior Rehabilitation Therapist 42. Steve Berman, Ph.D., By Choice Coordinator 43. Susan Velasquez, PhD, PSSC Coordinator 44. Tai Kim, Director of Nutrition Services</p> <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <p>1. The charts of the following 136 individuals: AB, ADH, AG, AH, AIR, AKA, ALA, ALG, AMH, AR, BC, BLM, BR, CBA, CCH, CCK, CED, CEH, CES, CG, CH, CJS, CK, CMT, CR, CRH, CSC, CV, CWC, DCG, DDR, DE, DEA, DEB, DH, DJG, DJU, DLJ, DM, DRB, DWH, EAL, ER, ET, EWH, FGP, FJ, FLB, FS, GB, GD, GF, GH, GM, GNF, GW, HJA, IJ, JAH, JAS, JDM, JE, JG, JGC, JH, JHM, JL, JLB, JLO, JLS, JM-1, JM-2, JMP, JO, JRP, JS-1, JS-2, JSC, KA, KAM, KDE, KE, KKE, LAB, LJ, LJP, LM, LW, MB, MC, MEJ, MG, MLB, MLD, MLS, MP, MRB, MSB, MT, MVL, NAC, NB, NJG, NM, NNS, NT, OB, ODH, OV, PH, PLI, RAJ, RDW, REP, RH, RJ, RNM, RRA, SC, SD, SJT, SL, SLC, SPE, TG, TH, TM, TMM, TS, TT, VM, VPF, WGA, WHS, WM and WMM</p> <p>2. One WRP per team for the following 66 individuals: AIR, ALA, BCP, BLW, BS, CC, CDT, CG, CGG, CH, CNO, DB-1, DB-2, DLJ, DRB, DRM, EC, JAF, JAH, JAM, JD, JG, JJJ, JJM, JKH, JKO, JL, JLD, JMM, JS, JW, JWJ, KA, KC, KHM, KKE, KMO, LC, LF, LG, MA, MAD, MB,</p>
--	--	---

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>MH, MLB, MLD, MMS, MW, PG, PLS, PS, PSC, RAR, RB, RL, RMM, RS, RTA, SH, SV, TCD, TFH, TMS, VHS, YR and ZB</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. PSH WRP Observation Monitoring summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 4. PSH Clinical Chart Auditing Form summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 5. PSH Chart Auditing Form summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 6. Examples of Focus 1 objectives 7. Lesson Plans for the following Cognitive Remediation Groups: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tone Chimes Choir • Learning 2 Learn My Treatment Plan • Self Help/Self Maintenance • Improving Social/Communication Skills • Movement and Rhythm • Recovery Inspired Skills Enhancement program (RISE) 8. Summary of number and types of cognitive remediation groups, current and previous review periods 9. Current and previous WRP with corresponding PSR Mall progress notes for the following five individuals: KKE, MVL, NB, SPE and WM 10. Harm Reduction Journal - Readiness Ruler article 11. Readiness Ruler measurement tool 12. Summary data substance abuse process and clinical outcomes 13. PSH Consumer Satisfaction Survey summary data 14. Comparison: SOCRATES and Readiness Ruler Stage of Change 15. Focus 5 Group Listing for Spring 2010 16. PSH WRP Substance Abuse Auditing Form summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 17. Data regarding medication education groups and individuals enrolled 18. Medication Education Knowledge Assessment Instructions 19. Hesse M: The Readiness Ruler as a measure of readiness to change in poly-drug use in drug abusers. <i>Harm Reduction Journal</i> 2006; 3(3): 1-5) 20. Results of a study regarding Stage of Change identification by two
--	--	--

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>different instruments (conducted by Jonathan Meyer, MD, Staff Psychiatrist, Director Substance Abuse Services)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 21. List of individuals with high BMI in exercise groups 22. PSR Mall Lesson Plans 23. List of scheduled vs cancelled medical appointment 24. List of individuals who have a diagnosis of a disorder affecting cognitive functioning 25. List of psychosocial enrichment activities 26. List of scheduled exercise groups 27. Verification of competency for providing substance abuse groups 28. List of individuals with substance disorders 29. List of individuals assessed to need Family Therapy 30. List of individuals who met trigger threshold during this review period <p><u>Observed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. WRPC (Program I, unit 74) for quarterly review of TMH 2. WRPC (Program I, unit U05) for quarterly review of SAN 3. WRPC (Program IV, unit 34) for monthly review of MT 4. WRPC (Program IV, unit 37) for annual review of DL 5. WRPC (Program V, unit N23) for monthly review of MLJ 6. WRPC (Program V, unit N23) for monthly review of TM 7. WRPC (Program VI, unit 71) for 14-day review of DBW 8. WRPC (Program VI, unit 71) for 14-day review of TW 9. WRPC (Program VI, unit EB10) for monthly review of CCH 10. WRPC (Program VI, unit EBO2) for 14-day review of DC 11. WRPC (Program VII, unit 73) for monthly review of STJ 12. WRPC (Program VIII, unit N21) for monthly review of VA 13. Mall Group: Creative Art Therapy 14. Mall Group: Cognitive Remediation 15. Mall Group: WRAP 16. Mall Group: Cognitive Remediation Group (RISE) 17. Mall Group: Medication Education 18. Mall Group: Coping Skills
--	--	---

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		19. Mall Group: Social Skills			
C.2.a	Individuals have substantive input into the therapeutic and rehabilitation service planning process, including but not limited to input as to mall groups and therapies appropriate to their WRP.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Observation Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 20% of the WRPCs held each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010). The following table summarizes the data:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Individuals have substantive input into the therapeutic and rehabilitation service planning process, including but not limited to input as to mall groups and therapies appropriate to their WRP.</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>Other findings: WRPC observations by this monitor and his consultants (see C.1.a), have, in general, verified the facility's data.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	6.	<i>Individuals have substantive input into the therapeutic and rehabilitation service planning process, including but not limited to input as to mall groups and therapies appropriate to their WRP.</i>	97%
6.	<i>Individuals have substantive input into the therapeutic and rehabilitation service planning process, including but not limited to input as to mall groups and therapies appropriate to their WRP.</i>	97%			
C.2.b	Therapeutic and rehabilitation service planning provides timely attention to the needs of each	Please see sub-cells for compliance findings.			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

	individual, in particular:	
C.2.b.i	initial therapeutic and rehabilitation service plans (Admission-Wellness and Recovery Plan ("A-WRP")) are completed within 24 hours of admission;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: PSH used the DMH WRP Chart Auditing Form to assess its compliance with the requirements in C.2.b.i to C.2.b.iii (November 2009-April 2010). Based on an average sample of 22% of the A-WRPs, the facility reported a mean compliance rate of 98%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>Other findings: A review of the charts of 10 individuals admitted during the review period (BC, DJU, ET, KKE, LJ, NAC, NB, OV, TG and WHS) found compliance in all cases.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
C.2.b.ii	master therapeutic and rehabilitation service plans ("Wellness and Recovery Plan" (WRP)) are completed within 7 days of admission; and	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Based on an average sample of 22% of the 7-day WRPs, the facility</p>

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>reported a mean compliance rate of 100%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>Other findings: A review of the charts of 10 individuals admitted during the review period (BC, DJU, ET, KKE, LJ, NAC, NB, OV, TG and WHS) found compliance in all cases.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>															
C.2.b.iii	<p>therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan reviews are performed every 14 days during the first 60 days of hospitalization and every 30 days thereafter. The third monthly review is a quarterly review and the 12th monthly review is the annual review.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p> <p>Findings: The following is a summary of the facility's data:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>WRP Review</th> <th>Mean sample size</th> <th>Mean compliance rate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>14-Day</td> <td>21%</td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Monthly</td> <td>21%</td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Quarterly</td> <td>23%</td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Annual</td> <td>33%</td> <td>93%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance since the previous</p>	WRP Review	Mean sample size	Mean compliance rate	14-Day	21%	97%	Monthly	21%	91%	Quarterly	23%	91%	Annual	33%	93%
WRP Review	Mean sample size	Mean compliance rate															
14-Day	21%	97%															
Monthly	21%	91%															
Quarterly	23%	91%															
Annual	33%	93%															

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>review period:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Mean compliance rate</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>14-Day Review</td> <td>91%</td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Monthly Review</td> <td>80%</td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Quarterly Review</td> <td>80%</td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Annual Review</td> <td>65%</td> <td>93%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Other findings: A review of the charts of 10 individuals admitted during the review period found compliance in nine cases (BC, DJU, ET, LJ, NAC, NB, OV, TG and WHS) and partial compliance in one (KKE).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>		Previous period	Current period	Mean compliance rate			14-Day Review	91%	97%	Monthly Review	80%	91%	Quarterly Review	80%	91%	Annual Review	65%	93%
	Previous period	Current period																		
Mean compliance rate																				
14-Day Review	91%	97%																		
Monthly Review	80%	91%																		
Quarterly Review	80%	91%																		
Annual Review	65%	93%																		
C.2.c	<p>Treatment rehabilitation and enrichment services are goal-directed, individualized, and informed by a thorough knowledge of the individual's psychiatric, medical, and psychosocial history and previous response to such services;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period). • Address the process deficiencies outlined by this monitor regarding the care of individuals diagnosed with seizure disorders as part of EP requirements in Section F.7.a. <p>Findings: PSH assessed its compliance using the DMH WRP Clinical Chart Auditing</p>																		

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Form. The average samples ranged from 13% to 57% of the relevant population for each sub-indicator during the review period (November 2009-April 2010).</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Treatment rehabilitation and enrichment services are goal-directed, individualized, and informed by a thorough knowledge of the individual's psychiatric, medical, and psychosocial history and previous response to such services.</i></td> <td>93%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.a</td> <td><i>When a cognitive disorder is identified on Axis I, it is written in Focus I, and has at least one objective with an appropriately linked intervention.</i></td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.b</td> <td><i>When substance abuse is identified on Axis I, it is written in Focus 5, and has at least one objective with an appropriately linked intervention.</i></td> <td>93%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.c</td> <td><i>When seizure disorder is identified on Axis III, it is written in Focus 6, and has at least one objective with an appropriately linked intervention.</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in the compliance rate for the main indicator from 62% in the previous review period:</p> <p>Other findings: This monitor reviewed the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The charts of 10 individuals diagnosed with cognitive disorders (AIR, CEH, DRB, FLB, GH, JL, LAB, LW, TT and VPF); 2. PSH documents regarding the number of groups that offered cognitive remediation during the current and previous review periods; 3. Lesson plans of groups that offered cognitive remediation during this review period; and 4. The charts of seven individuals diagnosed with seizure disorders (AB, CBA, CH, MP, NM, NT and RJ). 	2.	<i>Treatment rehabilitation and enrichment services are goal-directed, individualized, and informed by a thorough knowledge of the individual's psychiatric, medical, and psychosocial history and previous response to such services.</i>	93%	2.a	<i>When a cognitive disorder is identified on Axis I, it is written in Focus I, and has at least one objective with an appropriately linked intervention.</i>	91%	2.b	<i>When substance abuse is identified on Axis I, it is written in Focus 5, and has at least one objective with an appropriately linked intervention.</i>	93%	2.c	<i>When seizure disorder is identified on Axis III, it is written in Focus 6, and has at least one objective with an appropriately linked intervention.</i>	96%
2.	<i>Treatment rehabilitation and enrichment services are goal-directed, individualized, and informed by a thorough knowledge of the individual's psychiatric, medical, and psychosocial history and previous response to such services.</i>	93%												
2.a	<i>When a cognitive disorder is identified on Axis I, it is written in Focus I, and has at least one objective with an appropriately linked intervention.</i>	91%												
2.b	<i>When substance abuse is identified on Axis I, it is written in Focus 5, and has at least one objective with an appropriately linked intervention.</i>	93%												
2.c	<i>When seizure disorder is identified on Axis III, it is written in Focus 6, and has at least one objective with an appropriately linked intervention.</i>	96%												

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>The reviews found general evidence of further significant progress in the following areas:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The overall number of groups that offered cognitive remediation; 2. Consistency between the WRPs and the corresponding psychiatric progress notes regarding the documentation of diagnoses of cognitive impairments; 3. Performance of neuropsychological testing to assess the cognitive status of individuals relevant to seizure management (CH); 4. Provision of group interventions that offer cognitive remediation (in the charts of almost all the individuals reviewed); 5. Review of the present status of individuals diagnosed with cognitive impairments, including diagnoses of Dementia, Cognitive Disorder NOS and Mental Retardation; 6. Documentation of interventions to minimize the risks of treatment with old-generation anticonvulsant agents for individuals suffering from both seizure and cognitive disorders; 7. Documentation of appropriate foci, objectives and interventions that addressed the needs of individuals diagnosed with cognitive disorders (with few exceptions, e.g. DRB); 8. No evidence of unjustified regular use of high-risk medications, including anticholinergic medications and/or benzodiazepines for individuals suffering from dementing illnesses; 9. Review of the present status of individuals diagnosed with seizure disorders (with the exception of NT); and 10. Documentation of appropriate foci, objectives and interventions that addressed the needs of most individuals diagnosed with seizure disorders, including learning-based objectives and interventions that were properly aligned with these objectives. <p>The review found a few deficiencies in the charts of individuals diagnosed with cognitive impairments as follows:</p>
--	--	--

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The WRPs did not include a focus statement or objectives/ interventions to address the needs of one individual diagnosed with Dementia of the Alzheimer's type (DRB). 2. Review of the present status of a few individuals diagnosed with Mild Mental Retardation (CEH) and Borderline Intellectual Functioning (GH) did not address the individuals' functional and cognitive status relevant to these diagnoses. However, the WRPs of these individuals included appropriate objectives and interventions to meet the individuals' needs. <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
C.2.d	<p>Therapeutic and rehabilitation service planning is based on a comprehensive case formulation for each individual that emanates from interdisciplinary assessments of the individual consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. Specifically, the case formulation shall:</p>	<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>
C.2.d.i	<p>be derived from analyses of the information gathered from interdisciplinary assessments, including diagnosis and differential diagnosis;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p>

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Clinical Chart Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 11% of the quarterly and annual WRPs due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>The case formulation is derived from analyses of the information gathered from interdisciplinary assessments, including diagnosis and differential diagnosis.</i></td> <td>95%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>The compliance data for the requirements in C.2.d.ii to C.2.d.vi are entered for each corresponding cell below. The sub-indicators are listed, as necessary.</p> <p>Other findings: This monitor reviewed one WRP per unit for the following 66 individuals: AIR, ALA, BCP, BLW, BS, CC, CDT, CG, CGG, CH, CNO, DB-1, DB-2, DLJ, DRB, DRM, EC, JAF, JAH, JAM, JD, JG, JJJ, JJM, JKH, JKO, JL, JLD, JMM, JS, JW, JWJ, KA, KC, KHM, KKE, KMO, LC, LF, LG, MA, MAD, MB, MH, MLB, MLD, MMS, MW, PG, PLS, PS, PSC, RAR, RB, RL, RMM, RS, RTA, SH, SV, TCD, TFH, TMS, VHS, YR and ZB. In general, there was evidence that PSH has maintained progress as described in this cell in the previous report. In addition, the facility has made adequate corrections to address the findings regarding modification of treatment/ rehabilitation interventions in response to the use of restrictive interventions. These improvements were sufficient to attain substantial compliance with the requirements of this cell. However, PSH must improve its practice in ensuring that information derived from the case formulation is properly utilized in the development of treatment/ rehabilitation objectives to</p>	3.	<i>The case formulation is derived from analyses of the information gathered from interdisciplinary assessments, including diagnosis and differential diagnosis.</i>	95%
3.	<i>The case formulation is derived from analyses of the information gathered from interdisciplinary assessments, including diagnosis and differential diagnosis.</i>	95%			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>meet the assessed needs of individuals (see C.2.f.2 and C.2.f.iii).</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
C.2.d.ii	<p>include a review of: pertinent history; predisposing, precipitating and perpetuating factors; previous treatment history, and present status;</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>The case formulation includes a review of: pertinent history; predisposing, precipitating and perpetuating factors; previous treatment history, and present status.</i></td> <td>90%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 74% in the previous review period.</p>	4.	<i>The case formulation includes a review of: pertinent history; predisposing, precipitating and perpetuating factors; previous treatment history, and present status.</i>	90%
4.	<i>The case formulation includes a review of: pertinent history; predisposing, precipitating and perpetuating factors; previous treatment history, and present status.</i>	90%			
C.2.d.iii	<p>consider biomedical, psychosocial, and psychoeducational factors, as clinically appropriate, for each category in § [III.B.4.b] above;</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>The case formulation considers biomedical, psychosocial, and psychoeducational factors, as clinically appropriate.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	5.	<i>The case formulation considers biomedical, psychosocial, and psychoeducational factors, as clinically appropriate.</i>	98%
5.	<i>The case formulation considers biomedical, psychosocial, and psychoeducational factors, as clinically appropriate.</i>	98%			
C.2.d.iv	<p>consider such factors as age, gender, culture, treatment adherence, and medication issues that may affect the outcomes of treatment and rehabilitation interventions;</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Consider such factors as age, gender, culture, treatment adherence, and medication issues that may affect the outcomes of treatment and rehabilitation interventions</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	6.	<i>Consider such factors as age, gender, culture, treatment adherence, and medication issues that may affect the outcomes of treatment and rehabilitation interventions</i>	99%
6.	<i>Consider such factors as age, gender, culture, treatment adherence, and medication issues that may affect the outcomes of treatment and rehabilitation interventions</i>	99%			
C.2.d.v	<p>support the diagnosis by diagnostic formulation, differential diagnosis and</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Support the diagnosis by diagnostic formulation,</i></td> <td>91%</td> </tr> </table>	7.	<i>Support the diagnosis by diagnostic formulation,</i>	91%
7.	<i>Support the diagnosis by diagnostic formulation,</i>	91%			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

	Diagnostics and Statistical Manual DSM-IV-TR (or the most current edition) checklists; and	<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>differential diagnosis and Diagnostics and Statistical Manual DSM-IV-TR (or the most current edition) checklists</i></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		<i>differential diagnosis and Diagnostics and Statistical Manual DSM-IV-TR (or the most current edition) checklists</i>		
	<i>differential diagnosis and Diagnostics and Statistical Manual DSM-IV-TR (or the most current edition) checklists</i>					
C.2.d.vi enable the interdisciplinary team to reach sound determinations about each individual's treatment, rehabilitation, enrichment and wellness needs, the type of setting to which the individual should be discharged, and the changes that will be necessary to achieve discharge.		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>The case formulation enables the interdisciplinary team to reach sound determinations about each individual's treatment, rehabilitation, enrichment and wellness needs, the type of setting to which the individual should be discharged, and the changes that will be necessary to achieve discharge.</i></td> <td>94%</td> </tr> </table>	8.	<i>The case formulation enables the interdisciplinary team to reach sound determinations about each individual's treatment, rehabilitation, enrichment and wellness needs, the type of setting to which the individual should be discharged, and the changes that will be necessary to achieve discharge.</i>	94%	
8.	<i>The case formulation enables the interdisciplinary team to reach sound determinations about each individual's treatment, rehabilitation, enrichment and wellness needs, the type of setting to which the individual should be discharged, and the changes that will be necessary to achieve discharge.</i>	94%				
C.2.e The therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan specifies the individual's focus of hospitalization (goals), assessed needs (objectives), and how the staff will assist the individual to achieve his or her goals/objectives (interventions);		<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Chart Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 25% of the quarterly and annual WRPs due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p>				
		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>The therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan specifies the individual's focus of hospitalization (goals), assessed needs (objectives) and how the staff</i></td> <td>93%</td> </tr> </table>	4.	<i>The therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan specifies the individual's focus of hospitalization (goals), assessed needs (objectives) and how the staff</i>	93%	
4.	<i>The therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan specifies the individual's focus of hospitalization (goals), assessed needs (objectives) and how the staff</i>	93%				

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p><i>will assist the individual to achieve his or her goals/objectives (interventions).</i></p> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 80% in the previous review period.</p> <p>Other findings: Chart reviews by this monitor (same as in C.2.d.i) verified that the facility has made further progress since the last review. However, in order to attain substantial compliance, the facility must improve the content of the focus and objective statements to ensure that individuals' needs are properly met. In too many cases, the focus statements were over-inclusive and did not guide the WRPTs in the proper development of objectives to meet the individuals' assessed needs. As a result, the objectives appeared to be selected by the teams in order to match the available interventions rather than addressing the assessed needs as described in the case formulation (see C.2.f.iii). In addition, a few recent examples of objectives (that were developed by the facility to assist the teams) were generic and used by too many teams without regard to the actual needs of the individuals (e.g. "Will define mental illness and medical illness").</p> <p>This monitor reviewed the records of 14 individuals receiving Rehabilitation Therapy Services (including Rehabilitation Therapist-facilitated PSR Mall groups and direct therapy treatment) to assess compliance with the requirements of C.2.e. All records were in substantial compliance.</p> <p>This monitor also reviewed the records of 12 individuals who had IA-RTS assessments (admission and conversion) and Rehabilitation Therapy focused assessments (Occupational Therapy, Physical Therapy and Vocational Rehabilitation) during the review period to assess compliance with the requirements of C.2.e. All records were in substantial</p>	
--	--	--	--

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>compliance.</p> <p>Finally, this monitor reviewed the records of 22 individuals with completed Nutrition Care assessments to assess compliance with the requirements of C.2.e. Nineteen records were in substantial compliance (AR, BLM, CES, CG, DDR, DEB, ER, ET, GF, GNF, JDM, JLB, JM, JSC, MEJ, MG, SC, TG and TS) and three records were in partial compliance (ADH, CRH and DCG).</p> <p>Compliance: Partial; improved compared to the last review.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Address and correct this monitor's finding of deficiency as described above. 2. Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).
C.2.f	<p>Therapeutic and rehabilitation service planning is driven by individualized needs, is strengths-based (i.e., builds on an individual's current strengths), addresses the individual's motivation for engaging in wellness activities, and leads to improvement in the individual's mental health, health and well being, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. Specifically, the interdisciplinary team shall:</p>	<p>Please see sub-cells for compliance findings.</p>
C.2.f.i	<p>develop and prioritize reasonable and attainable goals/objectives (e.g., at the level of each individual's functioning) that build on the individual's strengths and address the</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low</p>

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

	<p>individual's identified needs and, if any identified needs are not addressed, provide a rationale for not addressing the need;</p>	<p>compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Chart Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance with the requirements of C.2.f.i through C.2.f.v based on an average sample of 25% of the quarterly and annual WRPs due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>The team has developed and prioritized reasonable and attainable goals/objectives (e.g. at the level of each individuals functioning) that build on the individual's strengths and addresses the individual's identified needs and, if any identified needs are not addressed, provide a rationale for not addressing the need.</i></td> <td>93%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 73% in the previous review period.</p> <p>Other findings: A review of the charts of six individuals found substantial compliance in all cases (KKE, MVL, NB, SPE, WGA and WM).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	5.	<i>The team has developed and prioritized reasonable and attainable goals/objectives (e.g. at the level of each individuals functioning) that build on the individual's strengths and addresses the individual's identified needs and, if any identified needs are not addressed, provide a rationale for not addressing the need.</i>	93%
5.	<i>The team has developed and prioritized reasonable and attainable goals/objectives (e.g. at the level of each individuals functioning) that build on the individual's strengths and addresses the individual's identified needs and, if any identified needs are not addressed, provide a rationale for not addressing the need.</i>	93%			
C.2.f.ii	<p>ensure that the objectives/ interventions address treatment (e.g., for a disease or disorder), rehabilitation (e.g., skills/supports,</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p>			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

	<p>motivation and readiness), and enrichment (e.g., quality of life activities);</p>	<p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Chart Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 25% of the quarterly and annual WRPs due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>The objectives/interventions address treatment (e.g., for a disease or disorder), rehabilitation (e.g., skills/supports, motivation and readiness), and enrichment (e.g., quality of life activities.)</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>Other findings: A review of the charts of six individuals found substantial compliance in all cases (KKE, MVL, NB, SPE, WGA and WM).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	6.	<i>The objectives/interventions address treatment (e.g., for a disease or disorder), rehabilitation (e.g., skills/supports, motivation and readiness), and enrichment (e.g., quality of life activities.)</i>	98%
6.	<i>The objectives/interventions address treatment (e.g., for a disease or disorder), rehabilitation (e.g., skills/supports, motivation and readiness), and enrichment (e.g., quality of life activities.)</i>	98%			
C.2.f.iii	<p>write the objectives in behavioral, observable, and/or measurable terms;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p>			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Findings: The facility reported a mean compliance rate of 91%, compared to 49% in the previous review period.</p> <p>Other findings: Findings by this monitor did not comport with the facility's compliance data in this area. Chart reviews found substantial compliance in three charts (NB, SPE and MVL), partial compliance in one (KKE) and noncompliance in two (WGA and WM). See C.2.e for review of current barrier to substantial compliance.</p> <p>Compliance: Partial.</p> <p>Current recommendations: Same as in C.2.e.</p>
C.2.f.iv	include all objectives from the individual's current stage of change or readiness for rehabilitation, to the maintenance stage for each focus of hospitalization, as clinically appropriate;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: The facility reported a mean compliance rate of 97%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>Other findings: Chart reviews found substantial compliance in five charts (KKE, MVL, NB, SPE and WM) and partial compliance in one (WGA).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
C.2.f.v	<p>ensure that there are interventions that relate to each objective, specifying who will do what, within what time frame, to assist the individual to meet his/her needs as specified in the objective;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p> <p>Findings: The facility reported a mean compliance rate of 92%, compared to 75% in the previous review period.</p> <p>Other findings: A review of the charts of six individuals found substantial compliance in all cases (KKE, MVL, NB, SPE, WGA and WM). This assessment was based on compliance with this requirement as written. However, the facility must improve the content of foci and objective statements (see C.2.e and C.2.f.iii) to ensure that individuals' needs are properly met.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
C.2.f.vi	<p>implement interventions appropriately throughout the individual's day, with a minimum of 20 hours of active treatment per week. Individual or group therapy included in the individual's WRP shall be provided as part of</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

	<p>the 20 hours of active treatment per week; Number of individuals by category</p>	<p>Findings: PSH presented the following data for the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Hours of Mall Groups Scheduled</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">Mean number of individuals</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0-5 hours</td> <td>65</td> <td>18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6-10 hours</td> <td>35</td> <td>15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11-15 hours</td> <td>131</td> <td>16</td> </tr> <tr> <td>16-20+ hours</td> <td>1,253</td> <td>1,551</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Hours of Mall Groups Attended</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">Mean number of individuals</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0-5 hours</td> <td>235</td> <td>88</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6-10 hours</td> <td>221</td> <td>89</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11-15 hours</td> <td>417</td> <td>122</td> </tr> <tr> <td>16-20+ hours</td> <td>517</td> <td>1,302</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>As seen in the tables above, Scheduled Mall hours and Attended Mall hours are moving in the right direction with the number of individuals scheduled and attending higher in the 11-15 and 16-20 hour categories.</p> <p>Other findings: This monitor reviewed the charts of 10 individuals. The reviews focused on the documentation of active treatment hours listed in the most recent WRP and corresponding MAPP data regarding hours scheduled and</p>	Hours of Mall Groups Scheduled				Previous period	Current period	Mean number of individuals			0-5 hours	65	18	6-10 hours	35	15	11-15 hours	131	16	16-20+ hours	1,253	1,551	Hours of Mall Groups Attended				Previous period	Current period	Mean number of individuals			0-5 hours	235	88	6-10 hours	221	89	11-15 hours	417	122	16-20+ hours	517	1,302
Hours of Mall Groups Scheduled																																												
	Previous period	Current period																																										
Mean number of individuals																																												
0-5 hours	65	18																																										
6-10 hours	35	15																																										
11-15 hours	131	16																																										
16-20+ hours	1,253	1,551																																										
Hours of Mall Groups Attended																																												
	Previous period	Current period																																										
Mean number of individuals																																												
0-5 hours	235	88																																										
6-10 hours	221	89																																										
11-15 hours	417	122																																										
16-20+ hours	517	1,302																																										

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>attended. The following table summarizes the monitor's findings:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Individual</th> <th>WRP scheduled hours</th> <th>MAPP scheduled hours</th> <th>MAPP attended hours</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>GM</td> <td>20</td> <td>20</td> <td>13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MB</td> <td>20</td> <td>20</td> <td>13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SC</td> <td>20</td> <td>20</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CK</td> <td>20</td> <td>20</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JO</td> <td>19</td> <td>20</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JS-28</td> <td>20</td> <td>20</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JL</td> <td>20</td> <td>20</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JH</td> <td>20</td> <td>19</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>GW</td> <td>20</td> <td>20</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JS-98</td> <td>20</td> <td>20</td> <td>11</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The data in the table above indicate that there is strong correspondence between the MAPP schedule and the WRP schedule of the individual's Mall hours. Furthermore, for these randomly selected individuals, Mall group attendance is high in relation to their scheduled hours.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	Individual	WRP scheduled hours	MAPP scheduled hours	MAPP attended hours	GM	20	20	13	MB	20	20	13	SC	20	20	12	CK	20	20	12	JO	19	20	12	JS-28	20	20	12	JL	20	20	12	JH	20	19	12	GW	20	20	12	JS-98	20	20	11
Individual	WRP scheduled hours	MAPP scheduled hours	MAPP attended hours																																											
GM	20	20	13																																											
MB	20	20	13																																											
SC	20	20	12																																											
CK	20	20	12																																											
JO	19	20	12																																											
JS-28	20	20	12																																											
JL	20	20	12																																											
JH	20	19	12																																											
GW	20	20	12																																											
JS-98	20	20	11																																											
C.2.f.vii	<p>maximize, consistent with the individual's treatment needs and legal status, opportunities for treatment, programming, schooling, and other activities in the most appropriate integrated, non-institutional settings, as clinically appropriate; and</p>	<p>Staff interview and documentation review found that none of the civilly committed individuals at PSH participate in off-site programming. According to the facility, individuals leaving the facility for off-site programming need to be accompanied by CDCR Correctional Officer, following the California Welfare and Institutions Code, Section 4107(a). Besides the fact that most if not all of the civilly committed individuals are potentially unsafe for themselves and/or the community when off-</p>																																												

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>grounds, the facility also has faced difficulty with obtaining CDCR approval and supervision.</p> <p>This monitor reviewed six charts of civilly committed individuals (CJS, FS, GB, JL, JMP and RDW). The table below shows that diagnoses and behavioral issues of the six individuals, as documented in the individuals WRPs:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Individual</th> <th>Diagnoses</th> <th>Behavioral Issues</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>CJS</td> <td>Pedophilia, sexual sadism, substance abuse</td> <td>Aggression to others</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FS</td> <td>Paranoid schizophrenia, substance abuse, water intoxication</td> <td>Aggression to others, elopement, arson, emotional dysregulation</td> </tr> <tr> <td>GB</td> <td>Dementia, schizophrenia, antisocial personality, poly-substance abuse</td> <td>Aggression to others</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JL</td> <td>Schizoaffective, substance dependence</td> <td>Aggression to others</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JMP</td> <td>Impulse control, substance abuse</td> <td>Sexual battery, aggression to others, elopement</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RDW</td> <td>Pedophilia, schizophrenia</td> <td>Lewdness</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>According to the Standards Compliance Director, there has been no change in the situation with the civilly committed individuals regarding off-site visits. According to the Executive Director, given the situation of not being able to program civilly committed individuals for off-site visits, PSH when possible tries to get individuals with the potential for off-site programming to sister facilities.</p>	Individual	Diagnoses	Behavioral Issues	CJS	Pedophilia, sexual sadism, substance abuse	Aggression to others	FS	Paranoid schizophrenia, substance abuse, water intoxication	Aggression to others, elopement, arson, emotional dysregulation	GB	Dementia, schizophrenia, antisocial personality, poly-substance abuse	Aggression to others	JL	Schizoaffective, substance dependence	Aggression to others	JMP	Impulse control, substance abuse	Sexual battery, aggression to others, elopement	RDW	Pedophilia, schizophrenia	Lewdness
Individual	Diagnoses	Behavioral Issues																					
CJS	Pedophilia, sexual sadism, substance abuse	Aggression to others																					
FS	Paranoid schizophrenia, substance abuse, water intoxication	Aggression to others, elopement, arson, emotional dysregulation																					
GB	Dementia, schizophrenia, antisocial personality, poly-substance abuse	Aggression to others																					
JL	Schizoaffective, substance dependence	Aggression to others																					
JMP	Impulse control, substance abuse	Sexual battery, aggression to others, elopement																					
RDW	Pedophilia, schizophrenia	Lewdness																					
C.2.f.viii	ensure that each therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan integrates and coordinates all services, supports, and treatments provided by	Current findings on previous recommendation:																					

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

	<p>or through each State hospital for the individual in a manner specifically responsive to the plan's therapeutic and rehabilitation goals. This requirement includes but is not limited to ensuring that individuals are assigned to mall groups that link directly to the objectives in the individual's WRP and needs.</p>	<p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p> <p>Findings: Staff interview and documentation review found that PSH now uses the MAPP II process effectively, while continuing to correct bugs in the system. WRPTs now enroll individuals to PSR services directly through the MAPP II system. Enhancement Services email WRPTs when gaps in scheduling are noted. Enhancement Services also provides weekly notification to Program Management of individuals with less than 20 hours of Mall groups. PSH continues to provide MAPP II system training to all WRPTs.</p> <p>Using the DMH Mall Alignment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on a mean sample of 33% of the census each month for the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Integrates and coordinates all services, supports, and treatments provided by or through each state hospital for the individual in a manner specifically responsive to the plan's therapeutic and rehabilitation goals. This requirement includes but is not limited to ensuring that individuals are assigned to mall groups that link directly to the objectives in the individual's WRP and needs.</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 53% in the previous review period.</p> <p>The facility has the following plan to continue to enhance practice:</p>	1.	<i>Integrates and coordinates all services, supports, and treatments provided by or through each state hospital for the individual in a manner specifically responsive to the plan's therapeutic and rehabilitation goals. This requirement includes but is not limited to ensuring that individuals are assigned to mall groups that link directly to the objectives in the individual's WRP and needs.</i>	96%
1.	<i>Integrates and coordinates all services, supports, and treatments provided by or through each state hospital for the individual in a manner specifically responsive to the plan's therapeutic and rehabilitation goals. This requirement includes but is not limited to ensuring that individuals are assigned to mall groups that link directly to the objectives in the individual's WRP and needs.</i>	96%			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Enhancement Services and Information Technology will continue with staff training on the MAPP II system. 2. Program Management will continue to inform WRPTs when gaps in scheduling are identified. 3. Enhancement Services will continue to provide feedback to WRPTs, Program Management, Administration, and Standard Compliance on a weekly basis as soon as MAPP II is programmed to provide scheduling information by unit and program. 4. Enhancement Services will also continue to provide daily feedback through the MAPP II Manage Requests to align treatment services with the individual's treatment needs, preferences, and interests in an effort to increase Mall attendance. <p>A review of the charts of 11 individuals found foci and objectives with relevant interventions, life goals used as strengths for interventions where appropriate, and assigned groups matching the individual's discharge needs and aligned with the objectives and foci in all 11 charts (AB, CED, DE, EWH, JM-1, JM-2, JRP, JS, KE, PLI and SL).</p> <p>PSR Mall service has been instrumental in assisting WRPs in capturing appropriate groups to meet the individual's needs. This was carried out by having the group objectives and group levels available to WRPTs.</p> <p>This monitor observed five Mall groups. There was high correspondence between the group participants' cognitive functioning and the Mall group objectives and levels.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
--	--	--

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

C.2.g	Therapeutic and rehabilitation service plans are revised as appropriate to ensure that planning is based on the individual's progress, or lack thereof, as determined by the scheduled monitoring of identified criteria or target variables, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. Specifically, the interdisciplinary team shall:	Please see sub-cells for compliance findings.
C.2.g.i	revise the focus of hospitalization, objectives, as needed, to reflect the individual's changing needs and develop new interventions to facilitate attainment of new objectives when old objectives are achieved or when the individual fails to make progress toward achieving these objectives;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Same as C.2.t.</p> <p>Findings: See C.2.t, sub-items 11.d and 11.e, for the facility's self monitoring data. The items that were previously reported in this cell were removed during revisions of the applicable forms due to redundancy with other audit items.</p> <p>Other findings: A review of the charts of six individuals found substantial compliance in four cases (MVL, NB, SPE and WGA) and partial compliance in two (KKE and WM). In addition, WRPC observations by this monitor found partial compliance with this requirement during the reviews of MJ and TM.</p> <p>This monitor reviewed the records of 17 individuals receiving direct occupational, physical, and speech therapy services for evidence that treatment objectives and/or modalities were modified as needed. All records were in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Compliance: Partial, improved compared to the last review.</p>

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Current recommendation: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p>			
C.2.g.ii	<p>review the focus of hospitalization, needs, objectives, and interventions more frequently if there are changes in the individual's functional status or risk factors (i.e., behavioral, medical, and/or psychiatric risk factors);</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Clinical Chart Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 93% of individuals placed in seclusion and/or restraints each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>12.</td> <td><i>Review the focus of hospitalization, needs, objectives, and interventions more frequently if there are changes in the individual's functional status or risk factors (i.e., behavioral, medical, and/or psychiatric risk factors)</i></td> <td>91%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 16% in the previous review period.</p> <p>Other findings: This monitor reviewed the charts of six individuals who experienced the use of seclusion and/or restraint during this review period. The following table outlines the reviews:</p>	12.	<i>Review the focus of hospitalization, needs, objectives, and interventions more frequently if there are changes in the individual's functional status or risk factors (i.e., behavioral, medical, and/or psychiatric risk factors)</i>	91%
12.	<i>Review the focus of hospitalization, needs, objectives, and interventions more frequently if there are changes in the individual's functional status or risk factors (i.e., behavioral, medical, and/or psychiatric risk factors)</i>	91%			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Individual</th> <th>Date of seclusion and/or restraint</th> <th>Date of applicable WRP review</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>ALA</td> <td>5/22/10</td> <td>5/24/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EAL</td> <td>3/5/10</td> <td>3/24/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FJ</td> <td>4/26/10</td> <td>5/18/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MSB</td> <td>4/21/10</td> <td>5/6/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TMM</td> <td>3/15/10</td> <td>4/13/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VM</td> <td>3/3/10</td> <td>4/14/10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>This review found evidence that the facility has maintained the progress described in this cell in the previous report. In addition, there was evidence of adequate corrections of previously mentioned deficiencies regarding the documentation of modification of ongoing treatment to decrease future risk and accuracy of information regarding the use of seclusion/ restraint during the interval.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	Individual	Date of seclusion and/or restraint	Date of applicable WRP review	ALA	5/22/10	5/24/10	EAL	3/5/10	3/24/10	FJ	4/26/10	5/18/10	MSB	4/21/10	5/6/10	TMM	3/15/10	4/13/10	VM	3/3/10	4/14/10
Individual	Date of seclusion and/or restraint	Date of applicable WRP review																					
ALA	5/22/10	5/24/10																					
EAL	3/5/10	3/24/10																					
FJ	4/26/10	5/18/10																					
MSB	4/21/10	5/6/10																					
TMM	3/15/10	4/13/10																					
VM	3/3/10	4/14/10																					
C.2.g.iii	<p>ensure that the review process includes an assessment of progress related to discharge to the most integrated setting appropriate to meet the individuals assessed needs, consistent with his/her legal status; and</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Observation Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 20% of the quarterly and annual WRPCs held each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p>																					

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>The review process includes an assessment of progress related to discharge to the most integrated setting appropriate to meet the individuals assessed needs, consistent with his/her legal status.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>Other findings: This monitor assessed the documentation of discharge criteria and the discussion of the individual's progress towards discharge (as documented in the Present Status section of the case formulation). The review found substantial compliance in five charts (KKE, NB, SPE, WGA and WM) and partial compliance in one (MVL).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	7.	<i>The review process includes an assessment of progress related to discharge to the most integrated setting appropriate to meet the individuals assessed needs, consistent with his/her legal status.</i>	98%
7.	<i>The review process includes an assessment of progress related to discharge to the most integrated setting appropriate to meet the individuals assessed needs, consistent with his/her legal status.</i>	98%			
C.2.g.iv	base progress reviews and revision recommendations on data collected as specified in the therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Observation Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 20% of the quarterly and annual WRPCs held each month during the review period (November 2009-April</p>			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Progress reviews and revision recommendations are based on data collected as specified in the therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan.</i></td> <td>93%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>Other findings: This monitor's chart reviews found substantial compliance in three charts (NB, SPE and WGA) and partial compliance in three (KKE, MVL and WM).</p> <p>Compliance: Partial, improved compared to the last review.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p>	8.	<i>Progress reviews and revision recommendations are based on data collected as specified in the therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan.</i>	93%
8.	<i>Progress reviews and revision recommendations are based on data collected as specified in the therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan.</i>	93%			
C.2.h	Individuals in need of positive behavior supports in school or other settings receive such supports consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.	Please see F.2.a through F.2.c (including sub-cells) for PBS-related recommendations.			
C.2.i	Adequate active psychosocial rehabilitation is provided, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, that:	Compliance: Substantial.			
C.2.i.i	is based on the individual's assessed needs and is directed toward increasing the individual's ability to engage in more independent life	Current findings on previous recommendations:			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

	<p>functions;</p>	<p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WRPTs should integrate relevant information from discipline-specific assessments and prioritize the individual's assessed needs. • Continue to offer groups based on the needs of the individuals in the facility. <p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Mall Alignment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 22% of quarterly and annual WRPs due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Is based on the individual's assessed needs and is directed toward increasing the individual's ability to engage in more independent life functions</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 65% in the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of 12 individuals found that the individual's needs were appropriately addressed through the foci, objectives, and PSR interventions in 11 of the WRPs in the charts (AB, CED, DE, EWH, JM-1, JM-2, JRP, JS, KE, PLI and SL). Deficiencies, including the absence of an appropriate Mall group and poor correspondence between foci, objectives and interventions were noted in the remaining WRP (DPP).</p> <p>Other findings: This monitor reviewed the records of 14 individuals receiving Rehabilitation Therapy Services (including Rehabilitation Therapist-facilitated PSR Mall groups and direct therapy treatment) to assess compliance with the requirements of C.2.i.i. All records were in substantial compliance.</p>	2.	<i>Is based on the individual's assessed needs and is directed toward increasing the individual's ability to engage in more independent life functions</i>	97%
2.	<i>Is based on the individual's assessed needs and is directed toward increasing the individual's ability to engage in more independent life functions</i>	97%			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
C.2.i.ii	Has documented objectives, measurable outcomes, and standardized methodology	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure that the learning outcomes are stated in measurable terms. • Ensure that each objective is directly linked to a relevant focus of hospitalization and discharge criteria. <p>Findings: Using the DMH Mall Alignment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 33% of all quarterly and annual WRPCs each month of the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.b</td> <td><i>The reviewed course outline's content is aligned with the corresponding objectives in the individual's WRP</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 53% in the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of seven individuals found that all seven of the WRPs in the charts contained objectives written in a measurable/ observable manner and that the objectives were directly linked to a relevant focus of hospitalization (CCK, GM, HJA, IJ, JS, MLD and SLC).</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	1.b	<i>The reviewed course outline's content is aligned with the corresponding objectives in the individual's WRP</i>	96%
1.b	<i>The reviewed course outline's content is aligned with the corresponding objectives in the individual's WRP</i>	96%			
C.2.i.iii	Is aligned with the individual's objectives that are identified in the individual's Wellness and Recovery Plan	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Ensure that all therapies and rehabilitation services provided in the Malls</p>			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>are aligned with the objectives identified in the individual's WRP.</p> <p>Findings: Please see C.2.f.viii.</p> <p>Current recommendations: See C.2.f.viii.</p>			
C.2.i.iv	utilizes the individual's strengths, preferences, and interests;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure that the individual's strengths, preferences, and interests are clearly specified in the interventions in the individual's WRP in accordance with the DMH WRP manual. • Ensure that the group facilitators and individual therapists know and use the individual's strengths, preferences and interests when delivering rehabilitation services. <p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Mall Alignment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 13% of Mall group facilitators each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>15.</td> <td><i>The group facilitator utilizes the individual's strengths, preferences, and interests.</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of WRPs of seven individuals found that all seven WRPs specified the strengths of the individual in all active interventions reviewed (CCK, GM, HJA, IJ, JS, MLD and SLC).</p>	15.	<i>The group facilitator utilizes the individual's strengths, preferences, and interests.</i>	97%
15.	<i>The group facilitator utilizes the individual's strengths, preferences, and interests.</i>	97%			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
C.2.i.v	<p>focuses on the individual's vulnerabilities to mental illness, substance abuse, and readmission due to relapse, where appropriate;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Mall Alignment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on observation of an average random sample of 33 WRPs each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Focuses on the individual's vulnerabilities to mental illness, substance abuse and readmission due to relapse, where appropriate.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of WRPs of seven individuals found that the individual's vulnerabilities were documented in the case formulation section in all seven WRPs and where appropriate the vulnerabilities were updated in the subsequent WRPs (CCK, GM, HJA, IJ, JS, MLD and SLC).</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	3.	<i>Focuses on the individual's vulnerabilities to mental illness, substance abuse and readmission due to relapse, where appropriate.</i>	98%
3.	<i>Focuses on the individual's vulnerabilities to mental illness, substance abuse and readmission due to relapse, where appropriate.</i>	98%			
C.2.i.vi	<p>is provided in a manner consistent with each individual's cognitive strengths and limitations;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Mall Observation Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 13% of the Mall group facilitators each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010). The following table summarizes the data:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>16.</td> <td><i>Material is presented in a manner consistent with each individual's cognitive strengths and limitations.</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of seven individuals found that cognitive screening had been conducted in all seven cases as part of the Integrated Assessment: Psychology Section or Focused Psychological Assessment (ALA, CV, DJG, GB, JG, JGC and KA).</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	16.	<i>Material is presented in a manner consistent with each individual's cognitive strengths and limitations.</i>	97%
16.	<i>Material is presented in a manner consistent with each individual's cognitive strengths and limitations.</i>	97%			
C.2.i.vii	Provides progress reports for review by the Wellness and Recovery Team as part of the Wellness and Recovery Plan review process;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Ensure that WRPTs receive timely progress notes on individuals' participation in their psychosocial rehabilitation services.</p> <p>Findings: PSH audited 20% of the individuals in each Program for the last month of the review period. The table below showing the number of Progress Notes due for 20% of the individuals in each program (N), the number of Progress Notes available to the WRPTs in each Program (n), and the percentage of compliance (%C) is a summary of the facility's data:</p>			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

	P1	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8	Mean
N	842	666	581	606	873	523	657	678
n	842	649	552	576	857	523	620	660
%C	100	97	95	95	98	100	94	97

Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance since the previous review period:

Program	Previous Period	Current Period
P1	34%	100%
P3	24%	97%
P4	26%	95%
P5	30%	95%
P6	17%	98%
P7	9%	100%
P8	13%	97%

This monitor observed three WRPCs (CCH, MT and SJT). The teams reviewed the notes during the conference or had already reviewed and documented the findings in the individual's WRP prior to the conference. The teams informed this monitor that they receive most Mall progress notes in a timely fashion.

A review of the charts of five individuals found that all five contained progress notes (CCH, CSC, JLO, MT and SJT).

Other findings:
 This monitor reviewed the records of 14 individuals receiving Rehabilitation Therapy Services (including Rehabilitation Therapist-facilitated PSR Mall groups and direct Occupational and Physical therapy treatment) to assess compliance with the requirements of C.2.i.vii.

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Thirteen records were in substantial compliance (AKA, ALG, DEB, GD, JLS, KAM, KDE, MC, MRB, OB, ODH, REP and TM) and one record was not in compliance (JAS).</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>																																
C.2.i.viii	<p>is provided five days a week, for a minimum of four hours a day (i.e., two hours in the morning and two hours in the afternoon each weekday), for each individual or two hours a day when the individual is in school, except days falling on state holidays;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure that all disciplines facilitate a specified minimum number of hours of Mall groups • Continue current practice. <p>Findings: PSH continues to provide Mall services for five days a week (Monday through Friday) with two hours in the mornings and two hours in the afternoons. The table below showing the number of individuals in the census for the review month (N) and the number of Mall hours provided for each month of this review period is a summary of the facility's data:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="8">Hours of Mall Groups Provided</th> </tr> <tr> <th>2009/ 2010</th> <th>Nov</th> <th>Dec</th> <th>Jan</th> <th>Feb</th> <th>Mar</th> <th>Apr</th> <th>Mean</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N</td> <td>1,573</td> <td>1,582</td> <td>1,628</td> <td>1,628</td> <td>1,591</td> <td>1,595</td> <td>1,600</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Hours</td> <td>8,876</td> <td>7,101</td> <td>11,043</td> <td>10,119</td> <td>10,526</td> <td>11,945</td> <td>9,935</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	Hours of Mall Groups Provided								2009/ 2010	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Mean	N	1,573	1,582	1,628	1,628	1,591	1,595	1,600	Total Hours	8,876	7,101	11,043	10,119	10,526	11,945	9,935
Hours of Mall Groups Provided																																		
2009/ 2010	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Mean																											
N	1,573	1,582	1,628	1,628	1,591	1,595	1,600																											
Total Hours	8,876	7,101	11,043	10,119	10,526	11,945	9,935																											
C.2.i.ix	<p>is provided to individuals in bed-bound status in a manner and for a period that is</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p>																																

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

	<p>commensurate with their medical status;</p>	<p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If the facility cares for bed-bound individuals, ensure that those individuals are included in the planning and implementation of appropriate activities commensurate with their cognitive status and medical, health, and physical limitations. • If the facility cares for individuals who are unable to ambulate or be transferred, ensure that therapy can be provided in any physical location within the hospital as long as the services are structured and consistent with scheduled Mall activities. <p>Findings: PSH did not have any bed-bound individuals during this review period. However, the facility has plans in place to address the needs of bed-bound individuals upon admission.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>																
<p>C.2.i.x</p>	<p>routinely takes place as scheduled;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure that Mall groups and individual therapies are cancelled rarely, if ever. • Ensure that all disciplines facilitate a specified minimum number of hours of Mall groups. <p>Findings: PSH presented the following data regarding cancellation of Mall groups:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>11/09</th> <th>12/09</th> <th>1/10</th> <th>2/10</th> <th>3/10</th> <th>4/10</th> <th>Mean</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Groups scheduled</td> <td>8,650</td> <td>5,800</td> <td>8,864</td> <td>8,403</td> <td>8,336</td> <td>8,294</td> <td>8,058</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		11/09	12/09	1/10	2/10	3/10	4/10	Mean	Groups scheduled	8,650	5,800	8,864	8,403	8,336	8,294	8,058
	11/09	12/09	1/10	2/10	3/10	4/10	Mean											
Groups scheduled	8,650	5,800	8,864	8,403	8,336	8,294	8,058											

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

	11/09	12/09	1/10	2/10	3/10	4/10	Mean
Groups cancelled	999	862	1,022	343	55	27	551
Cancellation rate	8%	14%	11%	4%	1%	1%	7%

As the table above shows, PSH's Mall cancellation stands at a mean of 7%. The table also shows that the mean Mall cancellation for the last two months of this review period was 1%. The mean Mall cancellation rate was 8% in the previous review period.

The facility presented the following data regarding Mall group facilitation by discipline:

Average weekly hours provided by discipline		
	Previous review period	Current review period
Psychiatry ACUTE (4)	3	2
Psychiatry L-T (8)	3	2.49
Psychology ACUTE (5)	5	5
Psychology L-T (10)	9	9.25
Social Work ACUTE (5)	5	4.75
Social Work L-T (10)	9	9.38
Rehab Therapy ACUTE (7)	9.2	9.29
Rehab Therapy L-T (15)	12.2	12.66
Nursing (10)	10	10
Administration	1.17	2.50

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Discipline</th> <th>Hours Scheduled/Week</th> <th>Hours Provided/Week</th> <th>Percentage of Scheduled Hours Fulfilled</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Psychiatry</td> <td>4.25</td> <td>2.25</td> <td>52.95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Psychology</td> <td>8.30</td> <td>7.97</td> <td>96.03%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Social Work</td> <td>8.32</td> <td>7.89</td> <td>94.84%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rehab Therapy</td> <td>12.02</td> <td>11.61</td> <td>96.59%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nursing</td> <td>10.00</td> <td>10.00</td> <td>100.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other</td> <td>10.00</td> <td>10.00</td> <td>100.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Administration</td> <td>2.60</td> <td>2.50</td> <td>96.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>As the tables above show, the number of PSR Mall hours facilitated by the different disciplines as a ratio of the hours scheduled per discipline has increased for all disciplines since the last review period. Almost all disciplines now meet their required scheduled hours, with the exception of Psychiatry.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	Discipline	Hours Scheduled/Week	Hours Provided/Week	Percentage of Scheduled Hours Fulfilled	Psychiatry	4.25	2.25	52.95%	Psychology	8.30	7.97	96.03%	Social Work	8.32	7.89	94.84%	Rehab Therapy	12.02	11.61	96.59%	Nursing	10.00	10.00	100.00%	Other	10.00	10.00	100.00%	Administration	2.60	2.50	96.00%
Discipline	Hours Scheduled/Week	Hours Provided/Week	Percentage of Scheduled Hours Fulfilled																															
Psychiatry	4.25	2.25	52.95%																															
Psychology	8.30	7.97	96.03%																															
Social Work	8.32	7.89	94.84%																															
Rehab Therapy	12.02	11.61	96.59%																															
Nursing	10.00	10.00	100.00%																															
Other	10.00	10.00	100.00%																															
Administration	2.60	2.50	96.00%																															
C.2.i.xi	includes, in the evenings and weekends, additional activities that enhance the individual's quality of life; and	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: The facility provided the following data regarding enrichment activities:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>11/09</th> <th>12/09</th> <th>1/10</th> <th>2/10</th> <th>3/10</th> <th>4/10</th> <th>Mean</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Hours scheduled</td> <td>1716</td> <td>1716</td> <td>2145</td> <td>1716</td> <td>1716</td> <td>1716</td> <td>1788</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hours offered</td> <td>1716</td> <td>1716</td> <td>2145</td> <td>1716</td> <td>1716</td> <td>1716</td> <td>1788</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		11/09	12/09	1/10	2/10	3/10	4/10	Mean	Hours scheduled	1716	1716	2145	1716	1716	1716	1788	Hours offered	1716	1716	2145	1716	1716	1716	1788								
	11/09	12/09	1/10	2/10	3/10	4/10	Mean																											
Hours scheduled	1716	1716	2145	1716	1716	1716	1788																											
Hours offered	1716	1716	2145	1716	1716	1716	1788																											

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Compliance rate</td> <td>100%</td> <td>100%</td> <td>100%</td> <td>100%</td> <td>100%</td> <td>100%</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>PSH has made significant improvements in its offerings of enrichment activities in both the hours scheduled (mean of 52 hours during the previous period) and hours offered (mean of 51 hours during the previous period), as well as in its methodology and monitoring of these activities.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	Compliance rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%																
Compliance rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%																			
C.2.i.xii	is consistently reinforced by staff on the therapeutic milieu, including living units.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the Therapeutic Milieu Observation Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on observations of an average sample of 94% of the units in the facility. The following table summarizes the facility's data:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>More staff are in the Milieu than in the nursing station.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Some staff in the Milieu are interacting with individuals, not simply observing them.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>There are unit recognition programs.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Unit rules are posted and reflect recovery language and principles.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Unit bulletin boards are posted with religious and cultural activities.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Staff respect confidentiality.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Some staff are actively engaged in listening.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Staff interact with individuals in a respectful and</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>More staff are in the Milieu than in the nursing station.</i>	100%	2.	<i>Some staff in the Milieu are interacting with individuals, not simply observing them.</i>	100%	3.	<i>There are unit recognition programs.</i>	100%	4.	<i>Unit rules are posted and reflect recovery language and principles.</i>	100%	5.	<i>Unit bulletin boards are posted with religious and cultural activities.</i>	100%	6.	<i>Staff respect confidentiality.</i>	100%	7.	<i>Some staff are actively engaged in listening.</i>	100%	8.	<i>Staff interact with individuals in a respectful and</i>	100%
1.	<i>More staff are in the Milieu than in the nursing station.</i>	100%																								
2.	<i>Some staff in the Milieu are interacting with individuals, not simply observing them.</i>	100%																								
3.	<i>There are unit recognition programs.</i>	100%																								
4.	<i>Unit rules are posted and reflect recovery language and principles.</i>	100%																								
5.	<i>Unit bulletin boards are posted with religious and cultural activities.</i>	100%																								
6.	<i>Staff respect confidentiality.</i>	100%																								
7.	<i>Some staff are actively engaged in listening.</i>	100%																								
8.	<i>Staff interact with individuals in a respectful and</i>	100%																								

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>courteous manner.</i></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>9.</td> <td><i>Staff respect privacy.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10.</td> <td><i>Staff react calmly in an escalating situation</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p>Other findings: A review of the charts of seven individuals found that all seven contained milieu interventions appropriate to the active intervention (CCK, GM, HJA, IJ, JS, MLD and SLC).</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>		<i>courteous manner.</i>		9.	<i>Staff respect privacy.</i>	100%	10.	<i>Staff react calmly in an escalating situation</i>	100%																			
	<i>courteous manner.</i>																													
9.	<i>Staff respect privacy.</i>	100%																												
10.	<i>Staff react calmly in an escalating situation</i>	100%																												
C.2.j	Adequate, individualized group exercise and recreational options are provided, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Track and review participation of individuals in scheduled group exercise and recreational activities. Implement corrective action if participation is low. <p>Findings: The facility presented the following data to indicate the number of groups needed and the number of groups offered for the individuals requiring the services:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="7">Exercise Groups Offered vs. Needed</th> </tr> <tr> <th>2009/2010</th> <th>Nov</th> <th>Dec</th> <th>Jan</th> <th>Feb</th> <th>Mar</th> <th>Apr</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Number of groups offered</td> <td>131</td> <td>131</td> <td>126</td> <td>126</td> <td>126</td> <td>131</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Number of groups needed</td> <td>51</td> <td>53</td> <td>55</td> <td>55</td> <td>55</td> <td>55</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Exercise Groups Offered vs. Needed							2009/2010	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Number of groups offered	131	131	126	126	126	131	Number of groups needed	51	53	55	55	55	55
Exercise Groups Offered vs. Needed																														
2009/2010	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr																								
Number of groups offered	131	131	126	126	126	131																								
Number of groups needed	51	53	55	55	55	55																								

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Offered/needed</td> <td><100%</td> <td><100%</td> <td><100%</td> <td><100%</td> <td><100%</td> <td><100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>The facility also presented the following data to show the percentage of individuals with high BM's assigned to exercise groups:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>BMI Level</th> <th>Individuals in each category</th> <th>Individuals assigned to Exercise Groups</th> <th>Percentage assigned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>25 - 30</td> <td>641</td> <td>592</td> <td>92%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>31 - 35</td> <td>335</td> <td>321</td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>36 - 40</td> <td>160</td> <td>149</td> <td>93%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>>40</td> <td>64</td> <td>59</td> <td>92%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>As the first table above indicates, PSH provided more than the necessary number of exercise groups to accommodate all individuals with a high BMI. PSH also had enrolled most individuals with high BMIs to these exercise groups. PSH should ensure that the remaining individuals are enrolled to exercise groups and/or similar energy-expending activities.</p> <p>The following are suggestions for further improvement during the maintenance phase:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Consider providing alternate/equivalent activities if physical factors or illness are limitations to enrolling an individual in an exercise program. 2. Consider Occupational Therapy services for some individuals (including bed-bound individuals). 3. Document reasons for not enrolling individuals with high BMIs in exercise groups in the Present Status section of the individual's WRP. <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation:</p>	Offered/needed	<100%	<100%	<100%	<100%	<100%	<100%	BMI Level	Individuals in each category	Individuals assigned to Exercise Groups	Percentage assigned	25 - 30	641	592	92%	31 - 35	335	321	96%	36 - 40	160	149	93%	>40	64	59	92%
Offered/needed	<100%	<100%	<100%	<100%	<100%	<100%																							
BMI Level	Individuals in each category	Individuals assigned to Exercise Groups	Percentage assigned																										
25 - 30	641	592	92%																										
31 - 35	335	321	96%																										
36 - 40	160	149	93%																										
>40	64	59	92%																										

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		Continue to monitor this requirement.						
C.2.k	Individuals who have an assessed need for family therapy services receive such services in their primary language, as feasible, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care and that these services, and their effectiveness for addressing the indicated problem, are comprehensively documented in each individual's chart.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH C2k Family Therapy Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 100% of individuals with an assessed need for family therapy services and a signed release for family contact, and are receiving family therapy education/services:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Admission: General family education is provided to the family. SW has assessed the family's ability and willingness to be involved, and has identified and documented barriers to family involvement.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>Using the DMH C2k Family Therapy Auditing Form, PSH also assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 13% of individuals with an assessed need for family therapy services and a signed release for family contact, and are receiving family therapy education/services:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Long-Term: Efforts to involve the family, and continuing efforts and outcomes of attempts to decrease barriers to family involvement are documented in the Present Status, and Focus 11 contains an objective that prepares the individual for his or her role within their family system.</i></td> <td>94%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>Admission: General family education is provided to the family. SW has assessed the family's ability and willingness to be involved, and has identified and documented barriers to family involvement.</i>	100%	2.	<i>Long-Term: Efforts to involve the family, and continuing efforts and outcomes of attempts to decrease barriers to family involvement are documented in the Present Status, and Focus 11 contains an objective that prepares the individual for his or her role within their family system.</i>	94%
1.	<i>Admission: General family education is provided to the family. SW has assessed the family's ability and willingness to be involved, and has identified and documented barriers to family involvement.</i>	100%						
2.	<i>Long-Term: Efforts to involve the family, and continuing efforts and outcomes of attempts to decrease barriers to family involvement are documented in the Present Status, and Focus 11 contains an objective that prepares the individual for his or her role within their family system.</i>	94%						

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Using the DMH C2k Family Therapy Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 100% of individuals who are in the process of being discharged to their families:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Discharge: There is documentation in the Medical Record that family consultation and counseling was provided, the family was provided the individual's Social Work Recommended Continuing Care Plan, and information was provided to the family on community resources.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>A review of the records of five individuals with assessed need for family therapy services (CWC, DEA, FGP, LJP and MLS) found documentation in the Present Status section of all five WRPs indicating the receipt of family therapy services and/or contact between SW staff and the individual's family members. SW is in touch with and has mailed information to the families of CWC, DEA and MLS. SW has met with FGP's family and the family has not taken up the offer of family therapy/education. LJP did not agree to renew consent as his father passed away last year, his mother is old, and his other family members (brothers and sisters) live out of state.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	3.	<i>Discharge: There is documentation in the Medical Record that family consultation and counseling was provided, the family was provided the individual's Social Work Recommended Continuing Care Plan, and information was provided to the family on community resources.</i>	100%
3.	<i>Discharge: There is documentation in the Medical Record that family consultation and counseling was provided, the family was provided the individual's Social Work Recommended Continuing Care Plan, and information was provided to the family on community resources.</i>	100%			
C.2.1	Each individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan identifies general medical diagnoses, the treatments to be employed, the related symptoms to be monitored by nursing staff (i.e., registered nurses ["RNs"], licensed vocational	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation 1, December 2009: Increase sample size to 20% for audits regarding items 1-5.</p>			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

	<p>nurses ["LVNs" and psychiatric technicians) and the means and frequency by which such staff shall monitor such symptoms, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.</p>	<p>Findings: PSH increased the sample size to 21% for this review period.</p> <p>Recommendations 2 and 3, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue to implement strategies as noted [in this cell in the previous report] to increase compliance with this requirement. Continue to monitor this requirement. <p>Findings: Using the DMH Integration of Medical Conditions in WRP Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 21% mean sample of individuals with at least one Axis III diagnosis who had a WRP due during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>All medical conditions listed on Axis III are included on the Medical Conditions Form.</i></td> <td>94%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>The WRP includes each medical condition or diagnoses listed on Axis III.</i></td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>There is an appropriate focus statement for each medical condition or diagnosis.</i></td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>There is an appropriate objective for each medical condition or diagnosis.</i></td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>There are appropriate interventions for each objective.</i></td> <td>90%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance since the previous review period:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">Mean compliance rate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>76%</td> <td>94%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>71%</td> <td>91%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	1.	<i>All medical conditions listed on Axis III are included on the Medical Conditions Form.</i>	94%	2.	<i>The WRP includes each medical condition or diagnoses listed on Axis III.</i>	91%	3.	<i>There is an appropriate focus statement for each medical condition or diagnosis.</i>	91%	4.	<i>There is an appropriate objective for each medical condition or diagnosis.</i>	91%	5.	<i>There are appropriate interventions for each objective.</i>	90%		Previous period	Current period	Mean compliance rate			1.	76%	94%	2.	71%	91%
1.	<i>All medical conditions listed on Axis III are included on the Medical Conditions Form.</i>	94%																											
2.	<i>The WRP includes each medical condition or diagnoses listed on Axis III.</i>	91%																											
3.	<i>There is an appropriate focus statement for each medical condition or diagnosis.</i>	91%																											
4.	<i>There is an appropriate objective for each medical condition or diagnosis.</i>	91%																											
5.	<i>There are appropriate interventions for each objective.</i>	90%																											
	Previous period	Current period																											
Mean compliance rate																													
1.	76%	94%																											
2.	71%	91%																											

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>47%</td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>64%</td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>49%</td> <td>90%</td> </tr> </table>	3.	47%	91%	4.	64%	91%	5.	49%	90%	
3.	47%	91%										
4.	64%	91%										
5.	49%	90%										
<p>A review of the WRPs of 40 individuals (AAA, AB, AJB, AL, AS, CHC, CP, CW, DL, EMM, FGC, FL, HAT, JA, JAD, JAG, JAP, JG, JL, KA, LDF, LHH, LJ, LLP, MAA, MDM, MVV, NDR, NJK, PAR, PCJ, PDU, PMC, SCH, SF, SMM, SPE, SRT, STS and TLD) found that PSH has made continual significant improvements regarding adequate and appropriate nursing objectives and interventions for Focus 6 with the exception of the area of infection control, which is addressed in Section F.8. The majority of the WRPs reviewed for Focus 6 included appropriate objectives and interventions, which comports with PSH's data.</p> <p>PSH also assessed its compliance using the DMH Integration of Medical Conditions in WRP audit, based on an average sample of 100% of individuals scheduled for but refusing to receive medical procedure(s), including laboratory tests, during the review months (49 individuals):</p>		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Each State hospital shall ensure that interdisciplinary teams review, assess, and develop strategies to overcome individuals' refusals of medical procedures.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table>	6.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that interdisciplinary teams review, assess, and develop strategies to overcome individuals' refusals of medical procedures.</i>	98%							
6.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that interdisciplinary teams review, assess, and develop strategies to overcome individuals' refusals of medical procedures.</i>	98%										
<p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 30% in the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of PSH's data regarding the number of individuals who refused their dental appointments each month during the review period (see F.9.d) and the number of other refusals of appointments/procedures as reported by the Medical Director (up to 500 per month) indicated that there was a significant discrepancy between the actual number of refusals and the data sample (49 individuals) reflected in the table above. Please see F.9.e for a description of this system and chart review findings.</p>												

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Continue to develop and implement a system addressing this requirement that includes a system to track this specific population. 2. Continue to monitor this requirement.
C.2.m	The children and adolescents it serves receive, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care:	<p>The requirements of Section C.2.m are not applicable because PSH does not serve children and adolescents.</p>
C.2.m.i	Therapy relating to traumatic family and other traumatic experiences, as clinically indicated; and	
C.2.m.ii	reasonable, clinically appropriate opportunities to involve their families in treatment and treatment decisions.	
C.2.n	Policies and procedures are developed and implemented consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care to ensure appropriate screening for substance abuse, as clinically indicated.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: The facility reported the following substance abuse-related activities and initiatives during the review period:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A new psychiatrist was hired to lead Substance Use Services at PSH. This psychiatrist is well qualified in the field and suited for this assignment.

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>2. The facility reported that an additional 53 providers of Substance Abuse groups were trained in the trans-theoretical model of stages of change and certified to provide treatment in the Mall for a total of 243 providers. (See C.2.q for note on this total.) This total ensures that each WRPT has a trained and certified provider. However, a number of these providers were not available to provide Mall groups during this review period due to staff changes, including attrition and shifting to nocturnal schedules. In the current period, approximately 125-140 of these staff were reportedly available to serve as facilitators or co-facilitators in substance abuse Mall groups in any given month.</p> <p>3. All individuals at PSH with substance-related disorders on Axis I were staged by the Substance Abuse Assessment Team (SAAT) in March 2010. The results for Focus 5 staging were communicated to the WRTs to consider when referring individuals for treatment in the Mall.</p> <p>4. A complete Mall Catalogue of different Substance Abuse recovery groups was developed and is available in the respective Mall offices. Groups and curriculums are stratified based on the stage of change and cognitive functioning.</p> <p>5. Starting in September 2009, the SAAT organized an outreach to Programs to help identify problem cases, including those who trigger with positive urine drug screens, and to facilitate referrals and placement of individuals with substance-related diagnoses into appropriate groups.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
--	--	--

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

<p>C.2.o</p>	<p>Individuals who require treatment for substance abuse are provided appropriate therapeutic and rehabilitation services consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation 1, December 2009: Provide summary of both process and clinical outcome data regarding delivery of substance use services.</p> <p>Findings: The following is a summary of PSH's process outcome data:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Jul-Sep 2009</th> <th>Jan-Feb 2010</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Process Outcomes</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Individuals with Substance Abuse Dx</td> <td>1002</td> <td>1027</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Individuals screened for SAS treatment</td> <td>972</td> <td>955</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Screen Refusals</td> <td>282</td> <td>388</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Pre-contemplation</td> <td>273</td> <td>141</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Contemplation</td> <td>184</td> <td>140</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Preparation</td> <td>116</td> <td>151</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Action</td> <td>91</td> <td>90</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Maintenance</td> <td>26</td> <td>45</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Monolingual Spanish</td> <td>30</td> <td>31</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hours of SAS treatment offered/week</td> <td>451</td> <td>450</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SAS sessions scheduled</td> <td>365</td> <td>322</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SAS sessions held</td> <td>347/95%</td> <td>313/97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AA/NA sessions held</td> <td>74/99%</td> <td>70/91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Individuals enrolled in SAS treatment</td> <td>972</td> <td>955</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Pre-contemplation</td> <td>387</td> <td>278</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Contemplation</td> <td>262</td> <td>238</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Preparation</td> <td>165</td> <td>210</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Action</td> <td>126</td> <td>153</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Maintenance</td> <td>39</td> <td>76</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Monolingual Spanish</td> <td>28</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Individuals enrolled in AA/NA</td> <td>75</td> <td>77</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Jul-Sep 2009	Jan-Feb 2010	Process Outcomes			Individuals with Substance Abuse Dx	1002	1027	Individuals screened for SAS treatment	972	955	Screen Refusals	282	388	Pre-contemplation	273	141	Contemplation	184	140	Preparation	116	151	Action	91	90	Maintenance	26	45	Monolingual Spanish	30	31	Hours of SAS treatment offered/week	451	450	SAS sessions scheduled	365	322	SAS sessions held	347/95%	313/97%	AA/NA sessions held	74/99%	70/91%	Individuals enrolled in SAS treatment	972	955	Pre-contemplation	387	278	Contemplation	262	238	Preparation	165	210	Action	126	153	Maintenance	39	76	Monolingual Spanish	28	25	Individuals enrolled in AA/NA	75	77
	Jul-Sep 2009	Jan-Feb 2010																																																																					
Process Outcomes																																																																							
Individuals with Substance Abuse Dx	1002	1027																																																																					
Individuals screened for SAS treatment	972	955																																																																					
Screen Refusals	282	388																																																																					
Pre-contemplation	273	141																																																																					
Contemplation	184	140																																																																					
Preparation	116	151																																																																					
Action	91	90																																																																					
Maintenance	26	45																																																																					
Monolingual Spanish	30	31																																																																					
Hours of SAS treatment offered/week	451	450																																																																					
SAS sessions scheduled	365	322																																																																					
SAS sessions held	347/95%	313/97%																																																																					
AA/NA sessions held	74/99%	70/91%																																																																					
Individuals enrolled in SAS treatment	972	955																																																																					
Pre-contemplation	387	278																																																																					
Contemplation	262	238																																																																					
Preparation	165	210																																																																					
Action	126	153																																																																					
Maintenance	39	76																																																																					
Monolingual Spanish	28	25																																																																					
Individuals enrolled in AA/NA	75	77																																																																					

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>In March 2010, PSH replaced the Stages of Change Readiness and Treatment Eagerness Scale (SOCRATES) with another measure, the Readiness Ruler (RR) instrument. The newer tool was selected due to a number of factors indicating that it may be a more feasible instrument for the facility's population, in addition to literature demonstrating its predictive validity. PSH presented results of a study conducted by Dr. Meyer, the new psychiatric leader of substance use services. The study compared the stages of change identified by the SOCRATES measure compared to the RR. The results showed slight differences in the stages of change depending on the instrument used. For that reason, the data regarding individuals' progression in the stages of change, as a clinical outcome, were deferred for this review period. A database was created in March 2010 that included the RR data, as well as demographic and diagnostic data available in the DMH database. The construction of this database should permit longitudinal data extraction on clinical SA outcomes, with the March 2010 RR data serving as the baseline.</p> <p>Based on a review of the facility's current data regarding individuals identified in each stage of change, this monitor found that that PSH has maintained progress in helping individuals advance in their readiness for change.</p> <p>The facility presented Consumer Satisfaction Survey data based on 200 randomly selected individuals attending substance abuse groups. The format of presenting the results was modified from the last review. The following is a summary of the results of this survey (May 2010) compared to obtained from the most recent prior survey (November 2009)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Consumer Satisfaction Survey</th> <th>Nov 2009</th> <th>May 2010</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1. Overall satisfaction with the information and skills provided by the group</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Consumer Satisfaction Survey	Nov 2009	May 2010	1. Overall satisfaction with the information and skills provided by the group		
Consumer Satisfaction Survey	Nov 2009	May 2010						
1. Overall satisfaction with the information and skills provided by the group								

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excellent 	49%	50%
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good 	36%	31%
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequate 	12%	12%
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimal 	2%	4%
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor 	2%	3%
		2. The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the course subject		
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excellent 	55%	47%
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good 	29%	35%
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequate 	14%	11%
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimal 	2%	4%
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor 	1%	3%
		3. The group resulted in change of the way I see substance use		
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly agree 	50%	38%
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree 	25%	38%
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neutral 	17%	10%
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disagree 	4%	8%
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly disagree 	4%	6%
		4. The group resulted in change of the way I see myself		
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly agree 	50%	39%
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree 	24%	32%
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neutral 	17%	17%
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disagree 	6%	7%
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly disagree 	4%	5%
		<p>Recommendation 2, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p>		

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Findings: Using the DMH Substance Abuse Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance with this requirement based on an average sample of 21% of individuals with a current diagnosis of substance abuse (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Substance abuse is integrated into the case formulation and discussed in the present status.</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>There is an appropriate focus statement listed under Focus 5.</i></td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>There is at least one objective related to the individual's stage of change.</i></td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>There are interventions that are appropriately linked to the active objective(s).</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>The active treatment for substance abuse that is specified in the WRP is aligned with the individual's Mall schedule.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>The discharge criteria related to substance abuse are individualized and written in behavioral, observable and/or measurable terms.</i></td> <td>90%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance since the previous review period:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Mean compliance rate</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>94%</td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>81%</td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>74%</td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>84%</td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>78%</td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	1.	<i>Substance abuse is integrated into the case formulation and discussed in the present status.</i>	96%	2.	<i>There is an appropriate focus statement listed under Focus 5.</i>	91%	3.	<i>There is at least one objective related to the individual's stage of change.</i>	91%	4.	<i>There are interventions that are appropriately linked to the active objective(s).</i>	96%	5.	<i>The active treatment for substance abuse that is specified in the WRP is aligned with the individual's Mall schedule.</i>	99%	6.	<i>The discharge criteria related to substance abuse are individualized and written in behavioral, observable and/or measurable terms.</i>	90%		Previous period	Current period	Mean compliance rate			1.	94%	96%	2.	81%	91%	3.	74%	91%	4.	84%	96%	5.	78%	99%
1.	<i>Substance abuse is integrated into the case formulation and discussed in the present status.</i>	96%																																							
2.	<i>There is an appropriate focus statement listed under Focus 5.</i>	91%																																							
3.	<i>There is at least one objective related to the individual's stage of change.</i>	91%																																							
4.	<i>There are interventions that are appropriately linked to the active objective(s).</i>	96%																																							
5.	<i>The active treatment for substance abuse that is specified in the WRP is aligned with the individual's Mall schedule.</i>	99%																																							
6.	<i>The discharge criteria related to substance abuse are individualized and written in behavioral, observable and/or measurable terms.</i>	90%																																							
	Previous period	Current period																																							
Mean compliance rate																																									
1.	94%	96%																																							
2.	81%	91%																																							
3.	74%	91%																																							
4.	84%	96%																																							
5.	78%	99%																																							

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		6.	57%	90%												
C.2.p	Group facilitators and therapists providing therapeutic and rehabilitation services (in groups or individual therapy) are verifiably competent regarding selection and implementation of appropriate approaches and interventions to address therapeutic and rehabilitation services objectives, are verifiably competent in monitoring individuals' responses to therapy and rehabilitation, and receive regular, competent supervision.	<p>Other findings: This monitor reviewed the charts of six individuals to assess the proper identification of the individual's stage of change regarding substance use treatment and the development of objectives and interventions that are appropriately linked to the stages. As mentioned in C.2.f.iv, this review found substantial compliance in five charts (KKE, MVL, NB, SPE and WM) and partial compliance in one (WGA).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide summary of both process and clinical outcome data regarding delivery of substance use services. 2. Continue to monitor this requirement. <p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Mall Facilitator Observation Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 13% of the clinical facilitators managing groups each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Previous review period</th> <th>Current review period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Instructional skills</i></td> <td>93%</td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Course structure</i></td> <td>92%</td> <td>90%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Previous review period	Current review period	1.	<i>Instructional skills</i>	93%	97%	2.	<i>Course structure</i>	92%	90%
		Previous review period	Current review period													
1.	<i>Instructional skills</i>	93%	97%													
2.	<i>Course structure</i>	92%	90%													

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		3.	<i>Instructional techniques</i>	97%	94%
		4.	<i>Learning process</i>	92%	96%
		<p>Using the DMH Mall Facilitator Observation Monitoring Form PSH assessed compliance from observation of a 13% sample of all facilitators during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p>			
		1.	<i>Session starts and ends within 5 minutes of the designated starting and ending time.</i>	79%	
		2.	<i>Facilitator greets participants to begin the session.</i>	98%	
		3.	<i>There is a brief review of work from prior session.</i>	91%	
		4.	<i>Facilitator introduces the day's topic and goals.</i>	94%	
		5.	<i>Facilitator shows familiarity with lesson plan either verbally or as demonstrated during the group session.</i>	95%	
		6.	<i>Facilitator attempts to engage each participant in the session.</i>	97%	
		7.	<i>Facilitator attempts to keep all participants "on task" during the session.</i>	99%	
		8.	<i>Facilitator shows a presentation style that keeps some/all participants attentive and interested during the session.</i>	99%	
		9.	<i>Facilitator attempts to test the participants understanding.</i>	96%	
		10.	<i>Facilitator presents information in a manner appropriate to the functioning level of the participants.</i>	100%	
		11.	<i>The facilitator summarizes the work done in the session.</i>	88%	
		12.	<i>Facilitator/Co-facilitator used at least one of the following: modeling, prompting and coaching, positive reinforcement, shaping, behavioral rehearsal/role play, homework, or multimedia instruction.</i>	97%	

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>13.</td> <td><i>The room is arranged in a way that is as conducive to learning as possible.</i></td> <td>91%</td> </tr> </table>	13.	<i>The room is arranged in a way that is as conducive to learning as possible.</i>	91%																					
13.	<i>The room is arranged in a way that is as conducive to learning as possible.</i>	91%																								
		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>14.</td> <td><i>Lesson plan is available and followed.</i></td> <td>92%</td> </tr> </table>	14.	<i>Lesson plan is available and followed.</i>	92%																					
14.	<i>Lesson plan is available and followed.</i>	92%																								
		<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for items 2, 4-10, and 12-14; changes were mixed for the remaining items:</p>																								
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">Mean compliance rate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>90%</td> <td>79%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>89%</td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11.</td> <td>87%</td> <td>88%</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Compliance rate in last month of period</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>89%</td> <td>81%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11.</td> <td>85%</td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Previous period	Current period	Mean compliance rate			1.	90%	79%	3.	89%	91%	11.	87%	88%	Compliance rate in last month of period			1.	89%	81%	11.	85%	97%
	Previous period	Current period																								
Mean compliance rate																										
1.	90%	79%																								
3.	89%	91%																								
11.	87%	88%																								
Compliance rate in last month of period																										
1.	89%	81%																								
11.	85%	97%																								
		<p>Observation of seven Mall groups found that the facilitators were prepared, including the substitute facilitators. All the seven groups had lesson plans, and the facilitators followed the lesson plans of the day in six of the groups. In the remaining group, the facilitators made a good clinical decision to use other lessons given the nature of the individuals attending the groups. Attendance was high in six of the seven groups, and attendance was low in one group because there was a lockdown at that time and individuals were unable to transfer from their units to the Mall area. Two groups did not have their regular facilitators; one group was facilitated by a co-provider and the other by substitute staff. This is an improvement given that in the past, in all likelihood, these groups would have been cancelled in the absence of the primary facilitator. The groups with substitute providers were involved in meaningful activities and not treated as down-time. The quality of the facilitation of the groups ranged from excellent to acceptable.</p>																								

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Suggestions for further improvement during the maintenance phase:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where possible, rearrange the seating to suit the group activities. 2. Plan activities for active participation by individuals, and reduce the "sit and listen" periods. 3. Engage ALL the individuals in the group and not let the few active ones monopolize the group. 4. Reorient the individuals disengaged from the group, call on them to participate, direct questions at them, ask for their assistance in some task, or have the co-facilitator provide some one-on-one facilitation time. 5. Use material and techniques appropriate to the lesson/activity. 6. Most importantly, ensure that the lessons/activities/questions are individualized and made personal to the individual. Individuals attending the Mall group need to feel wanted, listened to, heard from, and hear issues specific to them addressed in addition to learning general information. This could be a motivating factor for them to attend the groups in addition to helping them to make progress in their discharge matters and quality of life. <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
C.2.q	Group facilitators and therapists providing therapeutic and rehabilitation services in the field of substance abuse should be certified substance abuse counselors.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p>

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Findings: PSH presented the following data regarding the certification of Substance Abuse facilitators:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Providers</th> <th>Co-providers</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Number</td> <td>68</td> <td>89</td> <td>157</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Number certified</td> <td>62</td> <td>68</td> <td>130</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Percentage certified</td> <td>91%</td> <td>77%</td> <td>83%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>In the previous review period, the facility reported that 93% of substance abuse groups were led by certified providers (note that this is a different counting methodology and thus is not directly comparable to the 83% reported in the current review period).</p> <p>Note that in C.2.n, the facility reported that 53 additional providers were trained during the review period for a total of 243 certified providers. It is possible that the table above represents only those providers who actually provided Substance Abuse facilitation during the review period.</p> <p>Other findings: See findings in C.2.n.</p> <p>Compliance: Partial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Ensure that training is provided so that all providers and co-providers are certified.</p>		Providers	Co-providers	Total	Number	68	89	157	Number certified	62	68	130	Percentage certified	91%	77%	83%
	Providers	Co-providers	Total															
Number	68	89	157															
Number certified	62	68	130															
Percentage certified	91%	77%	83%															
C.2.r	Transportation and staffing issues do not preclude individuals from attending appointments.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>																

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

Findings:

The facility provided the following data on scheduled and cancelled appointments:

Missed Appointments Monitoring - Medical Services					
	Appointments		Reasons for Cancellation		
	Sched- uled	Cancelled	Staffing	Transpor- tation	Other
Nov 09	1636	432	15	6	411
Dec 09	1485	404	29	14	361
Jan 10	1889	376	17	13	346
Feb 10	2131	517	35	7	475
Mar 10	2157	725	8	28	689
Apr 10	2101	557	34	18	505
Total	11,399	3011	138	86	2787

The number of scheduled appointments (11,399) was significantly higher during this review period than in the previous period (2305) and should be re-checked and confirmed because it implies an average of more than seven scheduled appointments per individual during the period, which seems unusual. The cancellation of scheduled appointments due to staffing and transportation issues was 7% during this review period and 4% during the previous period. According to the Director of Standards Compliance, the facility is reviewing the causes for cancellations due to staffing and transportation. PSH should also analyze the reasons for

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>refusals and provide support to the individuals to keep their scheduled appointments (for example, desensitization procedures for anxiety, schedule appointments when individual does not have important/interesting competing activities or ensure the individual gets to engage in the activity when he/she returns from the appointment; and/or allocate By Choice points for keeping the schedules).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
C.2.s	<p>Adequate oversight to treatment, rehabilitation and enrichment groups is provided to ensure that individuals are assigned to groups that are appropriate to their assessed needs, that groups are provided consistently and with appropriate frequency, and that issues particularly relevant for this population, including the use of psychotropic medications and substance abuse, are appropriately addressed, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Ensure that individuals' cognitive levels, needs and strengths are utilized when considering group assignments.</p> <p>Findings: See C.2.i.vi.</p> <p>Using the DMH WRP Clinical Chart Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 11% of the WRPs due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>10.</td> <td><i>Adequate oversight to treatment, rehabilitation and enrichment groups is provided to ensure that individuals are assigned to groups that are appropriate to their assessed needs, that groups are provided consistently and with appropriate frequency, and that issues particularly relevant for this population, including the use of psychotropic medications and</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </table>	10.	<i>Adequate oversight to treatment, rehabilitation and enrichment groups is provided to ensure that individuals are assigned to groups that are appropriate to their assessed needs, that groups are provided consistently and with appropriate frequency, and that issues particularly relevant for this population, including the use of psychotropic medications and</i>	97%
10.	<i>Adequate oversight to treatment, rehabilitation and enrichment groups is provided to ensure that individuals are assigned to groups that are appropriate to their assessed needs, that groups are provided consistently and with appropriate frequency, and that issues particularly relevant for this population, including the use of psychotropic medications and</i>	97%			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td> <p><i>substance abuse are appropriately addressed, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.</i></p> </td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 88% in the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the WRPs for seven individuals found that six of the WRPs had assigned the individuals to meaningful groups in line with their diagnoses and cognitive levels (CSC, DLJ, JAH, JLO, MLB and RDW). The remaining one (RNM) did not.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>		<p><i>substance abuse are appropriately addressed, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.</i></p>	
	<p><i>substance abuse are appropriately addressed, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.</i></p>				
C.2.t	<p>Treatment, rehabilitation and enrichment services are monitored appropriately against rational, operationally-defined target variables and revised as appropriate in light of significant developments, and the individual's progress, or lack thereof;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure that WRPTs review PSH Mall Facilitator Monthly Progress Notes, document individual progress or lack thereof, and discuss the findings with the individual. • Ensure that the individual's progress is tracked (using the PSH Mall Facilitator Monthly Progress Note) and that participation at different levels and in different groups is adjusted accordingly. <p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Clinical Chart Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 11% of the WRPs due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p>			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		11.	<i>Treatment, rehabilitation and enrichment services are monitored appropriately against rational, operationally-defined target variables and revised as appropriate in light of significant development, and the individual's progress, or lack thereof.</i>	86%
		11.a	<i>Each objective is observable, measurable and behavioral.</i>	97%
		11.b	<i>All groups and individual therapies are linked directly to the foci, objective and interventions specified in the individual's WRP.</i>	99%
		11.c	<i>There is a DMH PSR Mail Facilitator Monthly Progress Note for each active treatment in the individual's WRP.</i>	77%
		11.d	<i>If the individual has not made progress on an objective in 2 months, the objective and/or intervention is revised, or there is documentation of clinically justifiable reasons for continuing with the objective.</i>	64%
		11.e	<i>If the individual has met the objective, a new objective and related interventions have been developed and implemented.</i>	96%
Comparative data indicated mixed changes in compliance since the previous review period:				
			Previous period	Current period
Mean compliance rate				
11.			65%	86%
Compliance rate in last month of period				
11.			80%	90%
11.a			94%	98%

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>11.b</td> <td>97%</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11.c</td> <td>55%</td> <td>89%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11.d</td> <td>69%</td> <td>64%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11.e</td> <td>83%</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table>	11.b	97%	100%	11.c	55%	89%	11.d	69%	64%	11.e	83%	100%	<p>The mean compliance rate for item 11.c (77%) does not comport with information in C.2.i.vii, in which the facility reported a 97% compliance rate regarding the completion of Mall progress notes; the facility's report did not resolve this conflict, which may be due to the use of a different time period for each audit.</p> <p>According to PSH, the data for this requirement was obtained from the WaRMSS module, which was put in place only in February 2010. PSH plans to continue to audit and provide feedback to program managers to further improve compliance. According to the Mall Director, it takes time for WRPTs to make Mall group assignments and re-assignments, sometimes as much as an hour using the current software system, especially for staff who have yet to master the operation of this system. PSH had started pilot training on the system using ward clerks to assist WRPTs on two units, and the facility plans to continue this training until all teams get trained.</p> <p>A review of the WRPs for six individuals found that all six WRPs met the elements of this requirement (CSC, DLJ, JLO, MLB, RDW and RNM). Observation of WRPCs (CCH, MT and STJ) found that the teams had incorporated Mall progress notes into the WRP before the session. Information from the Mall notes was discussed at the conference.</p> <p>Compliance: Partial; improved compared to last review. Although the monitor found substantial compliance in all charts reviewed, the facility's sample was significantly larger.</p>
11.b	97%	100%													
11.c	55%	89%													
11.d	69%	64%													
11.e	83%	100%													

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>																																		
C.2.u	<p>Individuals are educated regarding the purposes of their treatment, rehabilitation and enrichment services. They will be provided a copy of their WRP when appropriate based on clinical judgment.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to provide data regarding this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: The table below showing the numbers of individuals needing and receiving WRP education is a summary of the facility's data:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="5">Number of the Introduction to Wellness and Recovery groups offered during the current and previous three Fall terms</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>Jul-Sep 2009</th> <th>Oct-Dec 2009</th> <th>Jan-Mar 2010</th> <th>April 5-30, 2010</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Needing</td> <td>246</td> <td>264</td> <td>285</td> <td>71</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Receiving</td> <td>246</td> <td>264</td> <td>285</td> <td>71</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The table above indicates that PSH has provided Wellness and Recovery Education groups to all individuals in need of the program.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Number of Introduction to Wellness and Recovery Groups Scheduled and Attended (November 2009-April 2010, mean)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Sessions scheduled</td> <td>92</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sessions held</td> <td>92</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% held</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Individuals scheduled</td> <td>77</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Individuals attended at least one group per month</td> <td>77</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% attended</td> <td>100</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Number of the Introduction to Wellness and Recovery groups offered during the current and previous three Fall terms						Jul-Sep 2009	Oct-Dec 2009	Jan-Mar 2010	April 5-30, 2010	Needing	246	264	285	71	Receiving	246	264	285	71	Number of Introduction to Wellness and Recovery Groups Scheduled and Attended (November 2009-April 2010, mean)		Sessions scheduled	92	Sessions held	92	% held	100	Individuals scheduled	77	Individuals attended at least one group per month	77	% attended	100
Number of the Introduction to Wellness and Recovery groups offered during the current and previous three Fall terms																																				
	Jul-Sep 2009	Oct-Dec 2009	Jan-Mar 2010	April 5-30, 2010																																
Needing	246	264	285	71																																
Receiving	246	264	285	71																																
Number of Introduction to Wellness and Recovery Groups Scheduled and Attended (November 2009-April 2010, mean)																																				
Sessions scheduled	92																																			
Sessions held	92																																			
% held	100																																			
Individuals scheduled	77																																			
Individuals attended at least one group per month	77																																			
% attended	100																																			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>Recommendation 2, June 2009: Provide data to support that individuals are provided a copy of their WRPs based on clinical judgment.</p> <p>Findings: All seven WRPs reviewed contained documentation indicating whether or not a copy of the report was given to the individual (CCK, GM, HJA, IJ, JS, MLD and SLC).</p> <p>This monitor observed three WRPCs (CCH, MT and STJ). In all three cases, the WRPT members considered giving a copy of the WRP to the individual or made a clinical decision not to do so.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
C.2.v	Staff educates individuals about their medications, the expected results, and the potential common and/or serious side effects of medications, and staff regularly asks individuals about common and/or serious side effects they may experience.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data regarding the number of individuals identified as in need of a medication education group, the number of individuals scheduled for a medication education group, the number of groups offered and the number of hours offered. Provide comparative data from the previous to current review period for each data element.</p> <p>Findings: The following is a summary of the facility's data:</p>

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">Individuals Needing and Provided Medication Education Groups</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>Jul-Sep 2009</th> <th>Oct-Dec 2009</th> <th>Jan-Mar 2010</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td># of individuals needing service</td> <td>1,062</td> <td>1,067</td> <td>1,057</td> </tr> <tr> <td># of individuals receiving service</td> <td>959</td> <td>957</td> <td>968</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Other findings: This monitor interviewed Andrew Blaine, MD, Staff Psychiatrist responsible for the medication education of individuals and reviewed the facility's Medication Education Assessment Tool. The interview and review found that the current system of needs assessment of the individuals was adequate.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Provide data regarding the number of individuals identified as in need of a medication education group, the number of individuals scheduled for a medication education group, the number of groups offered and the number of hours offered. Provide comparative data from the previous to current review period for each data element.</p>	Individuals Needing and Provided Medication Education Groups					Jul-Sep 2009	Oct-Dec 2009	Jan-Mar 2010	# of individuals needing service	1,062	1,067	1,057	# of individuals receiving service	959	957	968
Individuals Needing and Provided Medication Education Groups																		
	Jul-Sep 2009	Oct-Dec 2009	Jan-Mar 2010															
# of individuals needing service	1,062	1,067	1,057															
# of individuals receiving service	959	957	968															
C.2.w	Interdisciplinary teams review, assess, and develop positive clinical strategies to overcome individual's barriers to participation in therapeutic and rehabilitation services.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Present data regarding the number of individuals who were non-adherent to WRP and improve data reliability. <li>• Refine the non-adherent trigger criteria differentiating non-adherence due to motivation from non-adherence due to other issues 																

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		<p>including medical and mental illness.</p> <p>Findings: The table below showing the number of individuals meeting the non-adherence criteria for 30 consecutive days in each month of this review period is a summary of the facility's data:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>2009/10</th> <th>Nov</th> <th>Dec</th> <th>Jan</th> <th>Feb</th> <th>Mar</th> <th>Apr</th> <th>Mean</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Nonadherence for 30 consecutive days</td> <td>13</td> <td>7</td> <td>5</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td>3</td> <td>6</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The above mean implies that approximately 1% of individuals were non-adherent to treatment. In the previous review period, the facility reported that 1,311 individuals (89%) were non-adherent. That data was believed to be suspect as it apparently included individuals who did not attend Mall groups due to physical incapacitation or symptoms of mental illness that precluded participation. Nevertheless, this is a drastic decline in non-adherence. The facility did not describe in its progress report the changes to its non-adherence measurement methodology that would explain this decline.</p> <p>Recommendation 3, December 2009: Use systematic methods of behavior change, including Motivational Interviewing, Narrative Restructuring Therapy and other cognitive behavioral interventions, to change individuals' attitudes toward participation in assigned groups and individual therapies.</p> <p>Findings: The facility's presented the following data regarding methods used to encourage adherence to treatment:</p>	2009/10	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Mean	Nonadherence for 30 consecutive days	13	7	5	2	4	3	6
2009/10	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Mean											
Nonadherence for 30 consecutive days	13	7	5	2	4	3	6											

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

	1.	Motivational Interviewing	9
	2.	Changing groups	15
	3.	Individual Therapy	10
	4.	Medication changes	12
	5	Motivational Enhancement Group	5
	6	By Choice point reallocation	4
	7	Behavior Guidelines	3
	8	CBT	3
	9	DBT	1
	10	RISE	2
	11	SAFE	1
<p>As the table above shows, PSH is using a variety of behavior change methods with individuals unmotivated to regularly attend Mall groups. The treatment selection is specific to the reasons for the individual's non-adherence. At the next review, the facility should be prepared to present evidence of the effectiveness of these behavioral treatments.</p> <p>Staff interviews and documentation reviews found that PSH had trained 24 clinicians on Motivational Interviewing during this review period. The facility also had conducted a one-day mandated training on Motivational Interviewing for all Psychologists, Social Workers, and Rehabilitation Therapists. The training was also attended by a number of psychiatrists, nursing staff and dieticians. PSH has trained a total of 366 staff on Motivational Interviewing.</p> <p>Compliance: Partial.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Present data regarding the number of individuals who were non-adherent to WRP and improve data reliability. 			

Section C: Integrated Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services Planning

		2. Use systematic methods of behavior change, including Motivational Interviewing, Narrative Restructuring Therapy and other cognitive behavioral interventions, to change individuals' attitudes toward participation in assigned groups and individual therapies.
--	--	---

Section D: Integrated Assessments

D. Integrated Assessments		
D	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, each individual shall receive, promptly after admission to each State hospital, an accurate and comprehensive assessment of the conditions responsible for the individual's admission, to the degree possible given the obtainable information at the time of admission. Thereafter, each individual shall receive an accurate and comprehensive reassessment of the reasons for the individual's continued hospitalization whenever there has been a significant change in the individual's status, or a lack of expected improvement resulting from clinically indicated treatment. The individual's interdisciplinary team shall be responsible for investigating the past and present medical, nursing, psychiatric, and psychosocial factors bearing on the individual's condition, and, when necessary, for revising assessments and therapeutic and rehabilitation plans in accordance with new information that comes to light. Each State hospital shall monitor, and promptly address deficiencies in the quality and timeliness of such assessments.</p>	<p>Summary of Progress on Psychiatric Assessments and Diagnoses:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The facility has maintained substantial compliance with the requirements in cells D.1.a (use of diagnostic criteria), D.1.c regarding the admission medical, admission psychiatric and integrated psychiatric assessments, and D.1.e regarding the timeliness of psychiatric reassessments. 2. PSH has achieved substantial compliance with the requirements in cell D.1.f regarding the content of psychiatric reassessments. 3. PSH has made significant progress in meeting the requirement in cell D.1.g regarding the content of psychiatric inter-unit transfer assessments <p>Summary of Progress on Psychological Assessments: PSH has maintained substantial compliance with all requirements of Section D.2.</p> <p>Summary of Progress on Nursing Assessments: PSH has maintained substantial compliance with all requirements of Section D.3 and continues to produce quality admission and integrated nursing assessments.</p> <p>Summary of Progress on Rehabilitation Therapy Assessments: PSH has maintained substantial compliance overall with the requirements of Section D.4 and should continue to enhance and improve current practice.</p> <p>Summary of Progress on Nutrition Assessments: PSH has maintained substantial compliance with the requirements of Section D.5 with the exception of the sub-item of timeliness of lower-acuity assessments (cells D.5.i. and D.5.j.ii).</p>

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Summary of Progress on Social History Assessments: PSH has maintained substantial compliance with all requirements of Section D.6.</p> <p>Summary of Progress on Court Assessments: As of the tour conducted in June 2009, PSH had maintained compliance with all of the requirements of this section for 18 months. The Court Monitor's evaluation of this section has therefore ceased per the terms of the Consent Judgment, and it is the responsibility of DMH to provide oversight evaluation and ensure future maintenance of compliance.</p>
--	--	---

Section D: Integrated Assessments

1. Psychiatric Assessments and Diagnoses		
	<p>Each State hospital shall provide all of the individuals it serves with routine and emergency psychiatric assessments and reassessments consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care; and,</p>	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u> Rebecca Kornbluh, MD, Acting Chief of Psychiatry</p> <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The charts of the following 34 individuals: BC, CH, CRH, CSA, DJU, DLJ, DM, EAL, ET, FJ, GB, IS, JAL, JNP, KKE, LJ, LLL, MLR, MLV, MSB, MVV, NAC, NB, OV, PAS, RH, SFA, SR, TG, TNH, TW, VM, VQ and WHS 2. Template Integrated Assessment; Psychology Section (IAPS) 3. Monthly Psychiatric Progress Notes for the following 52 individuals: ALT, BEK, BG, CB, CBA, CGG, CH, CWL, DF-1, DF-2, DRH, DTJ, DW, ER, ETR, GH, HLG, JAL, JAM, JH-1, JH-2, JKO, JS, KC, KMS, LGM, LJS, LL, LW, MB, MLB, MMS, MOC, MV, NC, NNT, PC, PEL, PLH, RA, RAP, RC, RGM, RH, RM, RS, SC, SH, TCS, TLM, TS-1 and TS-2 4. PSH Admission Psychiatric Assessment summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 5. PSH Integrated Assessment: Psychiatric Section summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 6. PSH Admission Medical Assessment Auditing summary (November 2009-April 2010) 7. PSH Monthly PPN Audit summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 8. PSH Weekly PPN Auditing summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 9. PSH Physician Transfer Note Auditing summary (November 2009-April 2010)
D.1.a	<p>Each State hospital shall use the diagnostic criteria in the most current Diagnostics and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders ("DSM") for reaching the most accurate psychiatric diagnoses.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Findings:</p> <p>PSH used the DMH Admission and Integrated Psychiatric Assessment and Monthly Physician Progress Note Auditing Forms to assess compliance for the review period (November 2009-April 2010). The average samples were 48% of admission assessments, 25% of integrated assessments and 21 % of monthly notes on individuals who have been hospitalized for more than 90 days. The following tables summarize the data:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Admission Assessment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Admission diagnoses are documented.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Integrated Assessment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2.b</td> <td><i>If applicable, statements from the individual are included or a comment addressing this and a plan to obtain the lacking information.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.d</td> <td><i>Psychiatric history, including review of present and past history including diagnosis and medications given at previous facility.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Includes diagnostic formulation.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Includes differential diagnosis</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9.</td> <td><i>Includes current psychiatric diagnoses.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Monthly PPN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>3.b</td> <td><i>Timely and justifiable updates of diagnosis and treatment, as clinically indicated.</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of</p>	Admission Assessment			4.	<i>Admission diagnoses are documented.</i>	100%	Integrated Assessment			2.b	<i>If applicable, statements from the individual are included or a comment addressing this and a plan to obtain the lacking information.</i>	100%	2.d	<i>Psychiatric history, including review of present and past history including diagnosis and medications given at previous facility.</i>	99%	7.	<i>Includes diagnostic formulation.</i>	98%	8.	<i>Includes differential diagnosis</i>	98%	9.	<i>Includes current psychiatric diagnoses.</i>	100%	Monthly PPN			3.b	<i>Timely and justifiable updates of diagnosis and treatment, as clinically indicated.</i>	97%
Admission Assessment																																
4.	<i>Admission diagnoses are documented.</i>	100%																														
Integrated Assessment																																
2.b	<i>If applicable, statements from the individual are included or a comment addressing this and a plan to obtain the lacking information.</i>	100%																														
2.d	<i>Psychiatric history, including review of present and past history including diagnosis and medications given at previous facility.</i>	99%																														
7.	<i>Includes diagnostic formulation.</i>	98%																														
8.	<i>Includes differential diagnosis</i>	98%																														
9.	<i>Includes current psychiatric diagnoses.</i>	100%																														
Monthly PPN																																
3.b	<i>Timely and justifiable updates of diagnosis and treatment, as clinically indicated.</i>	97%																														

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>																		
D.1.b	Each State hospital shall ensure that all psychiatrists responsible for performing or reviewing psychiatric assessments:	Please see sub-cells for compliance findings.																		
D.1.b.i	are certified by the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology ("ABPN") or have successfully completed at least three years of psychiatry residency training in an Accreditation Counsel for Graduate Medical Education accreditation program, and	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: The facility has maintained its practice. The following is a summary of the data regarding the number and type of positions:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Psychiatric positions filled</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FTE psychiatrists</td> <td>88</td> <td>85</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FTE direct care psychiatrists</td> <td>69</td> <td>74</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Board-certified</td> <td>52</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Board-eligible</td> <td>40</td> <td>34</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>		Previous period	Current period	Psychiatric positions filled			FTE psychiatrists	88	85	FTE direct care psychiatrists	69	74	Board-certified	52	50	Board-eligible	40	34
	Previous period	Current period																		
Psychiatric positions filled																				
FTE psychiatrists	88	85																		
FTE direct care psychiatrists	69	74																		
Board-certified	52	50																		
Board-eligible	40	34																		

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
D.1.b.ii	<p>Are verifiably competent (as defined by privileging at initial appointment and thereafter by reprivileging for continued appointment) in performing psychiatric assessments consistent with each State Hospital's standard diagnostic protocols.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide summary regarding status of implementation of the [process described in this cell in the previous report].</p> <p>Findings: The facility has implemented this process. The following is a summary of items upon which privileging/reprivileging is contingent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Satisfactory attendance at Departmental meetings; • Licensure status; • Malpractice or medical board findings; • Timeliness of assessments; • Prescribing errors; • Surgical morbidity/mortality findings; • Appropriate utilization management; • Professional conduct; and • Results of DMH audit. <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Provide summary regarding status of implementation of the current process of reprivileging.</p>
D.1.c	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that:</p>	<p>Please see sub-cells for compliance findings.</p>

Section D: Integrated Assessments

<p>D.1.c.i</p>	<p>Within 24 hours of an individual's admission to each State hospital, the individual receives an Admission Medical Assessment that includes:</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to monitor this requirement. • Ensure that the neurological examination of deep tendon reflexes is completed consistently. <p>Findings: Using the DMH Admission Medical Assessment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance with the requirements of D.1.c.i.1 through D.1.c.1.5 based on an average sample of 78% of admissions each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Within 24 hours of an individual's admission to each State Hospital, the individual receives an Admission Medical Assessment.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>Other findings: A review of the charts of 10 individuals admitted during the review period (BC, DJU, ET, KKE, LJ, NAC, NB, OV, TG and WHS) found substantial compliance in nine charts and partial compliance in one (OV). In the chart of OV, the neurological examination was done after initial refusal by the individual, but the examination was incomplete.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	1.	<i>Within 24 hours of an individual's admission to each State Hospital, the individual receives an Admission Medical Assessment.</i>	100%
1.	<i>Within 24 hours of an individual's admission to each State Hospital, the individual receives an Admission Medical Assessment.</i>	100%			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

D.1.c.i.1	a review of systems;	100%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.						
D.1.c.i.2	medical history;	100%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.						
D.1.c.i.3	physical examination;	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>A physical examination</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>A rectal and genital examination</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that improvement in compliance from 87% in the previous review period for item 4 and 84% for item 5.</p>	4.	<i>A physical examination</i>	98%	5.	<i>A rectal and genital examination</i>	100%
4.	<i>A physical examination</i>	98%						
5.	<i>A rectal and genital examination</i>	100%						
D.1.c.i.4	diagnostic impressions; and	99%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.						
D.1.c.i.5	management of acute medical conditions	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Management of acute medical conditions as listed in section E and D are identified and appropriately treated and/or referred for follow-up by primary care physician.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Further plan of care, preventive health screening and health maintenance if interventions and follow-up that need to be addressed by primary care physician and the attending psychiatrist are checked.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that improvement in compliance from 88% in the previous review period for item 7 and maintenance of a compliance rate of at least 90% for item 8.</p>	7.	<i>Management of acute medical conditions as listed in section E and D are identified and appropriately treated and/or referred for follow-up by primary care physician.</i>	100%	8.	<i>Further plan of care, preventive health screening and health maintenance if interventions and follow-up that need to be addressed by primary care physician and the attending psychiatrist are checked.</i>	100%
7.	<i>Management of acute medical conditions as listed in section E and D are identified and appropriately treated and/or referred for follow-up by primary care physician.</i>	100%						
8.	<i>Further plan of care, preventive health screening and health maintenance if interventions and follow-up that need to be addressed by primary care physician and the attending psychiatrist are checked.</i>	100%						
D.1.c.ii	within 24 hours of an individual's admission to each State hospital, the individual receives an Admission Psychiatric Assessment that	Current findings on previous recommendations:						

Section D: Integrated Assessments

	<p>includes:</p>	<p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to monitor this requirement. • Ensure consistent documentation of necessary information in the history of present illness as well as specific description of the nature of disturbances of thought content in all cases. <p>Findings: Using the DMH Admission Psychiatric Assessment Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 48% of admissions each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010). The mean compliance rate was 100%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>The mean compliance rates for the requirements in D.1.c.ii.2 through D.1.c.ii.6 are listed for each corresponding cell below. The comparative data are listed, as appropriate.</p> <p>Other findings: A review of the charts of 10 individuals (BC, DJU, ET, KKE, LJ, NAC, NB, OV, TG and WHS) found substantial compliance in nine charts and partial compliance in one (NAC). In the chart of NAC, the violence risk assessment was inadequately completed (after the initial attempt to complete the assessment was hampered by the individual's muteness).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
D.1.c.ii.1	<p>psychiatric history, including a review of presenting symptoms;</p>	<p>100%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>

Section D: Integrated Assessments

D.1.c.ii.2	complete mental status examination;	99%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.
D.1.c.ii.3	admission diagnoses;	100%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.
D.1.c.ii.4	completed AIMS;	98%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.
D.1.c.ii.5	laboratory tests ordered;	100%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.
D.1.c.ii.6	consultations ordered; and	99%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.
D.1.c.ii.7	plan of care.	99%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.
D.1.c.iii	within 7 days (60/72 hrs) of an individual's admission to each State hospital, the individual receives an Integrated Psychiatric Assessment that includes:	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1-3, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to monitor this requirement. • Ensure that the strength formulation is consistently focused on the individual's positive attributes and does not include negative traits. • Ensure that the diagnostic formulation is consistently focused on the diagnosis and is not confused with the interdisciplinary case formulation. <p>Findings: Using the DMH Integrated Assessment Psychiatry Section Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 25% of Integrated Assessments due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010). The mean compliance rate was 97%. Comparative data</p>

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>The mean compliance rates for the remaining requirements in D.1.c.iii are listed in each corresponding cell below. Comparative data are listed, as appropriate.</p> <p>Other findings: A review of the charts of 10 individuals found substantial compliance in all cases (BC, DJU, ET, KKE, LJ, NAC, NB, OV, TG and WHS).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
D.1.c.iii. 1	psychiatric history, including a review of present and past history;	100%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.
D.1.c.iii. 2	psychosocial history;	100%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.
D.1.c.iii. 3	mental status examination;	100%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.
D.1.c.iii. 4	strengths;	98%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.
D.1.c.iii. 5	psychiatric risk factors;	100%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.
D.1.c.iii.	diagnostic formulation;	98%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance

Section D: Integrated Assessments

6		rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.
D.1.c.iii. 7	differential diagnosis;	98%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.
D.1.c.iii. 8	current psychiatric diagnoses;	100%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.
D.1.c.iii. 9	psychopharmacology treatment plan; and	99%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.
D.1.c.iii. 10	management of identified risks.	100%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.
D.1.d	Each State hospital shall ensure that:	Please see sub-cells for compliance findings.
D.1.d.i	Clinically justifiable diagnoses are provided for each individual, and all diagnoses that cannot be clinically justified for an individual are discontinued no later than the next review;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation 1, December 2009: Continue to provide documentation of continuing medical education to psychiatry staff to improve competence in the assessment of cognitive and other neuropsychiatric disorders. Provide data regarding the title of each program, the speakers and affiliation and the number and disciplines of attendees.</p> <p>Findings: The facility provided an outline of CME presentations (live and video) during this review period. The presentations that were relevant to this recommendation included the following topics:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Evaluation of Acute Change of Mental Status; 2. Integrated Medical, Psychiatric and Psychological Approaches to Management of Water Intoxication in a Long Term Psychiatric

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Facility;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Epilepsy in the New Millennium: Emerging Treatments and Guidelines for Effective Diagnosis and Disease Management; 4. Management of Multiple Sclerosis, Differential Diagnosis - A Consensus Approach; 5. Evolving Sleep-Wake Research: Implications for Improved Patient Outcomes; and 6. Complex Presentations of Sleep-Wake Dysfunction: Case Challenges. <p>Other significant presentations included the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Assessing Competency to Stand Trial; 2. California Insanity Acquittees: Commitment, Extension and Release Issues; 3. Mentally Disordered Offenders: Assessment and Release Issues; 4. He's Such a Nice Guy; He's Got To Be Ready To Go. Right?; 5. Recovery and Integration: Conditional vs. Unconditional Release; 6. Informed Consent, Substituted Medical Consent and California Conservatorships; 7. Involuntary Medication Administration at Patton: Legal and Clinical Considerations; 8. Surviving the Witness Stand; 9. Assessing and Managing the Patient with Bipolar Mania; 10. Improving the Care of People with Mental Illness in Rural Areas; 11. ADHD Case Challenge; 12. Applying Performance Measures through a Chronic Disease Model to Optimize Treatment of Bipolar Mania; 13. ADHD Across the Ages: Focus on the Adult; 14. Bipolar Mania: Improving Recognition, Diagnostic Accuracy and Evidence-Based Treatment; 15. Addressing Barriers to Care: Strategies for the Management of Patients with Schizophrenia; and 16. Child ADHD: Exploring Complexities of Care.
--	--	--

		<p>The live presentations were, in general, well-attended by the medical staff. No attendance data were available regarding video presentations. The programs were comprehensive in range and well-aligned with the needs of the facility.</p> <p>Recommendation 2, December 2009: Continue to provide comparative data regarding the average number of individuals who have had diagnoses listed as NOS and/or R/O for three or more months during the review period compared with the last period.</p> <p>Findings: PSH reported the comparative number of individuals receiving NOS, Deferred and Rule Out Diagnoses for more than 90 days. The data showed decreases in the number of individuals in all categories from 198 during the previous period to 167 during this review period. In view of the facility's census, these data indicate appropriate practice in this area. However, the facility should report this data stratified by category (NOS, Deferred, and Rule Out).</p> <p>Other findings: This monitor reviewed the charts of the following 12 individuals who have received diagnoses listed as NOS for more than 60 days:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Initials</th> <th>Diagnosis (NOS)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>CH</td> <td>Psychotic Disorder NOS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ET</td> <td>Dementia NOS (finalized to Dementia of the Alzheimer's Type)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JAL</td> <td>Impulse Control Disorder NOS finalized to Schizophrenia, Paranoid Type</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JNP</td> <td>Impulse Control Disorder NOS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LLL</td> <td>Depressive Disorder NOS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MLR</td> <td>Psychotic Disorder NOS</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Initials	Diagnosis (NOS)	CH	Psychotic Disorder NOS	ET	Dementia NOS (finalized to Dementia of the Alzheimer's Type)	JAL	Impulse Control Disorder NOS finalized to Schizophrenia, Paranoid Type	JNP	Impulse Control Disorder NOS	LLL	Depressive Disorder NOS	MLR	Psychotic Disorder NOS
Initials	Diagnosis (NOS)															
CH	Psychotic Disorder NOS															
ET	Dementia NOS (finalized to Dementia of the Alzheimer's Type)															
JAL	Impulse Control Disorder NOS finalized to Schizophrenia, Paranoid Type															
JNP	Impulse Control Disorder NOS															
LLL	Depressive Disorder NOS															
MLR	Psychotic Disorder NOS															

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>MLV</td> <td>Mood Disorder NOS finalized to Schizoaffective Disorder, Depressive Type</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PAS</td> <td>Dementia NOS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RH</td> <td>Depressive Disorder NOS and Anxiety Disorder NOS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SR</td> <td>Depressive Disorder NOS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TW</td> <td>Psychotic Disorder NOS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VQ</td> <td>Cognitive Disorder NOS</td> </tr> </table> <p>Overall, the review found significant improvement in addressing the deficiencies outlined in previous reports, There was evidence of substantial compliance in nine charts (ET, JAL, JNP, LLL, MLR, MLV, RH, SR and TW) and partial compliance in three (CH, PAS and VQ).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Continue to provide documentation of continuing medical education to psychiatry staff to improve competence in the assessment of cognitive and other neuropsychiatric disorders. Provide data regarding the title of each program, the speakers and affiliation and the number and disciplines of attendees. 2. Provide stratified data regarding the number of individuals who have had diagnoses listed as NOS, Deferred, and/or R/O for three or more months during the review period compared with the last period. 	MLV	Mood Disorder NOS finalized to Schizoaffective Disorder, Depressive Type	PAS	Dementia NOS	RH	Depressive Disorder NOS and Anxiety Disorder NOS	SR	Depressive Disorder NOS	TW	Psychotic Disorder NOS	VQ	Cognitive Disorder NOS
MLV	Mood Disorder NOS finalized to Schizoaffective Disorder, Depressive Type													
PAS	Dementia NOS													
RH	Depressive Disorder NOS and Anxiety Disorder NOS													
SR	Depressive Disorder NOS													
TW	Psychotic Disorder NOS													
VQ	Cognitive Disorder NOS													
D.1.d.ii	The documented justification of the diagnoses is in accord with the criteria contained in the most current DSM (as per DSM-IV-TR Checklist);	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Same as in D.1.d.i.</p> <p>Findings: Same as in D.1.d.i.</p>												

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendations: Same as in D.1.d.i.</p>
D.1.d.iii	<p>Differential diagnoses, "deferred," or "rule-out" diagnoses, and diagnoses listed as "NOS" ("Not Otherwise Specified") are timely addressed (i.e., within 60 days), through clinically appropriate assessments, and resolved in a clinically justifiable manner; and</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Same as in D.1.d.i.</p> <p>Findings: Same as in D.1.d.i.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendations: Same as in D.1.d.i.</p>
D.1.d.iv	<p>"no diagnosis" is clinically justified and documented.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue providing information regarding the number of individuals who have received "No Diagnosis" on Axis I, review of justification and results of this review.</p> <p>Findings: The facility reported that no individuals received "No Diagnosis" on Axis I during this review period. This monitor found no evidence of "No Diagnosis" listed on Axis I in any of the charts reviewed.</p>

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue providing information regarding the number of individuals who have received "No Diagnosis" on Axis I, review of justification and results of this review.</p>						
D.1.e	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that psychiatric reassessments are conducted at a frequency that reflects the individual's clinical needs. At a minimum the reassessments are completed weekly for the first 60 days on the admissions units and monthly on other units.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Weekly Physician Progress Note (PPN) Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 21% of individuals with length of stay less than 60 days during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>At a minimum, the reassessments are completed weekly for the first 60 days on the admission units.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>PSH also used the DMH Monthly PPN Audit to assess compliance, based on an average sample of 21% of individuals who had been hospitalized for 90 days or more during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>At a minimum, the reassessments are completed monthly on other (than admission) units.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of</p>	1.	<i>At a minimum, the reassessments are completed weekly for the first 60 days on the admission units.</i>	99%	1.	<i>At a minimum, the reassessments are completed monthly on other (than admission) units.</i>	100%
1.	<i>At a minimum, the reassessments are completed weekly for the first 60 days on the admission units.</i>	99%						
1.	<i>At a minimum, the reassessments are completed monthly on other (than admission) units.</i>	100%						

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>Other findings: This monitor reviewed the charts of 10 individuals (BC, DJU, ET, KKE, LJ, NAC, NB, OV, TG and WHS) who were admitted during this reporting period. The review focused on the timeliness of the notes and found compliance in all cases regarding the weekly reassessments for individuals hospitalized fewer than 60 days and monthly reassessments for individuals hospitalized for 90 or more days.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
D.1.f	Each State hospital shall ensure that psychiatric reassessments are documented in progress notes that address the following:	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p> <p>Findings: PSH used the DMH Monthly PPN Audit to assess compliance, based on an average sample of 21% of individuals who had been hospitalized for 90 days or more. The mean compliance rates for the requirements in D.1.f.i to D.1.f.vii are entered for each corresponding cell below.</p> <p>Other findings: This monitor reviewed the most recent monthly Psychiatric Progress Note for 52 individuals (ALT, BEK, BG, CB, CBA, CGG, CH, CWL, DF-1, DF-2, DRH, DTJ, DW, ER, ETR, GH, HLG, JAL, JAM, JH-1, JH-2, JKO, JS,</p>

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>KC, KMS, LGM, LJS, LL, LW, MB, MLB, MMS, MOC, MV, NC, NNT, PC, PEL, PLH, RA, RAP, RC, RGM, RH, RM, RS, SC, SH, TCS, TLM, TS-1 and TS-2). In general, the review found adequate corrections of the previously identified deficiencies in the documentation of the rationale for continuation of high-risk treatment and in addressing significant laboratory abnormalities and/or results of psychopharmacology consultations in an effort to improve the risk/benefit analysis of current treatment.</p> <p>This monitor reviewed the charts of five individuals (EAL, FJ, MSB, TW and VM) who experienced the use of seclusion and/or restraint during the review period and received PRN/Stat medications in the context of seclusion and/or restraint. This review is also relevant to the requirements in cells D.1.f.vi and F.1.b. The review found substantial compliance in the charts of EAL, FJ, MSB and VM and partial compliance in the chart of TW.</p> <p>Reviewing the charts of three individuals who received PBS (DLG, GB and SFA), this monitor found substantial compliance regarding the integration of psychiatric and behavioral modalities.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
D.1.f.i	significant developments in the individual's clinical status and of appropriate psychiatric follow up;	99%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.
D.1.f.ii	Timely and justifiable updates of diagnosis and treatment, as clinically appropriate;	97%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.

Section D: Integrated Assessments

D.1.f.iii	Analyses of risks and benefits of chosen treatment interventions;	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Responses to and side effects of prescribed medications, with particular attention to risks associated with the use of benzodiazepines, anticholinergic medications, polypharmacy (use of multiple drugs to address the same condition), and conventional and atypical antipsychotic medications.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	5.	<i>Responses to and side effects of prescribed medications, with particular attention to risks associated with the use of benzodiazepines, anticholinergic medications, polypharmacy (use of multiple drugs to address the same condition), and conventional and atypical antipsychotic medications.</i>	98%
5.	<i>Responses to and side effects of prescribed medications, with particular attention to risks associated with the use of benzodiazepines, anticholinergic medications, polypharmacy (use of multiple drugs to address the same condition), and conventional and atypical antipsychotic medications.</i>	98%			
D.1.f.iv	Assessment of, and attention to, high-risk behaviors (e.g., assaults, self-harm, falls) including appropriate and timely monitoring of individuals and interventions to reduce risks;	100%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.			
D.1.f.v	Responses to and side effects of prescribed medications, with particular attention to risks associated with the use of benzodiazepines, anticholinergic medications, polypharmacy (use of multiple drugs to address the same condition), and conventional and atypical antipsychotic medications;	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.d</td> <td><i>Justify/explain the use of medications that pose elevated risks and/or are causing side effects including, if applicable, an analysis of risks and benefits of the following: benzodiazepines, anticholinergics, polypharmacy, conventional and atypical antipsychotics and other psychiatric medications.</i></td> <td>95%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	5.d	<i>Justify/explain the use of medications that pose elevated risks and/or are causing side effects including, if applicable, an analysis of risks and benefits of the following: benzodiazepines, anticholinergics, polypharmacy, conventional and atypical antipsychotics and other psychiatric medications.</i>	95%
5.d	<i>Justify/explain the use of medications that pose elevated risks and/or are causing side effects including, if applicable, an analysis of risks and benefits of the following: benzodiazepines, anticholinergics, polypharmacy, conventional and atypical antipsychotics and other psychiatric medications.</i>	95%			
D.1.f.vi	Timely review of the use of "pro re nata" or "as-needed" ("PRN") and "Stat" (i.e., emergency psychoactive) medications and adjustment of regular treatment, as indicated, based on such use; and	99%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

D.1.f.vii	<p>Verification in a clinically justifiable manner, that psychiatric and behavioral treatments are properly integrated. The psychiatrist shall review the positive behavior support plan prior to implementation to ensure consistency with psychiatric formulation, document evidence of regular exchange of data or information with psychologists regarding differentiation of learned behaviors and behaviors targeted for psychopharmacological treatments, and document evidence of integration of treatments.</p>	<p>100%. Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>																					
D.1.g	<p>When individuals are transferred between treatment teams, a psychiatric transfer note shall be completed addressing: review of medical and psychiatric course of hospitalization, including medication trials; current target symptoms; psychiatric risk assessment; current barriers to discharge; and anticipated benefits of transfer.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p> <p>Findings: PSH used the DMH Physician Inter-Unit Transfer Note Audit to assess compliance. The average sample was 24% of the individuals who experienced inter-unit transfer per month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><i>Overall compliance rate</i></th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Psychiatric course of hospitalization,</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Medical course of hospitalization,</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Current target symptoms,</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Psychiatric risk assessment,</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Current barriers to discharge,</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Anticipated benefits of transfer.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		<i>Overall compliance rate</i>		1.	<i>Psychiatric course of hospitalization,</i>	99%	2.	<i>Medical course of hospitalization,</i>	99%	3.	<i>Current target symptoms,</i>	97%	4.	<i>Psychiatric risk assessment,</i>	100%	5.	<i>Current barriers to discharge,</i>	100%	6.	<i>Anticipated benefits of transfer.</i>	100%
	<i>Overall compliance rate</i>																						
1.	<i>Psychiatric course of hospitalization,</i>	99%																					
2.	<i>Medical course of hospitalization,</i>	99%																					
3.	<i>Current target symptoms,</i>	97%																					
4.	<i>Psychiatric risk assessment,</i>	100%																					
5.	<i>Current barriers to discharge,</i>	100%																					
6.	<i>Anticipated benefits of transfer.</i>	100%																					

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p>Other findings: This monitor reviewed the charts of the following six individuals who experienced inter-unit transfers during the review period:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Initials</th> <th>Date of transfer</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>CRH</td> <td>2/18/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CSA</td> <td>4/9/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DM</td> <td>4/2/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>IS</td> <td>2/19/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MVV</td> <td>4/29/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TNH</td> <td>6/7/10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>This review found substantial compliance in two charts (DM and IS) and partial compliance in four (CRH, CSA, MVV and TNH). In order to attain substantial compliance with this requirement, the facility needs to continue current efforts to ensure that the assessment delineates current target symptoms and barriers to discharge as well as a specific plan that addresses both.</p> <p>Compliance: Partial, improved compared to the last review</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure correction of the deficiencies listed above. 2. Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period). 	Initials	Date of transfer	CRH	2/18/10	CSA	4/9/10	DM	4/2/10	IS	2/19/10	MVV	4/29/10	TNH	6/7/10
Initials	Date of transfer															
CRH	2/18/10															
CSA	4/9/10															
DM	4/2/10															
IS	2/19/10															
MVV	4/29/10															
TNH	6/7/10															

Section D: Integrated Assessments

2. Psychological Assessments		
		<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Allison Pate, PhD, Senior Supervising Psychologist2. David Haimson, PhD, Chief of Psychology3. Gari-Lyn Richardson, Director of Standards Compliance4. Helga Thordarson, PhD, Senior Supervising Psychologist5. Mark Williams, PhD6. Melanie Byde, PhD, Mall Director7. Steve Burman, By Choice Coordinator8. Susan Velasquez, PhD, PSSC Coordinator <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The charts of the following 31 individuals: AB, AF, ALA, CJB, CSA, CV, CWP, DJG, EG, GB, GK, GPR, HJA, JAP, JG, JGC, JJB, JJS, JMM, KA, MB, MO, NJK, PAR, PP, RL, RR, SAV, SB, SM, and TN2. Focused Psychological Assessments completed during this review period3. Integrated Psychological Assessments: Psychology Section completed during this review period4. List of individuals needing cognitive and academic assessments within 30 days of admission5. List of individuals referred for neuropsychological assessments6. List of individuals referred for neuropsychological assessments due to seizure disorders7. List of individuals tested in their primary/preferred language8. List showing senior psychologists' observation of psychological assessments9. Structural/Functional Assessments <p><u>Observed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. WRPC (Program IV, unit 34) for monthly review of MT

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. WRPC (Program IV, unit 43) for monthly review of STJ 3. WRPC (Program VI, unit EB-10) for quarterly review of CCH 4. Mall Group: Creative Art Therapy 5. Mall Group: Cognitive Remediation 6. Mall Group: WRAP 7. Mall Group: Cognitive Remediation Group (RISE) 8. Mall Group: Medication Education 9. Mall Group: Coping Skills 10. Mall Group: Social Skills
D.2.a	<p>Each State hospital shall develop and implement standard psychological assessment protocols, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. These protocols shall address, at a minimum, diagnostic neuropsychological assessments, cognitive assessments, and I.Q./achievement assessments, to guide psychoeducational (e.g., instruction regarding the illness or disorder, and the purpose or objectives of treatments for the same, including medications), educational, rehabilitation, and habilitation interventions, and behavioral assessments (including functional assessment of behavior in schools and other settings), and personality assessments, to inform positive behavior support plans and psychiatric diagnoses.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: PSH has completed all required protocols and manuals to meet this requirement. PSH did not develop any new assessment tools or manuals during this review period</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
D.2.b	<p>Each State hospital shall require the completion of cognitive and academic assessments within 30 days of admission of all school-age and other individuals, as required by law, unless comparable testing has been performed within one year of admission and is available to the interdisciplinary team.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Assessment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 100% of all individuals below 23 years of age during this review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Each State hospital shall require the completion of cognitive and academic assessments within 30 days of admission of all school-age and other individuals (i.e., 22 years or younger), as required by law, unless comparable testing has been performed within one year of admission and is available to the interdisciplinary team.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>This monitor reviewed the chart of one individual under 23 years of age (JMM) admitted at PSH during this review period meeting the criteria for the intellectual and academic assessment to be completed within 30 days of admission. The other under-23 individuals admitted during this review period possessed a GED or a high school diploma and did not require the assessments. Assessments for JMM were completed in a timely fashion.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	1.	<i>Each State hospital shall require the completion of cognitive and academic assessments within 30 days of admission of all school-age and other individuals (i.e., 22 years or younger), as required by law, unless comparable testing has been performed within one year of admission and is available to the interdisciplinary team.</i>	100%
1.	<i>Each State hospital shall require the completion of cognitive and academic assessments within 30 days of admission of all school-age and other individuals (i.e., 22 years or younger), as required by law, unless comparable testing has been performed within one year of admission and is available to the interdisciplinary team.</i>	100%			
D.2.c	Each State hospital shall ensure that all clinicians responsible for performing or reviewing psychological assessments and evaluations are	Current findings on previous recommendation:			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

	<p>verifiably competent in the methodology required to conduct the assessment.</p>	<p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: The following table describes PSH's psychology staffing pattern as of June 9, 2010.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Filled positions</th> <th>Vacant positions</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Unit psychologist</td> <td>65</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Senior psychologist</td> <td>8</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Neuropsychologist</td> <td>6</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>According to the Chief of Psychology, PSH has filled 94% of the allocated psychology staffing. Four psychologists had left the facility since the last review period, and two new psychologists were hired during the same period. The facility is interviewing candidates to fill the vacant positions.</p> <p>Other findings: The following table shows the number of staff involved in performing evaluations, the number of staff meeting the facility's credentialing and privileging requirements, and the number of staff observed and found to be competent:</p> <table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.a</td> <td><i>Number of psychologists who are responsible for performing or reviewing psychological assessments and evaluations</i></td> <td>94</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.b</td> <td><i>Number of psychologists who meet the hospital's credentialing and privileging requirements</i></td> <td>94</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.a</td> <td><i>Number of psychologists observed while undertaking psychological assessments</i></td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.b</td> <td><i>Number observed to be verifiably competent in assessment procedures</i></td> <td>10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Filled positions	Vacant positions	Unit psychologist	65	3	Senior psychologist	8	0	Neuropsychologist	6	0	1.a	<i>Number of psychologists who are responsible for performing or reviewing psychological assessments and evaluations</i>	94	1.b	<i>Number of psychologists who meet the hospital's credentialing and privileging requirements</i>	94	2.a	<i>Number of psychologists observed while undertaking psychological assessments</i>	10	2.b	<i>Number observed to be verifiably competent in assessment procedures</i>	10
	Filled positions	Vacant positions																								
Unit psychologist	65	3																								
Senior psychologist	8	0																								
Neuropsychologist	6	0																								
1.a	<i>Number of psychologists who are responsible for performing or reviewing psychological assessments and evaluations</i>	94																								
1.b	<i>Number of psychologists who meet the hospital's credentialing and privileging requirements</i>	94																								
2.a	<i>Number of psychologists observed while undertaking psychological assessments</i>	10																								
2.b	<i>Number observed to be verifiably competent in assessment procedures</i>	10																								

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>According to the Chief of Psychology, all 94 psychologists at PSH have met the facility's credentialing and privileging criteria.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>			
D.2.d	Each State hospital shall ensure that all psychological assessments, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, shall:	<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>			
D.2.d.i	expressly state the clinical question(s) for the assessment;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Documentation review found that PSH had completed a total of 119 Focused Psychological Assessments during this review period. PSH has established a system of review and corrective feedback on all completed focused psychological assessments.</p> <p>Using the DMH Psychology Assessment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 73% of the Focused Psychological Assessments due each month for the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Expressly state the clinical question(s) for the assessment.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table>	3.	<i>Expressly state the clinical question(s) for the assessment.</i>	100%
3.	<i>Expressly state the clinical question(s) for the assessment.</i>	100%			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the Focused Psychology Assessments for six individuals found that all six contained clear and concise statements with a rationale for the referral (AB, HJA, JJB, SAV, SB and SM).</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
D.2.d.ii	include findings specifically addressing the clinical question(s), but not limited to diagnoses and treatment recommendations;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Assessment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 73% of the Focused Psychological Assessments due each month for the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Include findings specifically addressing the clinical question(s), but not limited to diagnoses and treatment recommendations.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the Focused Psychology Assessments for six individuals found that all six addressed the clinical question and the findings included sufficient information to inform the psychiatric diagnosis, identified the individual's treatment and rehabilitation needs, and suggested</p>	4.	<i>Include findings specifically addressing the clinical question(s), but not limited to diagnoses and treatment recommendations.</i>	100%
4.	<i>Include findings specifically addressing the clinical question(s), but not limited to diagnoses and treatment recommendations.</i>	100%			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>interventions for inclusion in the individual's WRP (AB, HJA, JJB, SAV, SB and SM).</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
D.2.d.iii	<p>Specify whether the individual would benefit from individual therapy or group therapy in addition to attendance at mall groups;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Assessment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 73% of the Focused Psychological Assessments due each month for the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Specify whether the individual would benefit from individual therapy or group therapy in addition to attendance at mall groups.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the Focused Psychology Assessments for six individuals found that all six indicated if the individual would benefit from individual and/or group therapy (AB, HJA, JJB, SAV, SB and SM).</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	5.	<i>Specify whether the individual would benefit from individual therapy or group therapy in addition to attendance at mall groups.</i>	99%
5.	<i>Specify whether the individual would benefit from individual therapy or group therapy in addition to attendance at mall groups.</i>	99%			
D.2.d.iv	<p>be based on current, accurate, and complete data;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p>			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Assessment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 73% of the Focused Psychological Assessments due each month for the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Be based on current, accurate, and complete data.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the Focused Psychology Assessments for six individuals found that all six included the identification information, listed the sources of information and documented direct observation information, including the individual's cooperation and motivation during the evaluation (AB, HJA, JJB, SAV, SB and SM).</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	6.	<i>Be based on current, accurate, and complete data.</i>	100%
6.	<i>Be based on current, accurate, and complete data.</i>	100%			
D.2.d.v	determine whether behavioral supports or interventions (e.g., behavior guidelines or mini behavior plans) are warranted or whether a full positive behavior support plan is required;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Assessment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 73% of the Focused Psychological Assessments due each month for the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p>			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Determine whether behavioral supports or interventions (e.g., Behavior Guidelines) are warranted or whether a full Positive Behavior Support plan is required</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the Focused Psychology Assessments for six individuals found that all six indicated whether the individual would benefit from behavioral guidelines or required Positive Behavioral Support (AB, HJA, JJB, SAV, SB and SM),</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	7.	<i>Determine whether behavioral supports or interventions (e.g., Behavior Guidelines) are warranted or whether a full Positive Behavior Support plan is required</i>	100%
7.	<i>Determine whether behavioral supports or interventions (e.g., Behavior Guidelines) are warranted or whether a full Positive Behavior Support plan is required</i>	100%			
D.2.d.vi	include the implications of the findings for interventions;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Assessment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 73% of the Focused Psychological Assessments due each month for the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Include the implications of the findings for interventions</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	8.	<i>Include the implications of the findings for interventions</i>	100%
8.	<i>Include the implications of the findings for interventions</i>	100%			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>A review of the Focused Psychology Assessments for six individuals found that all six contained documentation of the implications of the findings for PSR and other interventions (AB, HJA, JJB, SAV, SB and SM).</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
D.2.d.vii	<p>identify any unresolved issues encompassed by the assessment and, where appropriate, specify further observations, records review, interviews, or re-evaluations that should be performed or considered to resolve such issues; and</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Assessment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 73% of the Focused Psychological Assessments due each month for the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>9.</td> <td><i>Identify any unresolved issues encompassed by the assessment and, where appropriate, specify further observations, records review, interviews, or re-evaluations that should be performed or considered to resolve such issues.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the Focused Psychology Assessments for six individuals found that all six contained statements on unresolved issues encompassed by the assessment, avenues to resolve the inconsistencies and a timeline for doing so (AB, HJA, JJB, SAV, SB and SM).</p>	9.	<i>Identify any unresolved issues encompassed by the assessment and, where appropriate, specify further observations, records review, interviews, or re-evaluations that should be performed or considered to resolve such issues.</i>	99%
9.	<i>Identify any unresolved issues encompassed by the assessment and, where appropriate, specify further observations, records review, interviews, or re-evaluations that should be performed or considered to resolve such issues.</i>	99%			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
D.2.d. viii	<p>Use assessment tools and techniques appropriate for the individuals assessed and in accordance with the American Psychological Association Ethical Standards and Guidelines for testing.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Assessment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 73% of the Focused Psychological Assessments due each month for the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>10.</td> <td><i>Use assessment tools and techniques appropriate for the individuals assessed and in accordance with the American Psychological Association Ethical Standards and Guidelines for testing</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the Focused Psychology Assessments for six individuals found that all six had used assessment tools that were appropriate to address the referral questions and for the individuals assessed in accordance with the American Psychological Association Ethical Standards and Guidelines for Testing (AB, HJA, JJB, SAV, SB and SM).</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	10.	<i>Use assessment tools and techniques appropriate for the individuals assessed and in accordance with the American Psychological Association Ethical Standards and Guidelines for testing</i>	99%
10.	<i>Use assessment tools and techniques appropriate for the individuals assessed and in accordance with the American Psychological Association Ethical Standards and Guidelines for testing</i>	99%			
D.2.e	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that all psychological assessments of all individuals residing</p>	<p>PSH has completed the review of the psychological assessments of all individuals admitted prior to the Effective Date of the Enhancement Plan</p>			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

	<p>at each State hospital who were admitted there before the Effective Date hereof shall be reviewed by qualified clinicians with demonstrated current competency in psychological testing and, as indicated, revised to meet the criteria in § [IV.B.1 and IV.B.2], above.</p>	<p>and where indicated, conducted re-assessments.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>			
<p>D.2.f</p>	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that all appropriate psychological assessments shall be provided in a timely manner whenever clinically indicated, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, including whenever there has been a significant change in condition, a lack of expected improvement resulting from treatment, or an individual's behavior poses a significant barrier to treatment, therapeutic programming, safety to self or others, or school programming, and, in particular:</p>	<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>			
<p>D.2.f.i</p>	<p>before an individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan is developed, a psychological assessment of the individual shall be performed that will:</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Assessment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 99% of the Integrated Assessments: Psychology Section (IAPs) due each month for the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>12.</td> <td><i>Before an individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan is developed, a psychological assessment of the individual shall be performed.</i></td> <td>94%</td> </tr> </table>	12.	<i>Before an individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan is developed, a psychological assessment of the individual shall be performed.</i>	94%
12.	<i>Before an individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan is developed, a psychological assessment of the individual shall be performed.</i>	94%			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the IAPs for eight individuals found that all eight were conducted in a timely manner (ALA, CV, DJG, GB, JG, JGC, JMM and KA).</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
D.2.f.i.1	<p>address the nature of the individual's impairments to inform the psychiatric diagnosis; and</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Assessment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 100% of the Integrated Assessments: Psychology Section (IAPs) completed each month for the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>13.</td> <td><i>Address the nature of the individual's impairments to inform the psychiatric diagnosis</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the IAPs for eight individuals found that all eight had documented the nature of the individual's psychological impairments and provided adequate information to inform the psychiatric diagnosis (ALA, CV, DJG, GB, JG, JGC, JMM and KA).</p>	13.	<i>Address the nature of the individual's impairments to inform the psychiatric diagnosis</i>	98%
13.	<i>Address the nature of the individual's impairments to inform the psychiatric diagnosis</i>	98%			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
D.2.f.i.2	<p>provide an accurate evaluation of the individual's psychological functioning to inform the therapeutic and rehabilitation service planning process;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Assessment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 100% of the Integrated Assessments: Psychology Section (IAPs) completed each month for the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>14.</td> <td><i>Provide an accurate evaluation of the individual's psychological functioning to inform the therapeutic and rehabilitation service planning process.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the IAPs for eight individuals found that seven provided an accurate and valid evaluation of the individual's psychological functioning, and the assessment data were interpreted to assist the WRPTs in determining the interventions needed for the individual's rehabilitation (CV, DJG, GB, JG, JGC, JMM and KA). The remaining IAP could have provided more focused recommendations with goals and rationale for the recommendations made (ALA).</p> <p>The following is a suggestion for further enhancement of practice during the maintenance phase. When conducting integrated assessments, psychological examiners should try to categorize the individual's maladaptive behaviors, as characterization of the type of aggression in</p>	14.	<i>Provide an accurate evaluation of the individual's psychological functioning to inform the therapeutic and rehabilitation service planning process.</i>	99%
14.	<i>Provide an accurate evaluation of the individual's psychological functioning to inform the therapeutic and rehabilitation service planning process.</i>	99%			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>which a patient engages may help the WRPT to enroll the individual to a more focused individual therapies and Mall groups. For example, individuals with anger control difficulties would benefit from cognitive behavior strategies; aggression by individuals diagnosed with borderline personality disorder would benefit from dialectical behavioral therapy; and certain treatment-refractory patients might benefit from medication adjustment. In the absence of such categorization, the WRPT may end up enrolling individuals with all types of aggression in similar programs such as anger management groups.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
D.2.f.ii	<p>if behavioral interventions are indicated, a structural and functional assessment shall be performed, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, by a professional having demonstrated competency in positive behavior supports; and</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: See F.2.c</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
D.2.f.iii	<p>additional psychological assessments shall be performed, as appropriate, where clinical information is otherwise insufficient, and to address unresolved clinical or diagnostic questions, including differential diagnosis, "rule-out," "deferred," "no-diagnosis" and "NOS" diagnoses.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Assessment Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 100% of the Integrated Assessments: Psychology Section (IAPs) due each month during the</p>

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>review period (November 2009-April 2010). The following table showing the diagnosis and the corresponding compliance rate of assessments that resolved the diagnostic uncertainties is a summary of the facility's data:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>16.</td> <td><i>Differential diagnosis</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>17.</td> <td><i>Rule-out</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>18.</td> <td><i>Deferred</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>19.</td> <td><i>No diagnosis</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>20.</td> <td><i>NOS diagnosis</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p>This monitor reviewed the charts of 12 individuals whose diagnoses needed clarification due to insufficient information to form a firm diagnosis. The review found that all 12 of the Integrated Assessments in the charts had requested and/or conducted additional psychological assessments (AF, CJB, CWP, GB, GK, GPR, JAP, JG, JJS, NJK, PAR and PP).</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	16.	<i>Differential diagnosis</i>	100%	17.	<i>Rule-out</i>	100%	18.	<i>Deferred</i>	97%	19.	<i>No diagnosis</i>	100%	20.	<i>NOS diagnosis</i>	97%
16.	<i>Differential diagnosis</i>	100%															
17.	<i>Rule-out</i>	100%															
18.	<i>Deferred</i>	97%															
19.	<i>No diagnosis</i>	100%															
20.	<i>NOS diagnosis</i>	97%															
D.2.g	<p>For individuals whose primary language is not English, each State hospital shall endeavor to assess them in their own language; if this is not possible, each State hospital will develop and implement a plan to meet the individuals' assessment needs, including, but not limited to the use of interpreters in the individual's primary language and dialect, if feasible.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Assessment Monitoring Form, PSH reported the following totals for the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p>															

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		21.a	<i>Number of individuals who needed assessment during the evaluation period whose primary language was not English</i>	32
		21.b	<i>Of those in 21.a, number of individuals who were assessed in their primary language</i>	13
		22.a	<i>Of those in 21.a, number of individuals who could not be assessed</i>	19
		22.b	<i>Of those in 22.a, number of individuals who had plans developed to meet their assessment needs</i>	19
		23.	<i>Of those in 22.b, number of individuals whose plans for assessment were implemented</i>	19
		<p>A review of the charts of nine individuals found that all nine assessments in the charts were completed in the individual's primary language by bilingual examiners or with the use of interpreters (CSA, CV, EG, GB, MB, MO, RL, RR and TN).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>		

Section D: Integrated Assessments

3. Nursing Assessments					
		<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u> Lidia Lau, RN, ACNS</p> <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PSH's progress report and data 2. PSH's training rosters 3. Admission and integrated assessments and WRPs for the following 40 individuals: AAA, AB, AJB, AL, AS, CHC, CP, CW, DL, EMM, FGC, FL, HAT, JA, JAD, JAG, JAP, JG, JL, KA, LDF, LHH, LJ, LLP, MAA, MDM, MVV, NDR, NJK, PAR, PCJ, PDU, PMC, SCH, SF, SMM, SPE, SRT, STS and TLD 			
D.3.a	Each State hospital shall develop standard nursing assessment protocols, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. These protocols shall address, at a minimum:	<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>			
D.3.a.i	a description of presenting conditions;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nursing Admission Assessment Monitoring Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 95% mean sample of admissions each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 5%; text-align: center;">1.</td> <td style="width: 85%;"><i>A description of presenting conditions</i></td> <td style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">95%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of</p>	1.	<i>A description of presenting conditions</i>	95%
1.	<i>A description of presenting conditions</i>	95%			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of Nursing Admission Assessments for 40 individuals (AAA, AB, AJB, AL, AS, CHC, CP, CW, DL, EMM, FGC, FL, HAT, JA, JAD, JAG, JAP, JG, JL, KA, LDF, LHH, LJ, LLP, MAA, MDM, MVV, NDR, NJK, PAR, PCJ, PDU, PMC, SCH, SF, SMM, SPE, SRT, STS and TLD) found that PSH has maintained the quality of the assessments and has continued to make improvements, especially in the narrative content of the admission assessments. The content of the nursing assessments included specific clinical information gathered from the admission interviews and summarized in the narrative sections addressing the presenting conditions. These sections of the assessments were found to be exceptional. In one nursing assessment (SRT), the information contained in the narrative section was comprehensive but the documentation of the individual's gender (he/she) was inconsistent throughout the section. The efforts that PSH has put into the nursing admission assessment process have produced thorough and comprehensive nursing admission assessments. These findings comport with PSH's data.</p> <p>Using the DMH Nursing Integrated Assessment Monitoring Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 91% mean sample of admissions each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>The present status of the Integrated Assessment: Nursing Section is complete, or there is documentation that the individual is non-adherent with the interview.</i></td> <td>95%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of Integrated Nursing Assessments for 40 individuals (AAA, AB, AJB, AL, AS, CHC, CP, CW, DL, EMM, FGC, FL, HAT, JA, JAD, JAG,</p>	1.	<i>The present status of the Integrated Assessment: Nursing Section is complete, or there is documentation that the individual is non-adherent with the interview.</i>	95%
1.	<i>The present status of the Integrated Assessment: Nursing Section is complete, or there is documentation that the individual is non-adherent with the interview.</i>	95%			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>JAP, JG, JL, KA, LDF, LHH, LJ, LLP, MAA, MDM, MVV, NDR, NJK, PAR, PCJ, PDU, PMC, SCH, SF, SMM, SPE, SRT, STS and TLD) found that PSH has also maintained significant improvement in the quality and content of the Integrated Nursing Assessments since the last review. The information contained in the Integrated Assessments included updated information since the individual was admitted rather than just repeating information that was contained in the Nursing Assessment. Additionally, changes in the individual's affect and response from the initial assessments were frequently discussed in the integrated assessments. The training that PSH has implemented addressing nursing admission/integrated assessments has resulted in exceptional clinical nursing assessments/integrated assessments. These findings comport with PSH's data.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>			
D.3.a.ii	current prescribed medications;	<p><u>Admission Assessments</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>On the Admission Nursing Assessment, all currently prescribed medications are documented to include the last time taken, dose, side effects if any, the individual's understanding of the medication and reasons for treatment OR there is documentation that medication records are not available and the individual is unable to provide any information about past medication history.</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	2.	<i>On the Admission Nursing Assessment, all currently prescribed medications are documented to include the last time taken, dose, side effects if any, the individual's understanding of the medication and reasons for treatment OR there is documentation that medication records are not available and the individual is unable to provide any information about past medication history.</i>	97%
2.	<i>On the Admission Nursing Assessment, all currently prescribed medications are documented to include the last time taken, dose, side effects if any, the individual's understanding of the medication and reasons for treatment OR there is documentation that medication records are not available and the individual is unable to provide any information about past medication history.</i>	97%			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p><u>Integrated Assessments</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>On the Integrated Nursing Assessment, all sections of the medication management section are complete, or there is documentation that the individual is non-adherent with the interview, or the "no medication" box is checked.</i></td> <td>92%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	2.	<i>On the Integrated Nursing Assessment, all sections of the medication management section are complete, or there is documentation that the individual is non-adherent with the interview, or the "no medication" box is checked.</i>	92%			
2.	<i>On the Integrated Nursing Assessment, all sections of the medication management section are complete, or there is documentation that the individual is non-adherent with the interview, or the "no medication" box is checked.</i>	92%						
D.3.a.iii	vital signs;	<p><u>Admission Assessments</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Vital signs</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p><u>Integrated Assessments</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Vital signs</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	3.	<i>Vital signs</i>	100%	3.	<i>Vital signs</i>	98%
3.	<i>Vital signs</i>	100%						
3.	<i>Vital signs</i>	98%						
D.3.a.iv	allergies;	<p><u>Admission Assessments</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Allergies</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	4.	<i>Allergies</i>	99%			
4.	<i>Allergies</i>	99%						

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p><u>Integrated Assessments</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Allergies</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	4.	<i>Allergies</i>	98%			
4.	<i>Allergies</i>	98%						
D.3.a.v	pain;	<p><u>Admission Assessments</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Pain</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p><u>Integrated Assessments</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Pain</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	5.	<i>Pain</i>	98%	5.	<i>Pain</i>	97%
5.	<i>Pain</i>	98%						
5.	<i>Pain</i>	97%						
D.3.a.vi	use of assistive devices;	<p><u>Admission Assessments</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Use of assistive devices: The functional assessment and assistive devices section is complete, or the "no concerns", "no condition" or "none" boxes is checked.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p><u>Integrated Assessments</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>The update assistive devices use or need section is</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table>	6.	<i>Use of assistive devices: The functional assessment and assistive devices section is complete, or the "no concerns", "no condition" or "none" boxes is checked.</i>	99%	6.	<i>The update assistive devices use or need section is</i>	99%
6.	<i>Use of assistive devices: The functional assessment and assistive devices section is complete, or the "no concerns", "no condition" or "none" boxes is checked.</i>	99%						
6.	<i>The update assistive devices use or need section is</i>	99%						

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>complete, or the "no problems noted" box is checked.</i></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>		<i>complete, or the "no problems noted" box is checked.</i>				
	<i>complete, or the "no problems noted" box is checked.</i>							
D.3.a.vii	activities of daily living;	<p><u>Admission Assessments</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Activities of daily living</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p><u>Integrated Assessments</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Activities of daily living</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	7.	<i>Activities of daily living</i>	99%	7.	<i>Activities of daily living</i>	99%
7.	<i>Activities of daily living</i>	99%						
7.	<i>Activities of daily living</i>	99%						
D.3.a.viii	immediate alerts (e.g., escape risk, physical assault, choking risk, suicidal risk, homicide risk, fall risk, sexual assault, self-injurious behavior, arson, or fire setting); and	<p><u>Admission Assessments</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>The Risks/Alerts Requiring immediate nursing interventions section is completed or the "none known" box is checked.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p><u>Integrated Assessments</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>The Risks/Alerts Requiring immediate nursing interventions section is completed or the "none known" box is checked.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table>	8.	<i>The Risks/Alerts Requiring immediate nursing interventions section is completed or the "none known" box is checked.</i>	98%	8.	<i>The Risks/Alerts Requiring immediate nursing interventions section is completed or the "none known" box is checked.</i>	98%
8.	<i>The Risks/Alerts Requiring immediate nursing interventions section is completed or the "none known" box is checked.</i>	98%						
8.	<i>The Risks/Alerts Requiring immediate nursing interventions section is completed or the "none known" box is checked.</i>	98%						

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>						
D.3.a.ix	<p>conditions needing immediate nursing interventions.</p>	<p><u>Admission Assessments</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>9.</td> <td><i>Conditions needing immediate nursing interventions</i></td> <td>95%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p><u>Integrated Assessments</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>9.</td> <td><i>Conditions needing immediate nursing interventions</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	9.	<i>Conditions needing immediate nursing interventions</i>	95%	9.	<i>Conditions needing immediate nursing interventions</i>	99%
9.	<i>Conditions needing immediate nursing interventions</i>	95%						
9.	<i>Conditions needing immediate nursing interventions</i>	99%						
D.3.b	<p>Nursing may use a systems model (e.g., Johnson Behavioral System Model) for the nursing evaluation.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: PSH's Central Nursing Services policy and procedures demonstrate that the facility is consistently using the Wellness and Recovery model for nursing.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>						

Section D: Integrated Assessments

D.3.c	Each State hospital shall ensure that all nurses responsible for performing or reviewing nursing assessments are verifiably competent in performing the assessments for which they are responsible. All nurses who are employed at Patton State Hospital shall have graduated from an approved nursing program, shall have passed the NCLEX-RN and shall have a license to practice in the state of California.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: PSH's training rosters indicated that 76 RNs received and passed the Nursing Assessment training during the current review period. In addition, all nurses at PSH were currently licensed.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>			
D.3.d	Each State hospital shall ensure that nursing assessments are undertaken on a timely basis, and in particular, that:	<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>			
D.3.d.i	Initial nursing assessments are completed within 24 hours of the individual's admission;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nursing Admission Assessment Monitoring Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 95% mean sample of admissions each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>10.</td> <td><i>Initial nursing assessments are completed within 24 hours of the individual's admission.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table>	10.	<i>Initial nursing assessments are completed within 24 hours of the individual's admission.</i>	99%
10.	<i>Initial nursing assessments are completed within 24 hours of the individual's admission.</i>	99%			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of Nursing Admission Assessments for 40 individuals (AAA, AB, AJB, AL, AS, CHC, CP, CW, DL, EMM, FGC, FL, HAT, JA, JAD, JAG, JAP, JG, JL, KA, LDF, LHH, LJ, LLP, MAA, MDM, MVV, NDR, NJK, PAR, PCJ, PDU, PMC, SCH, SF, SMM, SPE, SRT, STS and TLD) found that all were timely completed.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>			
D.3.d.ii	<p>Further nursing assessments are completed and integrated into the individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan within seven days of admission; and</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nursing Integrated Assessment Monitoring Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 91% mean sample of admissions each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>10.</td> <td><i>Further nursing assessments are completed and integrated into the individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan within seven days of admission.</i></td> <td>92%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of Integrated Nursing Assessments for 40 individuals (AAA, AB, AJB, AL, AS, CHC, CP, CW, DL, EMM, FGC, FL, HAT, JA, JAD, JAG, JAP, JG, JL, KA, LDF, LHH, LJ, LLP, MAA, MDM, MVV, NDR, NJK, PAR,</p>	10.	<i>Further nursing assessments are completed and integrated into the individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan within seven days of admission.</i>	92%
10.	<i>Further nursing assessments are completed and integrated into the individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan within seven days of admission.</i>	92%			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>PCJ, PDU, PMC, SCH, SF, SMM, SPE, SRT, STS and TLD) found that 36 were timely completed; three were completed prior to the 4-5 day requirement (JA, PAR and TLD); and one was completed after the required timeframe (AL).</p> <p>Current recommendation: Substantial.</p>									
D.3.d.iii	<p>Nursing assessments are reviewed every 14 days during the first 60 days of admission and every 30 days thereafter and updated as appropriate. The third monthly review shall be a quarterly review and the 12th monthly review shall be the annual review.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Observation Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on a mean sample of 20% of WRPCs observed each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><i>Registered Nurse attendance at WRPC</i></td> <td>96%</td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Psychiatric Technician attendance at WRPC</i></td> <td>85%</td> <td>96%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>A review of the charts of 40 individuals (AAA, AB, AJB, AL, AS, CHC, CP, CW, DL, EMM, FGC, FL, HAT, JA, JAD, JAG, JAP, JG, JL, KA, LDF, LHH, LJ, LLP, MAA, MDM, MVV, NDR, NJK, PAR, PCJ, PDU, PMC, SCH, SF, SMM, SPE, SRT, STS and TLD) found that 39 had an RN and 35 had a PT in attendance at the WRPC.</p> <p>Other findings: The signature page of the WRP for 15 individuals did not include some or all of the signatures of the staff members who were indicated to be present at the WRPC (AS, CHC, CP, EMM, FGC, FL, JAD, JG, JL, LDF,</p>		Previous period	Current period	<i>Registered Nurse attendance at WRPC</i>	96%	98%	<i>Psychiatric Technician attendance at WRPC</i>	85%	96%
	Previous period	Current period									
<i>Registered Nurse attendance at WRPC</i>	96%	98%									
<i>Psychiatric Technician attendance at WRPC</i>	85%	96%									

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>LHH, MAA, MVV, PCJ and TLD).</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Ensure that staff members who attend the WRPCs sign the WRP signature page.2. Continue to monitor this requirement.
--	--	--

4. Rehabilitation Therapy Assessments		
		<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Chris Keierleber, Senior Rehabilitation Therapist 2. Greg Siples, Director of Rehabilitation Therapy Services 3. Jacqueline Doss-Haynes, Senior Rehabilitation Therapist 4. Michael Gomes, Senior Rehabilitation Therapist 5. Renata Geyer, Senior Rehabilitation Therapist 6. Sarah Gutierrez, Acting Senior Rehabilitation Therapist 7. Stan Hydinger, Senior Rehabilitation Therapist <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. List of individuals who had IA-RTS assessments from November 2009-April 2010 2. Records of the following 15 individuals who had IA-RTS assessments from November 2009-April 2010: CMM, CR, DH, DPP, EB, FW, JAJ, JAR, JG, KDP, LM, MAW, MP, NJG and NNS 3. List of individuals who had Occupational Therapy assessments from November 2009-April 2010 4. Records of the following seven individuals who had Occupational Therapy assessments from November 2009-April 2010: AO, CM, FSL, GT, RAJ, TH and TN 5. List of individuals who had Physical Therapy assessments from November 2009-April 2010 6. Records of the following five individuals who had Physical Therapy assessments from November 2009-April 2010: MAH, RA-1, RA-2, VT and WPW 7. List of individuals who had Speech Therapy assessments from November 2009-April 2010 8. Records of the following six individuals who had Speech Therapy assessments from November 2009-April 2010: AG, JPK, LW, MPC, RDB and TS

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>9. List of individuals who had Vocational Rehabilitation assessments from November 2009-April 2010</p> <p>10. Records of the following seven individuals who had Vocational Rehabilitation assessments from November 2009-April 2010: AH, BYB, GPP, JAM, JE, MSK and WKP</p> <p>11. List of individuals who had CIPRTA assessments from November 2009-April 2010</p> <p>12. Records of the following four individuals who had CIPRTA assessments from November 2009-April 2010: DB, DM, PSC and SD</p> <p><u>Observed:</u> IA-RTS Clinic</p>
D.4.a	<p>Each State hospital shall develop standard rehabilitation therapy assessment protocols, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, for satisfying the necessary components of a comprehensive rehabilitation therapy assessment.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Current assessment protocols appear to meet generally accepted standards of care for satisfying necessary components of comprehensive rehabilitation therapy assessments. Assessment tools should be revised and updated based on changes in systemic needs and evolving standards of practice.</p> <p>An observation of the IA-RTS clinic found that the admission therapy team was conducting individualized activity-based assessments and interviews in a group format, which is consistent with assessment protocol. All individuals were engaged in the assessment activities and the clinicians observed appeared to have an excellent rapport with individuals during the assessment process.</p>

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>			
D.4.b	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care:</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Integrated Assessment Rehabilitation Therapy Section Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with timeliness based on an average sample of 100% of Integrated Rehabilitation Therapy Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 525):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within five calendar days of the individual's admission and filed in the medical record];</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of 15 individuals to assess compliance of IA-RTS Assessments with timeliness found all records in compliance.</p> <p>Using the DMH Occupational Therapy Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with timeliness based on an average</p>	1.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within five calendar days of the individual's admission and filed in the medical record];</i>	99%
1.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within five calendar days of the individual's admission and filed in the medical record];</i>	99%			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>sample of 100% of Occupational Therapy Focused Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 32):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within 14 days of referral and filed in the medical record];</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of seven individuals to assess compliance of Occupational Therapy Focused Assessments with timeliness found all records in compliance.</p> <p>Using the DMH Physical Therapy Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with timeliness based on an average sample of 100% of Physical Therapy Focused Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 59):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within 14 days of referral and filed in the medical record];</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of five individuals to assess compliance of Physical Therapy Focused Assessments with timeliness found four records in compliance (MAH, RA-1, RA-2 and WPW) and one record not in</p>	1.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within 14 days of referral and filed in the medical record];</i>	100%	1.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within 14 days of referral and filed in the medical record];</i>	99%
1.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within 14 days of referral and filed in the medical record];</i>	100%						
1.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within 14 days of referral and filed in the medical record];</i>	99%						

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>compliance (VT).</p> <p>Using the DMH Speech Therapy Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with timeliness based on an average sample of 100% of Speech Therapy Focused Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 32):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within 14 days of referral and filed in the medical record];</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of six individuals to assess compliance of Speech Therapy Focused Assessments with timeliness found all records in compliance.</p> <p>Using the DMH Vocational Rehabilitation Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with timeliness based on an average sample of 100% of Vocational Rehabilitation Focused Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 81):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within 30 days of referral and filed in the medical record];</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at</p>	1.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within 14 days of referral and filed in the medical record];</i>	100%	1.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within 30 days of referral and filed in the medical record];</i>	100%
1.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within 14 days of referral and filed in the medical record];</i>	100%						
1.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within 30 days of referral and filed in the medical record];</i>	100%						

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of seven individuals to assess compliance of Vocational Rehabilitation Focused Assessments with timeliness found all records in compliance.</p> <p>Using the DMH Comprehensive Integrated Physical Rehabilitation Therapy Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with timeliness based on an average sample of 100% of CIPRTA assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 12):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within 14 days of referral and filed in the medical record];</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of four individuals to assess compliance of CIPRTA assessments with timeliness found all records in compliance.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	1.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within 14 days of referral and filed in the medical record];</i>	100%
1.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual served shall have a rehabilitation assessment that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, [was completed within 14 days of referral and filed in the medical record];</i>	100%			
D.4.b.i	Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;	Current findings on previous recommendation:			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Integrated Assessment Rehabilitation Therapy Section Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.i criteria based on an average sample of 100% of Integrated Rehabilitation Therapy Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 525):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of 15 individuals to assess compliance of IA-RTS Assessments with D.4.b.i criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Using the DMH Occupational Therapy Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.i criteria based on an average sample of 100% of Occupational Therapy Focused Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 32):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of seven individuals to assess compliance of</p>	2.	<i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i>	98%	2.	<i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i>	100%
2.	<i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i>	98%						
2.	<i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i>	100%						

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Occupational Therapy Focused Assessments with D.4.b.i criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Using the DMH Physical Therapy Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.i criteria based on an average sample of 100% of Physical Therapy Focused Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 59):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of five individuals to assess compliance of Physical Therapy Focused Assessments with D.4.b.i criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Using the DMH Speech Therapy Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.i criteria based on an average sample of 100% of Speech Therapy Focused Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 32):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of six individuals to assess compliance of Speech Therapy Focused Assessments with D.4.b.i criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p>	2.	<i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i>	100%	2.	<i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i>	100%
2.	<i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i>	100%						
2.	<i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i>	100%						

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Using the DMH Vocational Rehabilitation Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.i criteria based on an average sample of 100% of Vocational Rehabilitation Focused Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 81):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of seven individuals to assess compliance of Vocational Rehabilitation Focused Assessments with D.4.b.i criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Using the DMH Comprehensive Physical Rehabilitation Therapy Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.i criteria based on an average sample of 100% of CIPRTA assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 12):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of four individuals to assess compliance of CIPRTA assessments with D.4.b.i criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p>	2.	<i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i>	100%	2.	<i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i>	100%
2.	<i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i>	100%						
2.	<i>Is accurate and comprehensive as to the individual's functional abilities;</i>	100%						

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>						
D.4.b.ii	Identifies the individual's current functional status and the skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care; and	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Integrated Assessment Rehabilitation Therapy Section Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.ii criteria based on an average sample of 100% of Integrated Rehabilitation Therapy Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 525):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for both items.</p> <p>A review of the records of 15 individuals to assess compliance of IA-RTS Assessments with D.4.b.ii criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Using the DMH Occupational Therapy Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.ii criteria based on an average sample of 100% of Occupational Therapy Focused Assessments</p>	3.	<i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i>	99%	4.	<i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i>	100%
3.	<i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i>	99%						
4.	<i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i>	100%						

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 32):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for both items.</p> <p>A review of the records of seven individuals to assess compliance of Occupational Therapy Focused Assessments with D.4.b.ii criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Using the DMH Physical Therapy Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.ii criteria based on an average sample of 100% of Physical Therapy Focused Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 59):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for both items.</p> <p>A review of the records of five individuals to assess compliance of Physical Therapy Focused Assessments with D.4.b.ii criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Using the DMH Speech Therapy Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool,</p>	3.	<i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i>	100%	4.	<i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i>	100%	3.	<i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i>	100%	4.	<i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i>	100%
3.	<i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i>	100%												
4.	<i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i>	100%												
3.	<i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i>	100%												
4.	<i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i>	100%												

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.ii criteria based on an average sample of 100% of Speech Therapy Focused Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 32):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for both items.</p> <p>A review of the records of six individuals to assess compliance of Speech Therapy Focused Assessments with D.4.b.ii criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Using the DMH Vocational Rehabilitation Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.ii criteria based on an average sample of 100% of Vocational Rehabilitation Focused Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 81):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for both items.</p> <p>A review of the records of seven individuals to assess compliance of Vocational Rehabilitation Focused Assessments with D.4.b.ii criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p>	3.	<i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i>	100%	4.	<i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i>	100%	3.	<i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i>	100%	4.	<i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i>	100%
3.	<i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i>	100%												
4.	<i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i>	100%												
3.	<i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i>	100%												
4.	<i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i>	100%												

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Using the DMH Comprehensive Physical Rehabilitation Therapy Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.ii criteria based on an average sample of 100% of CIPRTA assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 12):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for both items.</p> <p>A review of the records of four individuals to assess compliance of CIPRTA assessments with D.4.b.ii criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	3.	<i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i>	100%	4.	<i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i>	100%
3.	<i>Identifies the individual's current functional status, and</i>	100%						
4.	<i>The skills and supports needed to facilitate transfer to the next level of care;</i>	100%						
D.4.b.iii	Identifies the individual's life goals, strengths, and motivation for engaging in wellness activities.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Integrated Assessment Rehabilitation Therapy Section Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.iii criteria based</p>						

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>on an average sample of 100% of Integrated Rehabilitation Therapy Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 525):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Strengths, and</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all three items.</p> <p>A review of the records of 15 individuals to assess compliance of IA-RTS Assessments with D.4.b.iii criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Using the DMH Occupational Therapy Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.iii criteria based on an average sample of 100% of Occupational Therapy Focused Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 32):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Strengths, and</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all three items.</p> <p>A review of the records of seven individuals to assess compliance of Occupational Therapy Focused Assessments with D.4.b.iii criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Using the DMH Physical Therapy Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool,</p>	5.	<i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i>	98%	6.	<i>Strengths, and</i>	99%	7.	<i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i>	100%	5.	<i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i>	100%	6.	<i>Strengths, and</i>	100%	7.	<i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i>	100%
5.	<i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i>	98%																		
6.	<i>Strengths, and</i>	99%																		
7.	<i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i>	100%																		
5.	<i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i>	100%																		
6.	<i>Strengths, and</i>	100%																		
7.	<i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i>	100%																		

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.iii criteria based on an average sample of 100% of Physical Therapy Focused Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 59):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Strengths, and</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all three items.</p> <p>A review of the records of five individuals to assess compliance of Physical Therapy Focused Assessments with D.4.b.iii criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Using the DMH Speech Therapy Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.iii criteria based on an average sample of 100% of Speech Therapy Focused Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 32):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Strengths, and</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all three items.</p> <p>A review of the records of six individuals to assess compliance of Speech Therapy Focused Assessments with D.4.b.iii criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Using the DMH Vocational Rehabilitation Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.iii criteria based on an</p>	5.	<i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i>	100%	6.	<i>Strengths, and</i>	100%	7.	<i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i>	100%	5.	<i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i>	100%	6.	<i>Strengths, and</i>	100%	7.	<i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i>	100%
5.	<i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i>	100%																		
6.	<i>Strengths, and</i>	100%																		
7.	<i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i>	100%																		
5.	<i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i>	100%																		
6.	<i>Strengths, and</i>	100%																		
7.	<i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i>	100%																		

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>average sample of 100% of Vocational Rehabilitation Focused Assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 81):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Strengths, and</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all three items.</p> <p>A review of the records of seven individuals to assess compliance of Vocational Rehabilitation Focused Assessments with D.4.b.iii criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Using the DMH Comprehensive Physical Rehabilitation Therapy Focused Assessment Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with D.4.b.iii criteria based on an average sample of 100% of CIPRTA assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 12):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Strengths, and</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all three items.</p> <p>A review of the records of four individuals to assess compliance of CIPRTA assessments with D.4.b.iii criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p>	5.	<i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i>	100%	6.	<i>Strengths, and</i>	100%	7.	<i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i>	100%	5.	<i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i>	100%	6.	<i>Strengths, and</i>	100%	7.	<i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i>	100%
5.	<i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i>	100%																		
6.	<i>Strengths, and</i>	100%																		
7.	<i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i>	100%																		
5.	<i>Identifies the individual's life goals,</i>	100%																		
6.	<i>Strengths, and</i>	100%																		
7.	<i>Motivation for engaging in wellness activities.</i>	100%																		

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
D.4.c	Each State hospital shall ensure that all clinicians responsible for performing or reviewing rehabilitation therapy assessments are verifiably competent in performing the assessments for which they are responsible	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: The facility reported that during the review period, one out of one physical therapist, one out of one physical therapy assistant, and seven out of seven Rehabilitation Therapists were trained to competency on the screening tools and/or assessments for which they are responsible. Inter-rater agreement is reported to range from 92-100% for Integrated and Focused Assessments.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
D.4.d	Each State hospital shall ensure that all rehabilitation therapy assessments of all individuals who were admitted to each State hospital before the Effective Date hereof shall be reviewed by qualified clinicians and, as indicated, revised to meet the criteria in D.4.b and sub-cells above.	<p>All conversion assessments were completed as of the June 2009 tour.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>

Section D: Integrated Assessments

5. Nutrition Assessments		
D.5	<p>Each State hospital shall provide nutrition assessments, reassessments, and interventions consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. A comprehensive nutrition assessment will include the following:</p>	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Brian Starck-Riley, Assistant Director of Nutrition Services 2. Diana Tran, Assistant Director of Nutrition Services 3. Jeanie Kim, Assistant Director of Nutrition Services 4. Tai Kim, Director of Nutrition Services 5. Vivian Collins, Acting Assistant Director of Nutrition Services <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Nutrition Care Monitoring audit data for November 2009-April 2010 for each assessment type 2. Lists of individuals with Nutrition Care Assessments due from November 2009-April 2010 for each assessment type 3. Record of the following individual with type D.5.a assessment from November 2009-April 2010: JLB 4. Records of the following seven individuals with type D.5.d assessments from November 2009-April 2010: CRH, CSA, DDR, DEB, JCS, JM and TE 5. Records of the following seven individuals with type D.5.e assessments from November 2009-April 2010: BLM, CA, CC, JV, MR, RJB and RM 6. Records of the following six individuals with type D.5.f assessments from November 2009-April 2010: CG, GPR, JSC, MG, SC and TG 7. Records of the following nine individuals with type D.5.g assessments from November 2009-April 2010: ADH, AR, CGT, DGG, EMM, HC, LTH, SS and SVE 8. Records of the following nine individuals with type D.5.i assessments from November 2009-April 2010: CES, CK, DB, JDM, JRH, LAG, MEJ, MH and TS 9. Records of the following six individuals with type D.5.j.i assessments from November 2009-April 2010: AP, EDH, GF, JPD, PS and RJ

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>10. Records of the following seven individuals with type D.5.j.ii assessments from November 2009-April 2010: GFW, GNF, NP, RA, TO, VD and WDW</p>																																	
<p>D.5.a</p>	<p>For new admissions with high risk referral (e.g., type I diabetes mellitus, enteral/parenteral feeding, dysphagia/recent choking episode), or upon request by physician, a comprehensive Admission Nutrition Assessment will be completed within 24 hours of notification to the dietitian.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nutrition Care Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 100% of Nutrition Type D.5.a assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of one):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Nutrition education is documented</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9.</td> <td><i>Progress is monitored, measured, and evaluated</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10.</td> <td><i>Nutrition goals are individualized, relate to the nutrition diagnosis, and are realistic and measurable</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11.</td> <td><i>Recommendations are appropriate and complete</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i>	100%	2.	<i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i>	100%	3.	<i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i>	100%	4.	<i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i>	100%	5.	<i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i>	100%	6.	<i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i>	100%	7.	<i>Nutrition education is documented</i>	100%	8.	<i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified</i>	100%	9.	<i>Progress is monitored, measured, and evaluated</i>	100%	10.	<i>Nutrition goals are individualized, relate to the nutrition diagnosis, and are realistic and measurable</i>	100%	11.	<i>Recommendations are appropriate and complete</i>	100%
1.	<i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i>	100%																																	
2.	<i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i>	100%																																	
3.	<i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i>	100%																																	
4.	<i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i>	100%																																	
5.	<i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i>	100%																																	
6.	<i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i>	100%																																	
7.	<i>Nutrition education is documented</i>	100%																																	
8.	<i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified</i>	100%																																	
9.	<i>Progress is monitored, measured, and evaluated</i>	100%																																	
10.	<i>Nutrition goals are individualized, relate to the nutrition diagnosis, and are realistic and measurable</i>	100%																																	
11.	<i>Recommendations are appropriate and complete</i>	100%																																	

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>12.</td> <td><i>NST is correctly assigned to reflect acuity level and date of next review. Include NST in comment</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>13.</td> <td><i>Food/fluid consistency is addressed when actual/potential aspiration/dysphagia is present</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>14.</td> <td><i>Transition to oral feeding regimen is addressed for enteral/parenteral nutrition support</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>15.</td> <td><i>Assessment utilizes approved abbreviations</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>16.</td> <td><i>Assessment is concise</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>17.</td> <td><i>Assessment is legible</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>18.</td> <td><i>Each page of the assessment is signed</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items that were not N/A in either period.</p> <p>A review of the record of one individual to assess compliance with Nutrition type D.5.d criteria found the record in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	12.	<i>NST is correctly assigned to reflect acuity level and date of next review. Include NST in comment</i>	100%	13.	<i>Food/fluid consistency is addressed when actual/potential aspiration/dysphagia is present</i>	100%	14.	<i>Transition to oral feeding regimen is addressed for enteral/parenteral nutrition support</i>	100%	15.	<i>Assessment utilizes approved abbreviations</i>	100%	16.	<i>Assessment is concise</i>	100%	17.	<i>Assessment is legible</i>	100%	18.	<i>Each page of the assessment is signed</i>	100%
12.	<i>NST is correctly assigned to reflect acuity level and date of next review. Include NST in comment</i>	100%																					
13.	<i>Food/fluid consistency is addressed when actual/potential aspiration/dysphagia is present</i>	100%																					
14.	<i>Transition to oral feeding regimen is addressed for enteral/parenteral nutrition support</i>	100%																					
15.	<i>Assessment utilizes approved abbreviations</i>	100%																					
16.	<i>Assessment is concise</i>	100%																					
17.	<i>Assessment is legible</i>	100%																					
18.	<i>Each page of the assessment is signed</i>	100%																					
D.5.b	For new admissions directly into the medical-surgical unit, a comprehensive Admission Nutrition Assessment will be completed within 3 days of admission.	Not applicable. PSH does not have a medical-surgical unit.																					
D.5.c	For new admissions directly into the skilled nursing facility unit, a comprehensive Admission Nutrition Assessment will be completed within 7 days of admission.	Not applicable. PSH does not have a skilled nursing facility unit.																					

Section D: Integrated Assessments

<p>D.5.d</p>	<p>For new admissions with identified nutritional triggers from Nursing Admission Assessment or physician's consult (e.g., for severe food allergies, tube feeding, extensive dental problems or dental surgery, NPO/clear liquid diet for more than three days, uncontrolled diarrhea/vomiting more than 24hrs, and MAOI, as clinically indicated), a comprehensive Admission Nutrition Assessment will be completed within 7 days of admission.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nutrition Care Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 100% of Nutrition Type D.5.d assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 60):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i></td> <td>93%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Nutrition education is documented</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9.</td> <td><i>Progress is monitored, measured, and evaluated</i></td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10.</td> <td><i>Nutrition goals are individualized, relate to the nutrition diagnosis, and are realistic and measurable</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11.</td> <td><i>Recommendations are appropriate and complete</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12.</td> <td><i>NST is correctly assigned to reflect acuity level and date of next review. Include NST in comment</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>13.</td> <td><i>Food/fluid consistency is addressed when actual/potential aspiration/dysphagia is present</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i>	93%	2.	<i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i>	98%	3.	<i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i>	100%	4.	<i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i>	97%	5.	<i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i>	98%	6.	<i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i>	100%	7.	<i>Nutrition education is documented</i>	96%	8.	<i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified</i>	98%	9.	<i>Progress is monitored, measured, and evaluated</i>	N/A	10.	<i>Nutrition goals are individualized, relate to the nutrition diagnosis, and are realistic and measurable</i>	98%	11.	<i>Recommendations are appropriate and complete</i>	97%	12.	<i>NST is correctly assigned to reflect acuity level and date of next review. Include NST in comment</i>	98%	13.	<i>Food/fluid consistency is addressed when actual/potential aspiration/dysphagia is present</i>	100%
1.	<i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i>	93%																																							
2.	<i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i>	98%																																							
3.	<i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i>	100%																																							
4.	<i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i>	97%																																							
5.	<i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i>	98%																																							
6.	<i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i>	100%																																							
7.	<i>Nutrition education is documented</i>	96%																																							
8.	<i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified</i>	98%																																							
9.	<i>Progress is monitored, measured, and evaluated</i>	N/A																																							
10.	<i>Nutrition goals are individualized, relate to the nutrition diagnosis, and are realistic and measurable</i>	98%																																							
11.	<i>Recommendations are appropriate and complete</i>	97%																																							
12.	<i>NST is correctly assigned to reflect acuity level and date of next review. Include NST in comment</i>	98%																																							
13.	<i>Food/fluid consistency is addressed when actual/potential aspiration/dysphagia is present</i>	100%																																							

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>14.</td> <td><i>Transition to oral feeding regimen is addressed for enteral/parenteral nutrition support</i></td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>15.</td> <td><i>Assessment utilizes approved abbreviations</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>16.</td> <td><i>Assessment is concise</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>17.</td> <td><i>Assessment is legible</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>18.</td> <td><i>Each page of the assessment is signed</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items that were not N/A in either period.</p> <p>A review of the records of seven individuals to assess compliance with Nutrition type D.5.d criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	14.	<i>Transition to oral feeding regimen is addressed for enteral/parenteral nutrition support</i>	N/A	15.	<i>Assessment utilizes approved abbreviations</i>	100%	16.	<i>Assessment is concise</i>	100%	17.	<i>Assessment is legible</i>	97%	18.	<i>Each page of the assessment is signed</i>	98%
14.	<i>Transition to oral feeding regimen is addressed for enteral/parenteral nutrition support</i>	N/A															
15.	<i>Assessment utilizes approved abbreviations</i>	100%															
16.	<i>Assessment is concise</i>	100%															
17.	<i>Assessment is legible</i>	97%															
18.	<i>Each page of the assessment is signed</i>	98%															
D.5.e	For new admissions with therapeutic diet orders for medical reasons, a comprehensive Admission Nutrition Assessment will be completed within 7 days of admission.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nutrition Care Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 100% of Nutrition Type D.5.e assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 24):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i></td> <td>88%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i>	88%	2.	<i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i>	96%									
1.	<i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i>	88%															
2.	<i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i>	96%															

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Nutrition education is documented</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9.</td> <td><i>Progress is monitored, measured, and evaluated</i></td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10.</td> <td><i>Nutrition goals are individualized, relate to the nutrition diagnosis, and are realistic and measurable</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11.</td> <td><i>Recommendations are appropriate and complete</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12.</td> <td><i>NST is correctly assigned to reflect acuity level and date of next review. Include NST in comment</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>13.</td> <td><i>Food/fluid consistency is addressed when actual/potential aspiration/dysphagia is present</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>14.</td> <td><i>Transition to oral feeding regimen is addressed for enteral/parenteral nutrition support</i></td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>15.</td> <td><i>Assessment utilizes approved abbreviations</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>16.</td> <td><i>Assessment is concise</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>17.</td> <td><i>Assessment is legible</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>18.</td> <td><i>Each page of the assessment is signed</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table>	3.	<i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i>	100%	4.	<i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i>	100%	5.	<i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i>	100%	6.	<i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i>	96%	7.	<i>Nutrition education is documented</i>	100%	8.	<i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified</i>	100%	9.	<i>Progress is monitored, measured, and evaluated</i>	N/A	10.	<i>Nutrition goals are individualized, relate to the nutrition diagnosis, and are realistic and measurable</i>	100%	11.	<i>Recommendations are appropriate and complete</i>	100%	12.	<i>NST is correctly assigned to reflect acuity level and date of next review. Include NST in comment</i>	100%	13.	<i>Food/fluid consistency is addressed when actual/potential aspiration/dysphagia is present</i>	100%	14.	<i>Transition to oral feeding regimen is addressed for enteral/parenteral nutrition support</i>	N/A	15.	<i>Assessment utilizes approved abbreviations</i>	100%	16.	<i>Assessment is concise</i>	96%	17.	<i>Assessment is legible</i>	96%	18.	<i>Each page of the assessment is signed</i>	100%	<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items other than item 1 that were not N/A in either period.</p> <p>The facility reported that compliance with item 1 was impacted by a small</p>
3.	<i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i>	100%																																																	
4.	<i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i>	100%																																																	
5.	<i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i>	100%																																																	
6.	<i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i>	96%																																																	
7.	<i>Nutrition education is documented</i>	100%																																																	
8.	<i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified</i>	100%																																																	
9.	<i>Progress is monitored, measured, and evaluated</i>	N/A																																																	
10.	<i>Nutrition goals are individualized, relate to the nutrition diagnosis, and are realistic and measurable</i>	100%																																																	
11.	<i>Recommendations are appropriate and complete</i>	100%																																																	
12.	<i>NST is correctly assigned to reflect acuity level and date of next review. Include NST in comment</i>	100%																																																	
13.	<i>Food/fluid consistency is addressed when actual/potential aspiration/dysphagia is present</i>	100%																																																	
14.	<i>Transition to oral feeding regimen is addressed for enteral/parenteral nutrition support</i>	N/A																																																	
15.	<i>Assessment utilizes approved abbreviations</i>	100%																																																	
16.	<i>Assessment is concise</i>	96%																																																	
17.	<i>Assessment is legible</i>	96%																																																	
18.	<i>Each page of the assessment is signed</i>	100%																																																	

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>sample size and due to a systemic issue with not receiving consistent notification from nursing for new admissions with therapeutic diet orders for medical reasons.</p> <p>A review of the records of seven individuals to assess compliance with Nutrition type D.5.e criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>																		
D.5.f	<p>For individuals with therapeutic diet orders for medical reason after admission, a comprehensive Admission Nutrition Assessment will be completed within 7 days of the therapeutic diet order but no later than 30 days of admission.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nutrition Care Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 100% of Nutrition Type D.5.f assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 39):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i></td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i></td> <td>92%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated,</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i>	95%	2.	<i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i>	97%	3.	<i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i>	92%	4.	<i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i>	97%	5.	<i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i>	100%	6.	<i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated,</i>	100%
1.	<i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i>	95%																		
2.	<i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i>	97%																		
3.	<i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i>	92%																		
4.	<i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i>	97%																		
5.	<i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i>	100%																		
6.	<i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated,</i>	100%																		

Section D: Integrated Assessments

			<i>prioritized and validated</i>	
		7.	<i>Nutrition education is documented</i>	100%
		8.	<i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified</i>	100%
		9.	<i>Progress is monitored, measured, and evaluated</i>	N/A
		10.	<i>Nutrition goals are individualized, relate to the nutrition diagnosis, and are realistic and measurable</i>	100%
		11.	<i>Recommendations are appropriate and complete</i>	100%
		12.	<i>NST is correctly assigned to reflect acuity level and date of next review. Include NST in comment</i>	97%
		13.	<i>Food/fluid consistency is addressed when actual/potential aspiration/dysphagia is present</i>	100%
		14.	<i>Transition to oral feeding regimen is addressed for enteral/parenteral nutrition support</i>	N/A
		15.	<i>Assessment utilizes approved abbreviations</i>	100%
		16.	<i>Assessment is concise</i>	100%
		17.	<i>Assessment is legible</i>	97%
		18.	<i>Each page of the assessment is signed</i>	95%
		<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items that were not N/A in either period.</p> <p>A review of the records of six individuals to assess compliance with Nutrition type D.5.f criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>		

Section D: Integrated Assessments

D.5.g	For all other individuals, a comprehensive Admission Nutrition Assessment will be completed within 30 days of admission.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nutrition Care Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 16% of Nutrition Type D.5.g assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 65 out of 401):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Nutrition education is documented</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9.</td> <td><i>Progress is monitored, measured, and evaluated</i></td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10.</td> <td><i>Nutrition goals are individualized, relate to the nutrition diagnosis, and are realistic and measurable</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11.</td> <td><i>Recommendations are appropriate and complete</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12.</td> <td><i>NST is correctly assigned to reflect acuity level and date of next review. Include NST in comment</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>13.</td> <td><i>Food/fluid consistency is addressed when actual/potential aspiration/dysphagia is present</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i>	100%	2.	<i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i>	97%	3.	<i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i>	98%	4.	<i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i>	97%	5.	<i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i>	100%	6.	<i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i>	98%	7.	<i>Nutrition education is documented</i>	98%	8.	<i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified</i>	98%	9.	<i>Progress is monitored, measured, and evaluated</i>	N/A	10.	<i>Nutrition goals are individualized, relate to the nutrition diagnosis, and are realistic and measurable</i>	100%	11.	<i>Recommendations are appropriate and complete</i>	100%	12.	<i>NST is correctly assigned to reflect acuity level and date of next review. Include NST in comment</i>	100%	13.	<i>Food/fluid consistency is addressed when actual/potential aspiration/dysphagia is present</i>	100%
1.	<i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i>	100%																																							
2.	<i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i>	97%																																							
3.	<i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i>	98%																																							
4.	<i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i>	97%																																							
5.	<i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i>	100%																																							
6.	<i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i>	98%																																							
7.	<i>Nutrition education is documented</i>	98%																																							
8.	<i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified</i>	98%																																							
9.	<i>Progress is monitored, measured, and evaluated</i>	N/A																																							
10.	<i>Nutrition goals are individualized, relate to the nutrition diagnosis, and are realistic and measurable</i>	100%																																							
11.	<i>Recommendations are appropriate and complete</i>	100%																																							
12.	<i>NST is correctly assigned to reflect acuity level and date of next review. Include NST in comment</i>	100%																																							
13.	<i>Food/fluid consistency is addressed when actual/potential aspiration/dysphagia is present</i>	100%																																							

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>14.</td> <td><i>Transition to oral feeding regimen is addressed for enteral/parenteral nutrition support</i></td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>15.</td> <td><i>Assessment utilizes approved abbreviations</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>16.</td> <td><i>Assessment is concise</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>17.</td> <td><i>Assessment is legible</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>18.</td> <td><i>Each page of the assessment is signed</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items that were not N/A in either period.</p> <p>A review of the records of nine individuals to assess compliance with Nutrition type D.5.g criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	14.	<i>Transition to oral feeding regimen is addressed for enteral/parenteral nutrition support</i>	N/A	15.	<i>Assessment utilizes approved abbreviations</i>	100%	16.	<i>Assessment is concise</i>	100%	17.	<i>Assessment is legible</i>	100%	18.	<i>Each page of the assessment is signed</i>	100%
14.	<i>Transition to oral feeding regimen is addressed for enteral/parenteral nutrition support</i>	N/A															
15.	<i>Assessment utilizes approved abbreviations</i>	100%															
16.	<i>Assessment is concise</i>	100%															
17.	<i>Assessment is legible</i>	100%															
18.	<i>Each page of the assessment is signed</i>	100%															
D.5.h	Acuity level of an individual at nutritional risk will be determined by Nutritional Status Type ("NST") which defines minimum services provided by a registered dietitian.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nutrition Care Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 19% of Nutrition assessments (all types) due each month of the review period November 2009-April 2010 (502 out of 2602). The facility reports that a weighted mean of 98% of Nutrition admission assessments had evidence of a correctly assigned NST level.</p>															

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>A review of the records of 52 individuals found that all had evidence of a correctly assigned Nutritional Status Type and were in compliance with this requirement.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>																								
D.5.i	<p>The frequency of a comprehensive Nutrition Assessment Update will be determined by the NST. Updates should include, but not be limited to: subjective data, weight, body-mass index ("BMI"), waist circumference, appropriate weight range, diet order, changes in pertinent medication, changes in pertinent medical/psychiatric problems, changes in nutritional problem(s), progress toward goals/objectives, effectiveness of interventions, changes in goals/plan, recommendations, and follow-up as needed.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current efforts to achieve compliance.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nutrition Care Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 14% of Nutrition Type D.5.i assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 185 out of 1276):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i></td> <td>50%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i></td> <td>92%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i></td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Nutrition education is documented</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i>	50%	2.	<i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i>	99%	3.	<i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i>	92%	4.	<i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i>	95%	5.	<i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i>	99%	6.	<i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i>	98%	7.	<i>Nutrition education is documented</i>	98%	8.	<i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention</i>	100%
1.	<i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i>	50%																								
2.	<i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i>	99%																								
3.	<i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i>	92%																								
4.	<i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i>	95%																								
5.	<i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i>	99%																								
6.	<i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i>	98%																								
7.	<i>Nutrition education is documented</i>	98%																								
8.	<i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention</i>	100%																								

Section D: Integrated Assessments

			<i>provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified</i>	
	9.		<i>Progress is monitored, measured, and evaluated</i>	99%
	10.		<i>Nutrition goals are individualized, relate to the nutrition diagnosis, and are realistic and measurable</i>	98%
	11.		<i>Recommendations are appropriate and complete</i>	100%
	12.		<i>NST is correctly assigned to reflect acuity level and date of next review. Include NST in comment</i>	96%
	13.		<i>Food/fluid consistency is addressed when actual/potential aspiration/dysphagia is present</i>	100%
	14.		<i>Transition to oral feeding regimen is addressed for enteral/parenteral nutrition support</i>	N/A
	15.		<i>Assessment utilizes approved abbreviations</i>	100%
	16.		<i>Assessment is concise</i>	100%
	17.		<i>Assessment is legible</i>	100%
	18.		<i>Each page of the assessment is signed</i>	100%
	<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items that were not N/A in either period except items 1 and 3, for which the compliance rates improved as follows:</p>			
			Previous period	Current period
	Mean compliance rate			
	1.		34%	50%
	3.		86%	92%
	<p>The facility attributed less than substantial compliance with item 1 to RD vacancies, high caseload numbers and the prioritization of higher-acuity assessments and referrals.</p>			

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>A review of the records of nine individuals to assess compliance with Nutrition type D.5.i criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>																								
D.5.j.i	Individuals will be reassessed when there is a significant change in condition.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current efforts to achieve compliance.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nutrition Care Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 17% of Nutrition Type D.5.j.i assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 52 out of 307):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i></td> <td>88%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i></td> <td>94%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Nutrition education is documented</i></td> <td>94%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i>	100%	2.	<i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i>	98%	3.	<i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i>	88%	4.	<i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i>	94%	5.	<i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i>	98%	6.	<i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i>	96%	7.	<i>Nutrition education is documented</i>	94%	8.	<i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers</i>	96%
1.	<i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i>	100%																								
2.	<i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i>	98%																								
3.	<i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i>	88%																								
4.	<i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i>	94%																								
5.	<i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i>	98%																								
6.	<i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i>	96%																								
7.	<i>Nutrition education is documented</i>	94%																								
8.	<i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers</i>	96%																								

Section D: Integrated Assessments

			<i>identified</i>													
		9.	<i>Progress is monitored, measured, and evaluated</i>	100%												
		10.	<i>Nutrition goals are individualized, relate to the nutrition diagnosis, and are realistic and measurable</i>	98%												
		11.	<i>Recommendations are appropriate and complete</i>	98%												
		12.	<i>NST is correctly assigned to reflect acuity level and date of next review. Include NST in comment</i>	98%												
		13.	<i>Food/fluid consistency is addressed when actual/potential aspiration/dysphagia is present</i>	100%												
		14.	<i>Transition to oral feeding regimen is addressed for enteral/parenteral nutrition support</i>	N/A												
		15.	<i>Assessment utilizes approved abbreviations</i>	99%												
		16.	<i>Assessment is concise</i>	100%												
		17.	<i>Assessment is legible</i>	99%												
		18.	<i>Each page of the assessment is signed</i>	98%												
		<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items that were not N/A in either period except items 1 and 3, for which compliance improved as follows:</p>														
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Mean compliance rate</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>83%</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>84%</td> <td>88%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Previous period	Current period	Mean compliance rate			1.	83%	100%	3.	84%	88%
	Previous period	Current period														
Mean compliance rate																
1.	83%	100%														
3.	84%	88%														
		<p>The facility attributed less than substantial compliance with item 3 to a small sample size due to this item being applicable to a small number of referrals in records reviewed.</p>														
		<p>A review of the records of six individuals to assess compliance with</p>														

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Nutrition type D.5.j.i criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>																								
D.5.j.ii	Every individual will be assessed annually.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current efforts to achieve compliance.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nutrition Care Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 16% of Nutrition Type D.5.j.ii assessments due each month for the review period November 2009-April 2010 (total of 78 out of 494):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i></td> <td>58%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Nutrition education is documented</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i>	58%	2.	<i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i>	100%	3.	<i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i>	100%	4.	<i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i>	96%	5.	<i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i>	98%	6.	<i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i>	100%	7.	<i>Nutrition education is documented</i>	98%	8.	<i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified</i>	100%
1.	<i>Assessment is completed on time per policy</i>	58%																								
2.	<i>All required subjective concerns are addressed</i>	100%																								
3.	<i>All pertinent objective nutrition information is accurately addressed</i>	100%																								
4.	<i>Estimated daily needs for nutrients specified are appropriate</i>	96%																								
5.	<i>Assessment utilizes findings from subjective and objective data</i>	98%																								
6.	<i>Nutrition diagnosis is correctly formulated, prioritized and validated</i>	100%																								
7.	<i>Nutrition education is documented</i>	98%																								
8.	<i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified</i>	100%																								

Section D: Integrated Assessments

	9.	<i>Progress is monitored, measured, and evaluated</i>	100%													
	10.	<i>Nutrition goals are individualized, relate to the nutrition diagnosis, and are realistic and measurable</i>	98%													
	11.	<i>Recommendations are appropriate and complete</i>	100%													
	12.	<i>NST is correctly assigned to reflect acuity level and date of next review. Include NST in comment</i>	100%													
	13.	<i>Food/fluid consistency is addressed when actual/potential aspiration/dysphagia is present</i>	100%													
	14.	<i>Transition to oral feeding regimen is addressed for enteral/parenteral nutrition support</i>	N/A													
	15.	<i>Assessment utilizes approved abbreviations</i>	100%													
	16.	<i>Assessment is concise</i>	100%													
	17.	<i>Assessment is legible</i>	98%													
	18.	<i>Each page of the assessment is signed</i>	100%													
	<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items that were not N/A in either period except items 1 and 3, for which compliance improved as follows:</p>															
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">Mean compliance rate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>43%</td> <td>58%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>86%</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Previous period	Current period	Mean compliance rate			1.	43%	58%	3.	86%	100%
		Previous period	Current period													
	Mean compliance rate															
	1.	43%	58%													
	3.	86%	100%													
	<p>The facility attributed less than substantial compliance with item 1 to RD vacancies, high caseload numbers, and the prioritization of higher-acuity assessments and referrals.</p>															
	<p>A review of the records of seven individuals to assess compliance with Nutrition type D.5.j.ii criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p>															

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
--	--	--

Section D: Integrated Assessments

6. Social History Assessments											
	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that each individual has a social history evaluation that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care:</p>	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hope Marriott, LCSW, Assistant to the Clinical Administrator 2. Lisa Hilder, LCSW, Supervisor Social Worker 3. Rachel Strydom, LCSW, Supervising Social Worker 4. Tiffany Rector, JD, LCSW (A), Supervising Social Worker and Section Leader 5. Veronica Kaufman, LCSW, Chief of Social Work Services <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The chart of the following nine individuals: ALB, CB, CC, JAR, NB, RUS, SL, VRB and YJ 2. DMH Integrated Assessments: Social Work Section 3. DMH 30-Day Psychosocial Assessments 4. PSH Progress Report Data 5. Family Education Assessments 									
D.6.a	<p>Is, to the extent reasonably possible, accurate, current and comprehensive;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Social History Assessments Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 96% of the Integrated Assessments: Social Work Sections due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Is, to the extent reasonably possible, accurate</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Current, and</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Comprehensive: All sections are completed with at</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	1.	<i>Is, to the extent reasonably possible, accurate</i>	100%	2.	<i>Current, and</i>	100%	3.	<i>Comprehensive: All sections are completed with at</i>	100%
1.	<i>Is, to the extent reasonably possible, accurate</i>	100%									
2.	<i>Current, and</i>	100%									
3.	<i>Comprehensive: All sections are completed with at</i>	100%									

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>least the minimum information required in the instructions as applicable or indicate why the information is not available.</i></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p>A review of the records of seven individuals to evaluate the Integrated Assessments: Social Work Sections found that all seven assessments were current and comprehensive (ALB, JAR, NB, RUS, SL, VRB and YJ).</p> <p>Using the DMH Social History Assessments Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 30% of the 30-Day Psychosocial Assessments due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Is, to the extent reasonably possible, accurate</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Current, and</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Comprehensive: All sections are completed with at least the minimum information required in the instructions as applicable or indicate why the information is not available.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p>A review of the records of nine individuals to evaluate the 30-Day Psychosocial Assessments found that eight assessments were timely and comprehensive (ALB, CB, JAR, NB, RUS, SL, VRB and YJ) and one was untimely and/or was not comprehensive (CC).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>		<i>least the minimum information required in the instructions as applicable or indicate why the information is not available.</i>		1.	<i>Is, to the extent reasonably possible, accurate</i>	100%	2.	<i>Current, and</i>	100%	3.	<i>Comprehensive: All sections are completed with at least the minimum information required in the instructions as applicable or indicate why the information is not available.</i>	100%
	<i>least the minimum information required in the instructions as applicable or indicate why the information is not available.</i>													
1.	<i>Is, to the extent reasonably possible, accurate</i>	100%												
2.	<i>Current, and</i>	100%												
3.	<i>Comprehensive: All sections are completed with at least the minimum information required in the instructions as applicable or indicate why the information is not available.</i>	100%												

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>									
D.6.b	Expressly identifies factual inconsistencies among sources, resolves or attempts to resolve inconsistencies, and explains the rationale for the resolution offered;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Social History Assessments Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 30% of the 30-Day Psychosocial Assessments due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Expressly identifies factual inconsistencies among sources.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Resolves or attempts to resolve inconsistencies.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Explains the rationale for the resolution offered.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p>A review of the records of nine individuals to evaluate the 30-Day Psychosocial Assessments for documentation of factual inconsistencies found that eight assessments identified and resolved factual inconsistencies (ALB, CC, JAR, NB, RUS, SL, VRB and YJ) and one was unclear (CB). In the case of CB, a number of educational grades (8, 10, and 11) had been checked off, and it was unclear which was the highest grade completed.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>	4.	<i>Expressly identifies factual inconsistencies among sources.</i>	100%	5.	<i>Resolves or attempts to resolve inconsistencies.</i>	100%	6.	<i>Explains the rationale for the resolution offered.</i>	100%
4.	<i>Expressly identifies factual inconsistencies among sources.</i>	100%									
5.	<i>Resolves or attempts to resolve inconsistencies.</i>	100%									
6.	<i>Explains the rationale for the resolution offered.</i>	100%									

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>						
D.6.c	<p>Is included in the 7-day integrated assessment and fully documented by the 30th day of an individual's admission; and</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Social History Assessments Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 96% of Integrated Assessments: Social Work Sections due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Is included in the 7-day integrated assessment</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of nine individuals to evaluate timeliness of the Social Work Integrated Assessment found that all nine assessments were timely (ALB, CB, CC, JAR, NB, RUS, SL, VRB and YJ).</p> <p>Using the DMH Social History Assessments Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 30% of 30-Day Psychosocial Assessments due each month during the review period:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Fully documented by the 30th day of the individual's admission.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	7.	<i>Is included in the 7-day integrated assessment</i>	98%	8.	<i>Fully documented by the 30th day of the individual's admission.</i>	99%
7.	<i>Is included in the 7-day integrated assessment</i>	98%						
8.	<i>Fully documented by the 30th day of the individual's admission.</i>	99%						

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>A review of the records of nine individuals to evaluate timeliness of the 30-Day Psychosocial Assessments found that eight assessments were timely (ALB, CB, JAR, NB, RUS, SL, VRB and YJ) and one was untimely (CC).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>						
D.6.d	Reliably informs the individual's interdisciplinary team about the individual's relevant social factors and educational status.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Social History Assessments Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 96% of 30-day Psychosocial Assessments due each month during the review period:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>9.</td> <td><i>Social factors</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10.</td> <td><i>Educational status</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for both items.</p> <p>A review of the records of nine individuals to evaluate documentation of the individual's social factors and educational status in the 30-Day Psychosocial Assessments found that all nine assessments included such information (ALB, CB, CC, JAR, NB, RUS, SL, VRB and YJ).</p>	9.	<i>Social factors</i>	100%	10.	<i>Educational status</i>	100%
9.	<i>Social factors</i>	100%						
10.	<i>Educational status</i>	100%						

Section D: Integrated Assessments

		<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
--	--	--

Section D: Integrated Assessments

7. Court Assessments		
D.7.a	Each State hospital shall develop and implement policies and procedures to ensure an interdisciplinary approach to the development of court submissions for individuals adjudicated "not guilty by reason of insanity" ("NGI") pursuant to Penal Code Section 1026, based on accurate information, and individualized risk assessments. The forensic reports should include the following, as clinically indicated:	As of the tour conducted in June 2009, PSH had maintained compliance with all of the requirements of this section for 18 months. The Court Monitor's evaluation of this section has therefore ceased per the terms of the Consent Judgment, and it will be the responsibility of DMH to provide oversight evaluation and ensure future maintenance of compliance.
D.7.a.i	clinical progress and achievement of stabilization of signs and symptoms of mental illness that were the cause, or contributing factor in the commission of the crime (i.e., instant offense);	
D.7.a.ii	acts of both verbal and physical aggression and property destruction during the past year of hospitalization and, if relevant, past acts of aggression and dangerous criminal behavior;	
D.7.a.iii	understanding of potential for danger and precursors of dangerous/criminal behavior, including instant offense;	
D.7.a.iv	acceptance of mental illness and understanding of the need for treatment, both psychosocial and biological, and the need to adhere to treatment;	
D.7.a.v	development of relapse prevention plan (i.e., Personal Wellness Recovery Plan or Wellness Recovery Action Plan) for mental illness symptoms, including the individual's recognition of precursors and warning signs and symptoms and precursors for dangerous acts;	
D.7.a.vi	willingness to achieve understanding of substance abuse issues and to develop an effective relapse prevention plan (as defined above);	
D.7.a.vii	previous community releases, if the individual has	

Section D: Integrated Assessments

	had previous CONREP revocations;	
D.7.a.viii	social support, financial resources, family conflicts, cultural marginalization, and history of sexual and emotional abuse, if applicable; and	
D.7.a.ix	relevant medical issues, all self-harm behaviors, risks for self harm and risk of harm to others, to inform the courts and the facility where the individual will be housed after discharge.	
D.7.b	Each State hospital shall develop and implement policies and procedures to ensure an interdisciplinary approach to the development of court submissions for individuals admitted to the hospital pursuant to Penal Code Section 1370, "incompetent to stand trial" ("IST"), based on accurate information and individualized risk assessments. Consistent with the right of an individual accused of a crime to a speedy trial, the focus of the IST hospitalization shall be the stabilization of the symptoms of mental illness so as to enable the individual to understand the legal proceedings and to assist his or her attorney in the preparation of the defense. The forensic reports should include the following:	
D.7.b.i	relevant clinical description of initial presentation, if available, which caused the individual to be deemed incompetent to stand trial by the court;	
D.7.b.ii	clinical description of the individual at the time of admission to the hospital;	
D.7.b.iii	course of hospital stay, describing any progress or lack of progress, response to treatment, current relevant mental status, and reasoning to support the recommendation; and	
D.7.b.iv	all self-harm behaviors and relevant medical issues, to inform the courts and the facility where the individual will be housed after discharge.	

Section D: Integrated Assessments

D.7.c	<p>Each State hospital shall establish a Forensic Review Panel (FRP) to serve as the internal body that reviews and provides oversight of facility practices and procedures regarding the forensic status of all individuals admitted pursuant to Penal Code 1026 and 1370. The FRP shall review and approve all forensic court submissions by the Wellness and Recovery Teams and ensure that individuals receive timely and adequate assessments by the teams to evaluate changes in their psychiatric condition, behavior and/or risk factors that may warrant modifications in their forensic status and/or level of restriction.</p>	
D.7.c.i	<p>The membership of the FRP shall include Director of Forensic Psychiatry, Facility Director or designee, Medical Director or designee, Chief of Psychology or designee, Chief of Social Services or designee, Chief of Nursing Services or designee, and Chief of Rehabilitation Services or designee. The Director of Forensic Psychiatry shall serve as the chair and shall be a board certified forensic psychiatrist. A quorum shall consist of a minimum of four FRP members or their designee.</p>	

Section E: Discharge Planning and Community Integration

E. Discharge Planning and Community Integration		
		<p>Summary of Progress:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PSH has attained substantial compliance with all requirements of Section E. 2. The facility has increased its communication through education, training and consultation and collaboration with CONREP and other community entities to ensure that individuals are readily discharged upon meeting their discharge criteria.
E	<p>Taking into account the limitations of court-imposed confinement, the State shall pursue actively the appropriate discharge of individuals under the State's care at each State hospital and, subject to legal limitations on the state's control of the placement process, provide services in the most integrated, appropriate setting in which they reasonably can be accommodated, as clinically appropriate, that is consistent with each individual's needs.</p>	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hope Marriott, LCSW, Assistant to the Clinical Administrator 2. Lisa Hilder, LCSW, Supervisor Social Worker 3. Rachel Strydom, LCSW, Supervising Social Worker <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The charts of the following 31 individuals: AB, CCH, CCK, CED, DE, DPP, EK, EL, EWH, GM, JAH, JAR, JL, JLO, JM, JRP, JS, KA, KE, LH, MCD, MLB, MT, PLI, RRA, SCW, SL, SLC, STJ, TY and WMM 2. List of individuals referred for discharge but still hospitalized 3. List of individuals assessed to need family therapy 4. CONREP reports <p><u>Observed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. WRPC (Program IV, unit 34) for monthly review of MT 2. WRPC (Program IV, unit 43) for monthly review of STJ 3. WRPC (Program VI, unit EB-10) for quarterly review of CCH
E.1	<p>Each State hospital shall identify at the 7-day therapeutic and rehabilitation service planning conference, and address at all subsequent planning</p>	<p>Please see sub-cells for compliance findings.</p>

Section E: Discharge Planning and Community Integration

	conferences, the particular considerations for each individual bearing on discharge, including:				
E.1.a	those factors that likely would foster successful discharge, including the individual's strengths, preferences, and personal life goals;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Discharge Planning and Community Integration Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 21% of quarterly and annual WRPs due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Those factors that likely would foster successful discharge, including the individual's strengths, preferences, and personal life goals.</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of 11 individuals found that 10 WRPs utilized the individual's strengths, preferences, and life goals and that these were aligned with the intervention(s) that impacted the individual's discharge goals (AB, CED, DE, EWH, JM, JRP, JS, KE, PLI and SL). The individual's strengths, preferences, and life goals had not been appropriately utilized in the remaining one WRP (DPP).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	1.	<i>Those factors that likely would foster successful discharge, including the individual's strengths, preferences, and personal life goals.</i>	96%
1.	<i>Those factors that likely would foster successful discharge, including the individual's strengths, preferences, and personal life goals.</i>	96%			

Section E: Discharge Planning and Community Integration

E.1.b	the individual's level of psychosocial functioning;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Discharge Planning and Community Integration Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 21% of the quarterly and annual WRPs due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>The individual's level of psychosocial functioning</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of nine individuals found that eight WRPs included the individual's psychosocial functioning in the Present Status section (CCK, JAH, JL, JLO, JS, MLB, SCW and SLC). The documentation in the remaining WRP was not comprehensive (EWH).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	2.	<i>The individual's level of psychosocial functioning</i>	100%
2.	<i>The individual's level of psychosocial functioning</i>	100%			
E.1.c	any barriers preventing the individual from transitioning to a more integrated environment, especially difficulties raised in previously unsuccessful placements; and	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			

Section E: Discharge Planning and Community Integration

		<p>Findings: Using the DMH Discharge Planning and Community Integration Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 21% of the quarterly and annual WRPs due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Any barriers preventing the individual from transitioning to more integrated environment, especially difficulties raised in previously unsuccessful placements.</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of seven individuals found that all seven WRPs contained documentation of the current barriers preventing the individual from transitioning to a more integrated environment, difficulties in making progress, and progress the individual has made to this point (CED, DPP, EWH, JAH, JS, PLI and SL).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	3.	<i>Any barriers preventing the individual from transitioning to more integrated environment, especially difficulties raised in previously unsuccessful placements.</i>	96%
3.	<i>Any barriers preventing the individual from transitioning to more integrated environment, especially difficulties raised in previously unsuccessful placements.</i>	96%			
E.1.d	the skills and supports necessary to live in the setting in which the individual will be placed.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Discharge Planning and Community Integration Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 21% of</p>			

Section E: Discharge Planning and Community Integration

		<p>the quarterly and annual WRPs due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>The skills and supports necessary to live in the setting in which the individual will be placed.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of five individuals found that all five WRPs documented the skills training and supports the individual needs to overcome barriers to discharge and successfully transition to the identified setting (DPP, EL, KE, MCD and RRA).</p> <p>Other findings: The SW department has prepared brochures on discharge supports and services in English and Spanish. The supports the individual will receive upon discharge to the community, or those which have not been arranged but will be needed will be entered into the brochure for individuals to take with them when they leave the facility to the next placement.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	4.	<i>The skills and supports necessary to live in the setting in which the individual will be placed.</i>	100%
4.	<i>The skills and supports necessary to live in the setting in which the individual will be placed.</i>	100%			
E.2	Each State hospital shall ensure that, beginning at the time of admission and continuously throughout the individual's stay, the individual is an active participant in the discharge planning process, to the fullest extent possible, given the individual's level of functioning and legal status.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			

Section E: Discharge Planning and Community Integration

		<p>Findings: Using the DMH WRP Observation Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 16% of the quarterly and annual WRPs due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>12.</td> <td><i>Each state hospital shall ensure that, beginning at the time of admission and continuously throughout the individual's stay, the individual is an active participant in the discharge planning process, to the fullest extent possible, given the individual's level of functioning and legal status.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of five individuals found that all five WRPs contained documentation of the discharge barriers and the status of the individual's progress toward overcoming those barriers. However, only two of the WRPs contained documentation indicating that the individual was an active participant in the discharge process (GM and SCW), and the remaining three did not (JAH, JS and MLB).</p> <p>This monitor observed three WRPCs (CCH, MT and STJ). Where possible, given the individual's ability and willingness, the WRPTs engaged the individuals in discussing the discharge barriers and getting the individual's understanding and input. The WRPT did not get to review discharge barriers with MT because the individual walked out of the room (decompensated and became actively delusional) before the team got to the discharge section.</p> <p>A review of the records of eight individuals found that all eight WRPs contained measurable objectives and interventions to address the</p>	12.	<i>Each state hospital shall ensure that, beginning at the time of admission and continuously throughout the individual's stay, the individual is an active participant in the discharge planning process, to the fullest extent possible, given the individual's level of functioning and legal status.</i>	99%
12.	<i>Each state hospital shall ensure that, beginning at the time of admission and continuously throughout the individual's stay, the individual is an active participant in the discharge planning process, to the fullest extent possible, given the individual's level of functioning and legal status.</i>	99%			

Section E: Discharge Planning and Community Integration

		<p>individual's discharge criteria (CCH, CED, DE, GM, JS, MT, SLC and STJ). A review of the records of same eight individuals found that all eight WRPs prioritized objectives and interventions related to the discharge processes with appropriate foci, objectives, and relevant PSR Mall services.</p> <p>As a suggestion for further improvement during the maintenance phase: in the WRPs reviewed, the WRPTs do a good job of outlining each discharge barrier with the status of the individual's progress towards the discharge criteria. It will be useful to provide a summary and analysis of the data indicating reasons for the lack of progress and the team recommendation to the individual and/or other entities for further progress.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
E.3	Each State hospital shall ensure that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, each individual has a professionally developed discharge plan that is integrated within the individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan, that addresses his or her particular discharge considerations, and that includes:	Please see sub-cells for compliance findings.
E.3.a	measurable interventions regarding these discharge considerations;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Write all interventions, including those dealing with discharge criteria, in behavioral and measurable terms as outlined in the DMH WRP Manual.</p>

Section E: Discharge Planning and Community Integration

		<p>Findings: Using the DMH Discharge Planning and Community Integration Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 21% of the quarterly and annual WRPs due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>Each state hospital shall ensure that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, each individual has a professionally developed discharge plan that is integrated within the individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan, that addresses his or her particular discharge considerations, and that includes:</i></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Measurable interventions regarding these discharge considerations</i></td> <td>92%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 74% in the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the WRPs of seven individuals found that the objectives and discharge criteria were written in behavioral and/or measurable terms in all seven WRPs (CED, DPP, EWH, KE, PLI, SL and TY).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>		<i>Each state hospital shall ensure that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, each individual has a professionally developed discharge plan that is integrated within the individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan, that addresses his or her particular discharge considerations, and that includes:</i>		6.	<i>Measurable interventions regarding these discharge considerations</i>	92%
	<i>Each state hospital shall ensure that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, each individual has a professionally developed discharge plan that is integrated within the individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan, that addresses his or her particular discharge considerations, and that includes:</i>							
6.	<i>Measurable interventions regarding these discharge considerations</i>	92%						
E.3.b	the staff responsible for implement the interventions; and	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>						

Section E: Discharge Planning and Community Integration

		<p>Findings: Using the DMH Discharge Planning and Community Integration Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 21% of quarterly and annual WRPs due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>The interventions specify the name(s) of specific staff responsible for implementing each one</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of five individuals found that all five WRPs identified the staff member responsible for the interventions (CED, DE, DPP, JAR and KE).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	7.	<i>The interventions specify the name(s) of specific staff responsible for implementing each one</i>	100%
7.	<i>The interventions specify the name(s) of specific staff responsible for implementing each one</i>	100%			
E.3.c	The time frames for completion of the interventions.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Ensure that the review date for each objective is the same as the individual's next scheduled WRPC.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Discharge Planning and Community Integration Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 21% of quarterly and annual WRPs due each month during the review period</p>			

Section E: Discharge Planning and Community Integration

		<p>(November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td> <p><i>Each state hospital shall ensure that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, each individual has a professionally developed discharge plan that is integrated within the individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan, that addresses his or her particular discharge considerations, and that includes:</i></p> </td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td> <p><i>The time frames for completion of interventions</i></p> </td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of five individuals found that all five WRPs clearly stated the time frame for the next scheduled review for each intervention in the Mall or for individual therapy (AB, DE, DPP, JAR and KE).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>		<p><i>Each state hospital shall ensure that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, each individual has a professionally developed discharge plan that is integrated within the individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan, that addresses his or her particular discharge considerations, and that includes:</i></p>		8.	<p><i>The time frames for completion of interventions</i></p>	98%
	<p><i>Each state hospital shall ensure that, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, each individual has a professionally developed discharge plan that is integrated within the individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan, that addresses his or her particular discharge considerations, and that includes:</i></p>							
8.	<p><i>The time frames for completion of interventions</i></p>	98%						
E.4	<p>Each State hospital shall provide transition supports and services consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. In particular, each State hospital shall ensure that:</p>	<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>						
E.4.a	<p>individuals who have met discharge criteria are discharged expeditiously, subject to the availability of suitable placements; and</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p>						

Section E: Discharge Planning and Community Integration

		<p>Findings: Documentation review found that 22 individuals referred for discharge are still hospitalized. Ten of these individuals were referred for discharge within the last six months and 12 have been in the facility for more than six months following referral for discharge.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Name</th> <th>Court Report Date</th> <th>Status of availability of suitable placements.</th> <th>Current Efforts to find placements</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>TB</td> <td>4/6/07</td> <td>Immigration status remains a barrier. LA County Advocacy Services and pro bono attorney working on this issue.</td> <td>WRPT members met with PSH Executive Director and individual's attorney</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TR</td> <td>5/15/08</td> <td>Re-referred for locked community facility and awaiting CONREP evaluation.</td> <td>SW is following up with CONREP on the next interview date.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DVM</td> <td>3/10/09</td> <td>Waiting for further evaluation from CONREP.</td> <td>Following up with CONREP regarding interview.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MW</td> <td>4/30/09</td> <td>CONREP did not accept. Court hearing pending.</td> <td>SW is maintaining contact with CONREP regarding "locked" placement possibilities</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EK</td> <td>9/18/09</td> <td>Was originally denied by CONREP. WRPT persisted. Evaluated by CONREP in May and results are pending.</td> <td>SW in regular contact with CONREP.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MH</td> <td>10/9/09</td> <td>CONREP facilitating</td> <td>SW facilitating</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Name	Court Report Date	Status of availability of suitable placements.	Current Efforts to find placements	TB	4/6/07	Immigration status remains a barrier. LA County Advocacy Services and pro bono attorney working on this issue.	WRPT members met with PSH Executive Director and individual's attorney	TR	5/15/08	Re-referred for locked community facility and awaiting CONREP evaluation.	SW is following up with CONREP on the next interview date.	DVM	3/10/09	Waiting for further evaluation from CONREP.	Following up with CONREP regarding interview.	MW	4/30/09	CONREP did not accept. Court hearing pending.	SW is maintaining contact with CONREP regarding "locked" placement possibilities	EK	9/18/09	Was originally denied by CONREP. WRPT persisted. Evaluated by CONREP in May and results are pending.	SW in regular contact with CONREP.	MH	10/9/09	CONREP facilitating	SW facilitating
Name	Court Report Date	Status of availability of suitable placements.	Current Efforts to find placements																											
TB	4/6/07	Immigration status remains a barrier. LA County Advocacy Services and pro bono attorney working on this issue.	WRPT members met with PSH Executive Director and individual's attorney																											
TR	5/15/08	Re-referred for locked community facility and awaiting CONREP evaluation.	SW is following up with CONREP on the next interview date.																											
DVM	3/10/09	Waiting for further evaluation from CONREP.	Following up with CONREP regarding interview.																											
MW	4/30/09	CONREP did not accept. Court hearing pending.	SW is maintaining contact with CONREP regarding "locked" placement possibilities																											
EK	9/18/09	Was originally denied by CONREP. WRPT persisted. Evaluated by CONREP in May and results are pending.	SW in regular contact with CONREP.																											
MH	10/9/09	CONREP facilitating	SW facilitating																											

Section E: Discharge Planning and Community Integration

			interview with Southpoint facility.	Document completion
	LH	10/13/09	CONREP has not approved, instead has requested 3-6 months more treatment.	SW working towards direct discharge.
	KE	10/20/09	CONREP has not approved WRPT's recommendation.	WRPT trying to clarify with CONREP reasons for non-acceptance.
	DR	11/23/09	CONREP did not approve WRPT's recommendation and court date has not been set.	Court report complete. Referral Documents sent to CONREP.
	EL	12/18/09	CONREP approved. Awaiting court date.	SW has maintained contact with CONREP on recommendation status since 5/09.
	TC	12/29/09	Waiting for bed at CONREP.	Contact maintained with CONREP.
	MD	1/19/10	CONREP has not approved. WRPT will re-refer depending on court outcomes.	Sent referral packet
	BK	2/19/10	CONREP initiating interview between individual and Southpoint Program.	Initiating completion of physical exam per placement requirement.
	LL	2/23/10	CONREP has referred for placement at Southpoint.	Facilitating interview with Southpoint.
	NC	3/3/10	CONREP accepted. Waiting for the court	SW is preparing necessary documents

Section E: Discharge Planning and Community Integration

			order.		
		RA	3/18/10	WRPT recommended COT. May court date postponed. Immigration status is a barrier.	SW in contact with PD and family regarding immigration status.
		AF	3/25/10	IMD Referral completed. Waiting for response.	SW has made contact with IMD facility and completed necessary referral document.
		KM	4/5/10	Recent COT recommendation. Waiting for CONREP Evaluation.	CONREP referral face sheet and referral documents compiled.
		JC	4/16/10	COT Recommendation was rescinded as of 6/10/10.	Individual no longer meets discharge criteria per WRPT.
		CB	5/3/10	CONREP accepted. Waiting for open bed.	SW has worked with CONREP to provide necessary paperwork.
		VR	5/20/10	Court hearing in June 2010.	Preparing for community transition
		LS	8/07	COT court-ordered. CONREP cannot take due to Jessica's Law. CONREP asking court to remove Jessica's Law.	Referral for Vocational Rehab and SSI completed.
<p>As indicated in the table above, external factors such as CONREP denial, court hearing dates, and immigration issues are barriers to a speedier discharge in nearly all cases.</p>					

Section E: Discharge Planning and Community Integration

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>			
E.4.b	Individuals receive adequate assistance in transitioning to the new setting.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Discharge Planning and Community Integration Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 100% of the quarterly and annual WRPs due each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>10.</td> <td><i>[Each State hospital shall provide transition supports and services consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. In particular, each State hospital share ensure that] individuals receive adequate assistance in transitioning to the new setting.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of eight individuals found that all eight WRPs contained documentation of the assistance needed by the individual in the new setting (EK, EL, KA, KE, LH, MCD, RRA and WMM). For example, arrangements for obtaining a California ID were made for EL; clothing was arranged for EK; contacts with SSI and DMV were made for MCD; and SSI and family assistance to obtain citizenship were provided for RRA, as well as resources arranged in the Philippines in the event the individual gets deported.</p>	10.	<i>[Each State hospital shall provide transition supports and services consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. In particular, each State hospital share ensure that] individuals receive adequate assistance in transitioning to the new setting.</i>	100%
10.	<i>[Each State hospital shall provide transition supports and services consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. In particular, each State hospital share ensure that] individuals receive adequate assistance in transitioning to the new setting.</i>	100%			

Section E: Discharge Planning and Community Integration

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
E.5	For all children and adolescents it serves, each State hospital shall:	<p>The requirements of cell E.5 and sub-cells are not applicable to PSH as it does not serve children and adolescents.</p>
E.5.a	develop and implement policies and protocols that identify individuals with lengths of stay exceeding six months; and	
E.5.b	establish a regular review forum, which includes senior administration staff, to assess the children and adolescents identified in § V.E.1 above, to review their treatment plans, and to create an individualized action plan for each such child or adolescent that addresses the obstacles to successful discharge to the most integrated, appropriate placement as clinically and legally indicated.	

F. Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services	
	<p>Summary of Progress on Psychiatric Services: PSH has attained substantial compliance with all the requirements of Section F.1.</p> <p>Summary of Progress on Psychological Services: PSH has put in place a number of procedures to address validity and reliability of the By Choice incentive system including random checks to ensure that points are given after completion of activities and not before.</p> <p>Summary of Progress on Nursing Services:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. PSH has put significant efforts into the documentation of PRN and Stat medications and has achieved substantial compliance with this area of Section F.3.2. With continued efforts, PSH should be able to achieved substantial compliance with all of requirements in the area of Nursing Services by the next review. Efforts need to be directed at the nursing documentation addressing change of status. <p>Summary of Progress on Rehabilitation Therapy Services: PSH has maintained substantial compliance with the requirements of Section F.4 and should continue to enhance and improve current practice.</p> <p>Summary of Progress on Nutrition Assessments: PSH has maintained substantial compliance with the most requirements of Section F.5 with the exception of the requirement in cell F.5.b, and should continue efforts to enhance and improve current practice.</p> <p>Summary of Progress on Pharmacy Services: PSH has maintained substantial compliance with the requirements of Section F.6.</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

	<p>Summary of Progress on General Medical Services: PSH has attained substantial compliance with all the requirements of Section F.7.</p> <p>Summary of Progress on Infection Control:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. PSH should be able to come into substantial compliance with all Enhancement Plan requirements by the next review with increased collaboration with Nursing to ensure that clinically sound and appropriate objectives and interventions are contained in the WRPs regarding diseases and collaboration with Standards Compliance regarding Key Indicator data.2. PSH's Infection Control Department continues to review its practices and update its policies and procedures in alignment with current standards of practices. <p>Summary of Progress on Dental Services PSH's Dental Department has achieved substantial compliance in all but one area of the Enhancement Plan: dental refusals. PSH has implemented a Corrective Action Team (CAT) to address hospital-wide appointment refusals. PSH's current efforts addressing this area should result in substantial compliance with the requirements of Section F.9 at the time of the next tour.</p>
--	--

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

1. Psychiatric Services		
		<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Andrew Blaine, MD, Staff Psychiatrist, Chief of Medical Staff 2. George Proctor, MD, Acting Psychopharmacology Consultant 3. Rebecca Kornbluh, MD, Acting Chief of Psychiatry <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The charts of the following 42 individuals: AJG, BBD, BMC, BRA, CAM, CCD, CG, COM, DBM, DML, EEE, EG, FMD, GA, GR, HEH, HME, JBW, JP, JPD, JW, KF, LAB, LDL, LEM, LG, LJH, MGG, MP-1, MP-2, OV, PEL, RNB, RSW, RWT, SBM, SBP, SH, SLK, TW, VEB, and VMC 2. PSH Admission Psychiatric Assessment Auditing summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 3. PSH Integrated Assessment: Psychiatry Section Auditing summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 4. PSH Monthly PPN Audit summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 5. PSH PRN and Stat monitoring summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 6. Number of individuals with Tardive Dyskinesia diagnosis on anticholinergics 7. PSH Tardive Dyskinesia database 8. PSH Polypharmacy database 9. PSH Movement Disorder Monitoring summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 10. PSH aggregated data regarding adverse drug reactions (November 2009-April 2010) 11. Last ten ADRs for this reporting period 12. ADR tracking sheet for the reporting period 13. PSH aggregated data regarding medication variances (November 2009-April 2010) 14. Last ten MVRs for this reporting period

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>15. MVR tracking sheet for the reporting period 16. Intensive case Analyses (ICAs) completed during this review period 17. MVR for ICA #2 dated 2/24/10 18. Drug Utilization Evaluations completed by PSH during this review period 19. Pharmacy and Therapeutics Committee Minutes (October 2009 to May 2010)</p>
F.1.a	<p>Each State hospital shall develop and implement policies and procedures to ensure system-wide monitoring of the safety, efficacy, and appropriateness of all psychotropic medication use, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. In particular, policies and procedures shall require monitoring of the use of psychotropic medications to ensure that they are:</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p> <p>Findings: PSH used the DMH Admission Psychiatric Assessment, Integrated Assessment: Psychiatry Section and Monthly PPN Auditing Forms to assess its compliance, based on average samples of 48%, 25% and 21% respectively. Compliance data with corresponding indicators and sub-indicators and comparative data are summarized in each cell below</p> <p>Other findings: The following is a summary of the significant updates of the individualized medication guidelines (DMH Psychotropic Medication Policy) and other updates regarding medication uses in the facility's Pharmacy and Therapeutics Manual:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Guidelines regarding iloperidone, olanzapine depot (Relprevv), first-generation antipsychotics and carbamazepine were added to the DMH Psychotropic Medication Policy. 2. The clozapine guideline was updated to include a procedure for its use for terminally ill individuals in hospice care.

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>3. Prasugrel (Effient) was added to the PSH Pharmacy and Therapeutics Manual as an anticoagulant.</p> <p>4. A guideline for the use of zonisamide was added to the Manual.</p> <p>5. Antimicrobial Therapy guideline was updated, including the use of newer antimicrobials (the Sanford Guideline to Antimicrobial Therapy, 2009 was used as a reference).</p> <p>A review of the updates in the DMH Psychotropic Medication Policy found that the updates comported with current generally accepted standards.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure that the individualized medication guidelines are continually updated, as appropriate, to reflect current literature, relevant clinical experience and professional practice guidelines. Provide a summary outline of the updates during the review period. 2. Continue to monitor this requirement. 												
F.1.a.i	specifically matched to current, clinically justified diagnoses or clinical symptoms;	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Admission Psychiatric Assessment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Plan of care includes [regular psychotropic medications, with rationale; PRN and/or Stat medications, as applicable, with specific behavioral indicators; and special precautions to address risk factors, as indicated].</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Integrated Psychiatric Assessment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Diagnostic formulation</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Admission Psychiatric Assessment			8.	<i>Plan of care includes [regular psychotropic medications, with rationale; PRN and/or Stat medications, as applicable, with specific behavioral indicators; and special precautions to address risk factors, as indicated].</i>	99%	Integrated Psychiatric Assessment			7.	<i>Diagnostic formulation</i>	98%
Admission Psychiatric Assessment														
8.	<i>Plan of care includes [regular psychotropic medications, with rationale; PRN and/or Stat medications, as applicable, with specific behavioral indicators; and special precautions to address risk factors, as indicated].</i>	99%												
Integrated Psychiatric Assessment														
7.	<i>Diagnostic formulation</i>	98%												

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 5%;">10.</td> <td style="width: 80%;"><i>Psychopharmacology treatment plan</i></td> <td style="width: 15%;">99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for both items.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td colspan="3">Monthly PPN</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 5%;">2.b</td> <td style="width: 80%;"><i>Subjective complaints and symptoms are documented or there is documentation substantiating the reason that subjective complaints/concerns are not available.</i></td> <td style="width: 15%;">100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Timely and justifiable updates of diagnosis and treatment, as clinically indicated.</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for both items.</p>	10.	<i>Psychopharmacology treatment plan</i>	99%	Monthly PPN			2.b	<i>Subjective complaints and symptoms are documented or there is documentation substantiating the reason that subjective complaints/concerns are not available.</i>	100%	3.	<i>Timely and justifiable updates of diagnosis and treatment, as clinically indicated.</i>	97%
10.	<i>Psychopharmacology treatment plan</i>	99%												
Monthly PPN														
2.b	<i>Subjective complaints and symptoms are documented or there is documentation substantiating the reason that subjective complaints/concerns are not available.</i>	100%												
3.	<i>Timely and justifiable updates of diagnosis and treatment, as clinically indicated.</i>	97%												
F.1.a.ii	prescribed in therapeutic amounts, as dictated by the needs of the individual served;	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td colspan="3">Monthly PPN</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 5%;">5.b</td> <td style="width: 80%;"><i>Current regimen is prescribed consistent with DMH Psychotropic guidelines.</i></td> <td style="width: 15%;">100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	Monthly PPN			5.b	<i>Current regimen is prescribed consistent with DMH Psychotropic guidelines.</i>	100%						
Monthly PPN														
5.b	<i>Current regimen is prescribed consistent with DMH Psychotropic guidelines.</i>	100%												
F.1.a.iii	tailored to each individual's symptoms;	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td colspan="3">Monthly PPN</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 5%;">5.a</td> <td style="width: 80%;"><i>Justify/explain the current regimen considering this month's progress (or lack of progress) and clinical data</i></td> <td style="width: 15%;">99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	Monthly PPN			5.a	<i>Justify/explain the current regimen considering this month's progress (or lack of progress) and clinical data</i>	99%						
Monthly PPN														
5.a	<i>Justify/explain the current regimen considering this month's progress (or lack of progress) and clinical data</i>	99%												

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

F.1.a.iv	monitored for effectiveness against clearly identified target variables and time frames;	<table border="1"> <tr> <th colspan="3">Monthly PPN</th> </tr> <tr> <td>5.c</td> <td><i>Monitored for effectiveness against clearly identified target variables</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	Monthly PPN			5.c	<i>Monitored for effectiveness against clearly identified target variables</i>	99%			
Monthly PPN											
5.c	<i>Monitored for effectiveness against clearly identified target variables</i>	99%									
F.1.a.v	monitored appropriately for side effects;	<table border="1"> <tr> <th colspan="3">Monthly PPN</th> </tr> <tr> <td>2.g</td> <td><i>Current AIMS</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.d</td> <td><i>Justify/explain the use of medications that pose elevated risks and/or are causing side effects including, if applicable, an analysis of risks and benefits of the following: benzodiazepines, anticholinergics, polypharmacy, conventional and atypical antipsychotics and other psychiatric medications.</i></td> <td>95%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for both items.</p>	Monthly PPN			2.g	<i>Current AIMS</i>	99%	5.d	<i>Justify/explain the use of medications that pose elevated risks and/or are causing side effects including, if applicable, an analysis of risks and benefits of the following: benzodiazepines, anticholinergics, polypharmacy, conventional and atypical antipsychotics and other psychiatric medications.</i>	95%
Monthly PPN											
2.g	<i>Current AIMS</i>	99%									
5.d	<i>Justify/explain the use of medications that pose elevated risks and/or are causing side effects including, if applicable, an analysis of risks and benefits of the following: benzodiazepines, anticholinergics, polypharmacy, conventional and atypical antipsychotics and other psychiatric medications.</i>	95%									
F.1.a.vi	modified based on clinical rationales;	<table border="1"> <tr> <th colspan="3">Monthly PPN</th> </tr> <tr> <td>5.a</td> <td><i>Justify/explain the current regimen considering this month's progress (or lack of progress) and clinical data</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.d</td> <td><i>Justify/explain the use of medications that pose elevated risks and/or are causing side effects including, if applicable, an analysis of risks and benefits of the following: benzodiazepines, anticholinergics, polypharmacy, conventional and atypical antipsychotics and other psychiatric</i></td> <td>95%</td> </tr> </table>	Monthly PPN			5.a	<i>Justify/explain the current regimen considering this month's progress (or lack of progress) and clinical data</i>	99%	5.d	<i>Justify/explain the use of medications that pose elevated risks and/or are causing side effects including, if applicable, an analysis of risks and benefits of the following: benzodiazepines, anticholinergics, polypharmacy, conventional and atypical antipsychotics and other psychiatric</i>	95%
Monthly PPN											
5.a	<i>Justify/explain the current regimen considering this month's progress (or lack of progress) and clinical data</i>	99%									
5.d	<i>Justify/explain the use of medications that pose elevated risks and/or are causing side effects including, if applicable, an analysis of risks and benefits of the following: benzodiazepines, anticholinergics, polypharmacy, conventional and atypical antipsychotics and other psychiatric</i>	95%									

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>medications.</i></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for both items.</p>		<i>medications.</i>										
	<i>medications.</i>													
F.1.a.vii	are not inhibiting individuals from meaningfully participating in treatment, rehabilitation, or enrichment and educational services as a result of excessive sedation; and	<table border="1"> <tr> <th colspan="3">Monthly PPN</th> </tr> <tr> <td>5.d</td> <td><i>Justify/explain the use of medications that pose elevated risks and/or are causing side effects including, if applicable, an analysis of risks and benefits of the following: benzodiazepines, anticholinergics, polypharmacy, conventional and atypical antipsychotics and other psychiatric medications.</i></td> <td>95%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	Monthly PPN			5.d	<i>Justify/explain the use of medications that pose elevated risks and/or are causing side effects including, if applicable, an analysis of risks and benefits of the following: benzodiazepines, anticholinergics, polypharmacy, conventional and atypical antipsychotics and other psychiatric medications.</i>	95%						
Monthly PPN														
5.d	<i>Justify/explain the use of medications that pose elevated risks and/or are causing side effects including, if applicable, an analysis of risks and benefits of the following: benzodiazepines, anticholinergics, polypharmacy, conventional and atypical antipsychotics and other psychiatric medications.</i>	95%												
F.1.a.viii	Properly documented.	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Admission Psychiatric Assessment</td> <td>99%</td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Integrated Assessment (Psychiatry)</td> <td>94%</td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Monthly PPN</td> <td>98%</td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Previous period	Current period	Admission Psychiatric Assessment	99%	99%	Integrated Assessment (Psychiatry)	94%	99%	Monthly PPN	98%	98%
	Previous period	Current period												
Admission Psychiatric Assessment	99%	99%												
Integrated Assessment (Psychiatry)	94%	99%												
Monthly PPN	98%	98%												
F.1.b	Each State hospital shall monitor the use of PRN and Stat medications to ensure that these medications are administered in a manner that is clinically justified and are not used as a substitute for appropriate long-term treatment of the individual's condition.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p>												

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

Findings:

PSH used the standardized DMH Monthly PPN tool to assess its compliance, based on an average sample of 21% of individuals who have been hospitalized for 90 or more days during the review period (November 2009-April 2010). The facility also used the DMH Nursing Services Monitoring Forms for PRN and Stat medication uses, based on average samples of 20% and 24% of PRN and Stat medications given per month, respectively. The following tables summarize the data:

Monthly PPN		
6.	<i>Timely review of the use of "pro re nata" or "as needed" ("PRN") and "Stat" (i.e., emergency psychoactive) medications and adjustment of regular treatment, as indicated, based on such use.</i>	99%

Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.

Nursing Services PRN		
1.	<i>Safe administration of PRN medication.</i>	94%
2.	<i>Documentation of the circumstances requiring PRN medication.</i>	97%
3.	<i>Documentation of the individual's response to PRN medication.</i>	92%

Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for items 1 and 2. The compliance rate for item 3 improved from 83% in the previous review period.

Nursing Services Stat		
1.	<i>Safe administration of Stat medication.</i>	98%
2.	<i>Documentation of the circumstances requiring Stat</i>	96%

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>medication.</i></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Documentation of the individual's response to Stat medication.</i></td> <td>90%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for items 1 and 2. The compliance rate for item 3 improved from 83% in the previous review period.</p> <p>Other findings: See this monitor's findings in D.1.f.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>		<i>medication.</i>		3.	<i>Documentation of the individual's response to Stat medication.</i>	90%
	<i>medication.</i>							
3.	<i>Documentation of the individual's response to Stat medication.</i>	90%						
F.1.c	Each State hospital shall monitor the psychiatric use of benzodiazepines, anticholinergics, and polypharmacy to ensure clinical justification and attention to associated risks.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation 1, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p> <p>Findings: PSH used the standardized DMH Monthly PPN Audit Form to assess its compliance (November 2009-April 2010). Sample size was based on the total number of individuals prescribed the class of medication, regardless of duration. The following is a summary of the monitoring indicators and corresponding mean compliance rates:</p>						

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">PPN - Revised</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>5.d.</td> <td><i>Justify/explain the use of medications that pose elevated risks and/or are causing side effects including, if applicable, an analysis of risks and benefits of the following:</i></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.d.i.</td> <td><i>Benzodiazepines. (%S = 14%)</i></td> <td>93%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.d.ii.</td> <td><i>Anticholinergics. (%S = 9%)</i></td> <td>92%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.d.iii.</td> <td><i>Polypharmacy. (%S = 14%)</i></td> <td>95%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p>Recommendation 2, December 2009: Continue to provide comparative data regarding the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Total number of individuals receiving benzodiazepines for 60 days or more; Total number of individuals receiving benzodiazepines and having a diagnosis of substance abuse: (a) any substance, for 60 days or more; Total number of individuals receiving benzodiazepines and having a diagnosis of substance abuse: (b) poly/alcohol, for 60 days or more; Total number receiving benzodiazepines and having cognitive impairments (dementia or MR or cognitive disorder NOS or borderline intellectual functioning); Total number receiving anticholinergics for 60 days or more; Total number receiving anticholinergics and having a diagnosis of cognitive impairments (as above) or tardive dyskinesia or age 65 or above; Total number receiving intra-class polypharmacy; and Total number receiving inter-class polypharmacy. <p>Findings: PSH reported the following comparative data:</p>	PPN - Revised			5.d.	<i>Justify/explain the use of medications that pose elevated risks and/or are causing side effects including, if applicable, an analysis of risks and benefits of the following:</i>		5.d.i.	<i>Benzodiazepines. (%S = 14%)</i>	93%	5.d.ii.	<i>Anticholinergics. (%S = 9%)</i>	92%	5.d.iii.	<i>Polypharmacy. (%S = 14%)</i>	95%
PPN - Revised																	
5.d.	<i>Justify/explain the use of medications that pose elevated risks and/or are causing side effects including, if applicable, an analysis of risks and benefits of the following:</i>																
5.d.i.	<i>Benzodiazepines. (%S = 14%)</i>	93%															
5.d.ii.	<i>Anticholinergics. (%S = 9%)</i>	92%															
5.d.iii.	<i>Polypharmacy. (%S = 14%)</i>	95%															

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

	Indicators	Previous Period	Current Period
1.	<i>Total number of individuals receiving benzodiazepines for 60 days or more</i>	94	39
2.	<i>Total number of individuals receiving benzodiazepines and having a diagnosis of substance abuse: (a) any substance, for 60 days or more</i>	59	24
3.	<i>Total number of individuals receiving benzodiazepines and having a diagnosis of substance abuse: (b) poly/alcohol, for 60 days or more</i>	50	24
4.	<i>Total number receiving benzodiazepines and having cognitive impairments (dementia or MR or cognitive disorder NOS or borderline intellectual functioning)</i>	13	8
5.	<i>Total number receiving anticholinergics for 60 days or more</i>	129	143
6.	<i>Total number receiving anticholinergics and having a diagnosis of cognitive impairments (as above) or tardive dyskinesia or age 65 or above</i>	21	32
7.	<i>Total number with intra-class polypharmacy</i>	387	251
8.	<i>Total number with inter-class polypharmacy</i>	184	164

The above data showed significant decreases in the number of individuals receiving high-risk treatment with benzodiazepines and the number of individuals receiving polypharmacy.

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Other findings: This monitor reviewed the facility's databases regarding individuals receiving long-term treatment with the following types of medication use:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Benzodiazepines in presence of diagnoses of substance use disorders and/or cognitive disorders; 2. Anticholinergic medications for individuals diagnosed with cognitive disorders; 3. Anticholinergic medications for elderly individuals; and 4. Various forms of polypharmacy. <p>The reviews verified the facility's data regarding the decrease in the numbers of individuals receiving high risk treatment with benzodiazepines and receiving polypharmacy.</p> <p>This monitor also reviewed the charts of individuals receiving the above types of medication regimens. The following is an outline of the chart reviews:</p> <p><u>Benzodiazepine use</u></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Individual</th> <th>Medication(s)</th> <th>Diagnosis</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>BMC</td> <td>Clonazepam (discontinued)</td> <td>Polysubstance Dependence</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BRA</td> <td>Lorazepam</td> <td>Polysubstance Dependence</td> </tr> <tr> <td>GA</td> <td>Lorazepam</td> <td>Polysubstance Dependence</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JBW</td> <td>Lorazepam</td> <td>Cognitive Disorder NOS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JW</td> <td>Lorazepam</td> <td>Cocaine Dependence</td> </tr> <tr> <td>KF</td> <td>Lorazepam</td> <td>Nicotine Dependence</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MGG</td> <td>Clonazepam</td> <td>Polysubstance Dependence</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PEL</td> <td>Clonazepam</td> <td>Dementia Due to General Medical Condition with Behavioral Disturbance</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Individual	Medication(s)	Diagnosis	BMC	Clonazepam (discontinued)	Polysubstance Dependence	BRA	Lorazepam	Polysubstance Dependence	GA	Lorazepam	Polysubstance Dependence	JBW	Lorazepam	Cognitive Disorder NOS	JW	Lorazepam	Cocaine Dependence	KF	Lorazepam	Nicotine Dependence	MGG	Clonazepam	Polysubstance Dependence	PEL	Clonazepam	Dementia Due to General Medical Condition with Behavioral Disturbance
Individual	Medication(s)	Diagnosis																											
BMC	Clonazepam (discontinued)	Polysubstance Dependence																											
BRA	Lorazepam	Polysubstance Dependence																											
GA	Lorazepam	Polysubstance Dependence																											
JBW	Lorazepam	Cognitive Disorder NOS																											
JW	Lorazepam	Cocaine Dependence																											
KF	Lorazepam	Nicotine Dependence																											
MGG	Clonazepam	Polysubstance Dependence																											
PEL	Clonazepam	Dementia Due to General Medical Condition with Behavioral Disturbance																											

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		RNB	Clonazepam	Borderline Intellectual Functioning, Amphetamine Dependence and Drug-Induced Psychosis																																	
		SLK	Clonazepam	Polysubstance Dependence																																	
		<p>This review found substantial compliance in the charts of BMC, GA, JW, KF, MGG, RNB and SLK and partial compliance in the charts of BRA, JBW and PEL.</p> <p><u>Anticholinergic use</u></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Individual</th> <th>Medication(s)</th> <th>Diagnosis</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>BBD</td> <td>Benztropine</td> <td>Borderline Intellectual Functioning</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LAB</td> <td>Benztropine</td> <td>Cognitive Disorder NOS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NP</td> <td>Benztropine discontinued</td> <td>Borderline Intellectual Functioning</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RWT</td> <td>Benztropine (and clozapine)</td> <td>Borderline Intellectual Functioning and Constipation</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VMC</td> <td>Diphenhydramine</td> <td>Borderline Intellectual Functioning</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>This review found substantial compliance in the charts of LAB, NP and VMC and partial compliance in the charts of BBD and RWT.</p> <p><u>Anticholinergic use for elderly individuals</u></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Individual</th> <th>Medication(s)</th> <th>Diagnosis</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>CAM</td> <td>Hydroxyzine</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>CCD</td> <td>Benzotropine (and clonazepam)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>COM</td> <td>Trihexyphenidyl (and clonazepam)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>EG</td> <td>Benzotropine</td> <td>Dementia NOS</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Individual	Medication(s)	Diagnosis	BBD	Benztropine	Borderline Intellectual Functioning	LAB	Benztropine	Cognitive Disorder NOS	NP	Benztropine discontinued	Borderline Intellectual Functioning	RWT	Benztropine (and clozapine)	Borderline Intellectual Functioning and Constipation	VMC	Diphenhydramine	Borderline Intellectual Functioning	Individual	Medication(s)	Diagnosis	CAM	Hydroxyzine		CCD	Benzotropine (and clonazepam)		COM	Trihexyphenidyl (and clonazepam)		EG	Benzotropine	Dementia NOS
Individual	Medication(s)	Diagnosis																																			
BBD	Benztropine	Borderline Intellectual Functioning																																			
LAB	Benztropine	Cognitive Disorder NOS																																			
NP	Benztropine discontinued	Borderline Intellectual Functioning																																			
RWT	Benztropine (and clozapine)	Borderline Intellectual Functioning and Constipation																																			
VMC	Diphenhydramine	Borderline Intellectual Functioning																																			
Individual	Medication(s)	Diagnosis																																			
CAM	Hydroxyzine																																				
CCD	Benzotropine (and clonazepam)																																				
COM	Trihexyphenidyl (and clonazepam)																																				
EG	Benzotropine	Dementia NOS																																			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>HEH</td> <td>Diphenhydramine</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>This review found substantial compliance in the charts of CCD, COM, EG and HEH and partial compliance in the chart of CAM.</p> <p><u>Polypharmacy use</u></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Individual</th> <th>Medication(s)</th> <th>Diagnosis</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>AJG</td> <td>Clonazepam, trazodone, lamotrigine and tiagabine</td> <td>Polysubstance Dependence</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HME</td> <td>Divalproex, fluoxetine, olanzapine and diphenhydramine (discontinued)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>JBW</td> <td>Lorazepam (with planned taper off) olanzapine and quetiapine</td> <td>Cognitive Disorder NOS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JPD</td> <td>Aripiprazole and clozapine</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>RSW</td> <td>Trihexyphenidyl, hydroxyzine, risperidone and venlafaxine compliant</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>SBP</td> <td>Clozapine, quetiapine, divalproex and sertraline</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>VEB</td> <td>Divalproex, olanzapine, clonazepam, sertraline and zolpidem</td> <td>Polysubstance Dependence</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>This review found substantial compliance in all cases.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Continue to monitor this requirement. 2. Continue to provide comparative data regarding the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Total number of individuals receiving benzodiazepines for 60 days or more; b) Total number of individuals receiving benzodiazepines and having a 	HEH	Diphenhydramine		Individual	Medication(s)	Diagnosis	AJG	Clonazepam, trazodone, lamotrigine and tiagabine	Polysubstance Dependence	HME	Divalproex, fluoxetine, olanzapine and diphenhydramine (discontinued)		JBW	Lorazepam (with planned taper off) olanzapine and quetiapine	Cognitive Disorder NOS	JPD	Aripiprazole and clozapine		RSW	Trihexyphenidyl, hydroxyzine, risperidone and venlafaxine compliant		SBP	Clozapine, quetiapine, divalproex and sertraline		VEB	Divalproex, olanzapine, clonazepam, sertraline and zolpidem	Polysubstance Dependence
HEH	Diphenhydramine																												
Individual	Medication(s)	Diagnosis																											
AJG	Clonazepam, trazodone, lamotrigine and tiagabine	Polysubstance Dependence																											
HME	Divalproex, fluoxetine, olanzapine and diphenhydramine (discontinued)																												
JBW	Lorazepam (with planned taper off) olanzapine and quetiapine	Cognitive Disorder NOS																											
JPD	Aripiprazole and clozapine																												
RSW	Trihexyphenidyl, hydroxyzine, risperidone and venlafaxine compliant																												
SBP	Clozapine, quetiapine, divalproex and sertraline																												
VEB	Divalproex, olanzapine, clonazepam, sertraline and zolpidem	Polysubstance Dependence																											

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>diagnosis of substance abuse: (a) any substance, for 60 days or more;</p> <p>c) Total number of individuals receiving benzodiazepines and having a diagnosis of substance abuse: (b) poly/alcohol, for 60 days or more;</p> <p>d) Total number receiving benzodiazepines and having cognitive impairments (dementia or MR or cognitive disorder NOS or borderline intellectual functioning);</p> <p>e) Total number receiving anticholinergics for 60 days or more;</p> <p>f) Total number receiving anticholinergics and having a diagnosis of cognitive impairments (as above) or tardive dyskinesia or age 65 or above;</p> <p>g) Total number receiving intra-class polypharmacy; and</p> <p>h) Total number receiving inter-class polypharmacy.</p>												
F.1.d	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure the monitoring of the metabolic and endocrine risks associated with the use of new generation antipsychotic medications.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation 1, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Monthly PPN Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 21% of individuals receiving these medications during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.d.v</td> <td><i>Atypical antipsychotics with specific emphasis on risk for dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, and obesity for all atypical except for aripiprazole and ziprasidone</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.d.v.i</td> <td><i>Dyslipidemia</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.d.v.ii</td> <td><i>Diabetes Mellitus</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.d.v.iii</td> <td><i>Obesity</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at</p>	5.d.v	<i>Atypical antipsychotics with specific emphasis on risk for dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, and obesity for all atypical except for aripiprazole and ziprasidone</i>	98%	5.d.v.i	<i>Dyslipidemia</i>	97%	5.d.v.ii	<i>Diabetes Mellitus</i>	98%	5.d.v.iii	<i>Obesity</i>	98%
5.d.v	<i>Atypical antipsychotics with specific emphasis on risk for dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, and obesity for all atypical except for aripiprazole and ziprasidone</i>	98%												
5.d.v.i	<i>Dyslipidemia</i>	97%												
5.d.v.ii	<i>Diabetes Mellitus</i>	98%												
5.d.v.iii	<i>Obesity</i>	98%												

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p>Recommendation 2, December 2009: Ensure documentation of adequate clinical monitoring of individuals at risk for endocrine dysfunction.</p> <p>Findings: See Other Findings below.</p> <p>Other findings: This monitor reviewed the charts of 10 individuals who are receiving new-generation antipsychotic agents and suffering from a variety of metabolic disorders. The following table outlines the initials of these individuals, the medication(s) used and the metabolic disorder(s):</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Individual</th> <th>Medication(s)</th> <th>Diagnosis</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>DBM</td> <td>Olanzapine</td> <td>Diabetes Mellitus and Obesity</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EEE</td> <td>Risperidone</td> <td>Diabetes Mellitus and Obesity</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JP</td> <td>Olanzapine and risperidone</td> <td>Diabetes Mellitus and Hyperlipidemia compliant</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JW</td> <td>Risperidone</td> <td>Hyperprolactinemia compliant</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LEM</td> <td>Clozapine</td> <td>Diabetes Mellitus, Hyperlipidemia, Obesity and Hypertension</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LJH</td> <td>Clozapine</td> <td>Diabetes Mellitus</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MP</td> <td>Quetiapine</td> <td>Diabetes Mellitus and Obesity</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SBM</td> <td>Quetiapine and haloperidol</td> <td>Diabetes Mellitus and Hyperlipidemia</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SH</td> <td>Olanzapine</td> <td>Diabetes Mellitus</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SK</td> <td>Olanzapine and risperidone</td> <td>Hyperprolactinemia and Hyperlipidemia</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>This review found substantial compliance in all cases.</p>	Individual	Medication(s)	Diagnosis	DBM	Olanzapine	Diabetes Mellitus and Obesity	EEE	Risperidone	Diabetes Mellitus and Obesity	JP	Olanzapine and risperidone	Diabetes Mellitus and Hyperlipidemia compliant	JW	Risperidone	Hyperprolactinemia compliant	LEM	Clozapine	Diabetes Mellitus, Hyperlipidemia, Obesity and Hypertension	LJH	Clozapine	Diabetes Mellitus	MP	Quetiapine	Diabetes Mellitus and Obesity	SBM	Quetiapine and haloperidol	Diabetes Mellitus and Hyperlipidemia	SH	Olanzapine	Diabetes Mellitus	SK	Olanzapine and risperidone	Hyperprolactinemia and Hyperlipidemia
Individual	Medication(s)	Diagnosis																																	
DBM	Olanzapine	Diabetes Mellitus and Obesity																																	
EEE	Risperidone	Diabetes Mellitus and Obesity																																	
JP	Olanzapine and risperidone	Diabetes Mellitus and Hyperlipidemia compliant																																	
JW	Risperidone	Hyperprolactinemia compliant																																	
LEM	Clozapine	Diabetes Mellitus, Hyperlipidemia, Obesity and Hypertension																																	
LJH	Clozapine	Diabetes Mellitus																																	
MP	Quetiapine	Diabetes Mellitus and Obesity																																	
SBM	Quetiapine and haloperidol	Diabetes Mellitus and Hyperlipidemia																																	
SH	Olanzapine	Diabetes Mellitus																																	
SK	Olanzapine and risperidone	Hyperprolactinemia and Hyperlipidemia																																	

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>															
F.1.e	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure regular monitoring, using a validated rating instrument (such as AIMS or DISCUS), of tardive dyskinesia (TD); a baseline assessment shall be performed for each individual at admission with subsequent monitoring of the individual every 12 months while he/she is receiving antipsychotic medication, and every 3 months if the test is positive, TD is present, or the individual has a history of TD.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Movement Disorders Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on average samples ranging from 21% to 48% of individuals relevant to each indicator during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>A baseline assessment shall be performed for each individual at admission.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Subsequent monitoring of the individual every 12 months while he/she is receiving antipsychotic medication.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Monitoring of the individual is conducted every 3 months if the test (AIMS or DISCUS) is positive, TD is present, or the individual has a history of TD.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>All individuals with movement disorders are appropriately treated.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>A neurology consultation/Movement Disorders Clinic evaluation was completed as for all individuals with complicated movement disorders.</i></td> <td>90%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>A baseline assessment shall be performed for each individual at admission.</i>	98%	2.	<i>Subsequent monitoring of the individual every 12 months while he/she is receiving antipsychotic medication.</i>	99%	3.	<i>Monitoring of the individual is conducted every 3 months if the test (AIMS or DISCUS) is positive, TD is present, or the individual has a history of TD.</i>	99%	4.	<i>All individuals with movement disorders are appropriately treated.</i>	100%	5.	<i>A neurology consultation/Movement Disorders Clinic evaluation was completed as for all individuals with complicated movement disorders.</i>	90%
1.	<i>A baseline assessment shall be performed for each individual at admission.</i>	98%															
2.	<i>Subsequent monitoring of the individual every 12 months while he/she is receiving antipsychotic medication.</i>	99%															
3.	<i>Monitoring of the individual is conducted every 3 months if the test (AIMS or DISCUS) is positive, TD is present, or the individual has a history of TD.</i>	99%															
4.	<i>All individuals with movement disorders are appropriately treated.</i>	100%															
5.	<i>A neurology consultation/Movement Disorders Clinic evaluation was completed as for all individuals with complicated movement disorders.</i>	90%															

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Diagnosis of Movement Disorder is listed on Axis I and/or III (for current diagnosis).</i></td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>The Movement Disorder is included in Focus 6 of the WRP.</i></td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>The WRP reflects objectives and interventions for the Movement Disorder.</i></td> <td>95%</td> </tr> </table>	6.	<i>Diagnosis of Movement Disorder is listed on Axis I and/or III (for current diagnosis).</i>	91%	7.	<i>The Movement Disorder is included in Focus 6 of the WRP.</i>	95%	8.	<i>The WRP reflects objectives and interventions for the Movement Disorder.</i>	95%	<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p>Other findings: The facility's database identified 70 individuals as meeting one or more of the following three criteria: current diagnosis of TD, history of TD or current abnormal AIMS score. This monitor reviewed the charts of six individuals (CG, DML, FMD, GR, LDL and LG) currently diagnosed with Tardive Dyskinesia. The review found further progress since the last review. The following are examples:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Admission AIMS tests were completed on all individuals who were admitted during the past year. 2. Quarterly AIMS monitoring was completed in all charts reviewed. 3. The WRPs included diagnosis, focus and corresponding objectives and interventions related to tardive dyskinesia in all charts reviewed. 4. The psychiatric progress notes contained evidence of tracking of the status of TD in all charts reviewed. 5. The objectives related to TD utilized appropriate learning outcomes for most individuals (CG, DML, FMD, LDL and LG). 6. All charts documented attempts to use safer treatment alternatives for the individuals, including clozapine (CG and GR). 7. None of the individuals diagnosed with TD received unnecessary long-term treatment with anticholinergic agents during this review period. <p>The review found a few deficiencies:</p>
6.	<i>Diagnosis of Movement Disorder is listed on Axis I and/or III (for current diagnosis).</i>	91%										
7.	<i>The Movement Disorder is included in Focus 6 of the WRP.</i>	95%										
8.	<i>The WRP reflects objectives and interventions for the Movement Disorder.</i>	95%										

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>1. One WRP included an unattainable objective for the individual (GR). 2. The quarterly AIMS ratings appeared to unreliable in one chart (GR).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
F.1.f	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure timely identification, reporting, data analyses, and follow up remedial action regarding all adverse drug reactions ("ADR").</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation 1, December 2009: Increase reporting of ADRs.</p> <p>Findings: During this review period, PSH reported 155 ADRs compared to 144 during the previous review period.</p> <p>Recommendation 2, December 2009: Continue review and analysis of ADRs and present summary of aggregated data to address the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The number of ADRs reported each month during the review period compared with number reported during the previous period; b. Classification of probability and severity of ADRs; c. Any negative outcomes for individuals who were involved in serious reactions; d. Data analysis regarding patterns and trends of ADRs, including recommendations for corrective actions; and e. Any Intensive Case Analysis done, including review of circumstances of the events, contributing factors, conclusions regarding preventability and any possible process deficiencies; and specific recommendations for corrective actions (full report).

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Findings: The following summarizes the facility's data:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Total ADRs</td> <td>147</td> <td>155</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Classification of Probability of ADRs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Doubtful</td> <td>33</td> <td>34</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Possible</td> <td>58</td> <td>53</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Probable</td> <td>47</td> <td>51</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Definite</td> <td>9</td> <td>17</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Classification of Severity of ADRS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mild</td> <td>79</td> <td>91</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Moderate</td> <td>61</td> <td>59</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Severe</td> <td>7</td> <td>5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Of the five severe ADRs, none resulted in permanent sequelae to the individual involved. PSH conducted intensive case analyses (ICAs) on all severe ADRs. The ICAs employed appropriate methodology and the recommendations for systemic corrective/educational actions were generally adequate. The following is a summary of the corrective actions that addressed these incidents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Nursing education regarding policy to discontinue/renew medication upon return from PSH absences; 2. Educational presentations to medical staff regarding the identification and management of delirium; and 3. Educational presentations to medical staff regarding drug-drug interactions involving lithium and dosing strategies for the use of anticonvulsants for individuals suffering from seizure disorders. 		Previous period	Current period	Total ADRs	147	155	Classification of Probability of ADRs			Doubtful	33	34	Possible	58	53	Probable	47	51	Definite	9	17	Classification of Severity of ADRS			Mild	79	91	Moderate	61	59	Severe	7	5
	Previous period	Current period																																	
Total ADRs	147	155																																	
Classification of Probability of ADRs																																			
Doubtful	33	34																																	
Possible	58	53																																	
Probable	47	51																																	
Definite	9	17																																	
Classification of Severity of ADRS																																			
Mild	79	91																																	
Moderate	61	59																																	
Severe	7	5																																	

		<p>Recommendation 3, December 2009: Continue to provide analysis of patterns and trends, with corrective/educational actions related to ADRs.</p> <p>Findings: The facility's review of patterns of ADRs during this review period showed that most of the reactions involved extrapyramidal signs. Additional reports of orthostatic hypotension resulted in educational presentation to the medical staff in January 2010. The above-mentioned educational/corrective measures were implemented in response to the ICAs of severe ADRs. These actions were appropriate.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Increase reporting of ADRs.2. Continue review and analysis of ADRs and present summary of aggregated data to address the following:<ol style="list-style-type: none">a) The number of ADRs reported each month during the review period compared with number reported during the previous period;b) Classification of probability and severity of ADRs;c) Any negative outcomes for individuals who were involved in serious reactions;d) Data analysis regarding patterns and trends of ADRs, including recommendations for corrective actions; ande) Any Intensive Case Analysis done, including review of circumstances of the events, contributing factors, conclusions regarding preventability and any possible process deficiencies; and specific recommendations for corrective actions (full report).
--	--	--

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

<p>F.1.g</p>	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure drug utilization evaluation ("DUE") occurs in accord with established, up-to-date medication guidelines that shall specify indications, contraindications, and screening and monitoring requirements for all psychotropic medications; the guidelines shall be in accord with current professional literature.</p> <p>A verifiably competent psychopharmacology consultant shall approve the guidelines and ensure adherence to the guidelines.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to provide summary data on DUEs conducted during the review period, including topic, findings, recommendations and actions taken.</p> <p>Findings: PSH reported that it completed DUEs on lithium plasma levels, olanzapine fasting glucose and HgbA1C levels and phenytoin plasma levels.</p> <p>Other findings: Review by this monitor found that the facility's DUEs employed appropriate methodologies and comported with generally accepted standards for this process.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to provide summary data on DUEs conducted during the review period, including topic, findings, recommendations and actions taken.</p>
<p>F.1.h</p>	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure documentation, reporting, data analyses, and follow-up remedial action regarding actual and potential medication variances ("MVR") consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation 1, December 2009: Continue to present data to address the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Total number of variances and total number of critical breakdown points during the review period compared with numbers reported during the previous review period; b. Total number of actual and potential variances during the review period compared with numbers reported during the previous period; c. Number of variances and critical breakdown points by category (e.g. prescription, administration, documentation, etc);

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

- d. Number of critical breakdown points by outcome;
- e. Clinical information regarding each variance (category E or above) and the outcome to the individual involved;
- f. Information regarding any intensive case analysis done for each reaction that was classified as category E or above; and
- g. Outline of ICAs, including description of variance, recommendations and actions taken.

Findings:

PSH reported the following data regarding MVRs:

Number of Medication Variances	Previous Period	Current Period
Prescribing	18	29
Transcribing	93	146
Ordering/Procurement	125	42
Dispensing	153	176
Administration	238	212
Drug Security	171	2
Documentation	113	623
Total variances	911	1,230

Total Critical Breakdown Points	Previous Period	Current Period
Total Critical Breakdown Points	648	1,127
Potential MVRs	360	902
Actual MVRs	288	225
# Prescribing	18	29
# Transcribing	89	133
# Order/Procure	106	41

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td># Dispensing</td> <td>131</td> <td>165</td> </tr> <tr> <td># Administration</td> <td>119</td> <td>139</td> </tr> <tr> <td># Drug Security</td> <td>124</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td># Document</td> <td>61</td> <td>618</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outcome A</td> <td>353</td> <td>536</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outcome B</td> <td>30</td> <td>364</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outcome C</td> <td>261</td> <td>220</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outcome D</td> <td>4</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outcome E</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outcome F</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outcome G</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outcome H</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outcome I</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </table> <p>The above data showed significant improvement in the facility's capacity to capture potential variances compared to previous review periods.</p> <p>The data regarding total number of variances in different categories showed slight differences in a few categories (e.g. dispensing and administration) compared to the data provided in the key indicators. The facility investigated this matter and found that the majority of discrepancies were due to data entry problems; corrective measures have been initiated.</p> <p>PSH completed ICAs of the two variances that met threshold for analysis during this review period. The ICAs employed appropriate methodology and the recommendations for systemic corrective/educational actions were generally adequate. The following is a summary of the corrective actions that addressed the two incidents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Counseling and informal training of nursing staff regarding failure to monitor blood pressure as ordered; 2. Improved nursing oversight regarding proper documentation of vital 	# Dispensing	131	165	# Administration	119	139	# Drug Security	124	2	# Document	61	618	Outcome A	353	536	Outcome B	30	364	Outcome C	261	220	Outcome D	4	6	Outcome E	0	0	Outcome F	0	1	Outcome G	0	0	Outcome H	0	0	Outcome I	0	0
# Dispensing	131	165																																							
# Administration	119	139																																							
# Drug Security	124	2																																							
# Document	61	618																																							
Outcome A	353	536																																							
Outcome B	30	364																																							
Outcome C	261	220																																							
Outcome D	4	6																																							
Outcome E	0	0																																							
Outcome F	0	1																																							
Outcome G	0	0																																							
Outcome H	0	0																																							
Outcome I	0	0																																							

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>signs on the medication treatment records;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Staff education regarding safeguards to prevent the administration of a medication to which the individual is reportedly allergic; and 4. Improved pharmacy oversight to check for documented allergy prior to filling orders. <p>Recommendation 2, December 2009: Continue to provide analysis of patterns and trends, with corrective/ educational actions related to MVRs.</p> <p>Findings: PSH reported a variety of corrective measures to address patterns/ trends of variances in the categories of documentation, administration, dispensing and transcription. In general, the corrective actions were appropriate.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Continue to present data to address the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Total number of variances and total number of critical breakdown points during the review period compared with numbers reported during the previous review period; b) Total number of actual and potential variances during the review period compared with numbers reported during the previous period; c) Number of variances and critical breakdown points by category (e.g. prescription, administration, documentation, etc); d) Number of critical breakdown points by outcome; e) Clinical information regarding each variance (category E or above) and the outcome to the individual involved; f) Information regarding any intensive case analysis done for each
--	--	--

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>reaction that was classified as category E or above; and</p> <p>g) Outline of ICAs, including description of variance, recommendations and actions taken.</p> <p>2. Continue to provide analysis of patterns and trends, with corrective/ educational actions related to MVRs.</p>
F.1.i	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure tracking of individual and group practitioner trends, including data derived from monitoring of the use of PRNs, Stat medications, benzodiazepines, anticholinergics, and polypharmacy, and of ADRs, DUE, and MVR consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Same as in F.1.a through F.1.h.</p> <p>Findings: Same as in F.1.a through F.1.h.</p> <p>In addition, PSH reported that its senior psychiatrists have reviewed and analyzed data on individual practitioners, performed annual reviews, formal counseling, and informal counseling based upon quality of care and documentation lapses and generated three reports to the Medical Executive Committee on identified concerns. As mentioned in Section D.1, the process of reprivileging of psychiatrists considers all audit data as well as MVR and ADR reports.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Same as in F.1.a through F.1.h.</p>
F.1.j	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure feedback to the practitioner and educational/corrective actions in response to identified trends consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Same as in F.1.a through F.1.h.</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Findings: Same as in F.1.a through F.1.h.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Same as in F.1.a through F.1.h.</p>
F.1.k	Each State hospital shall ensure integration of information derived from ADRs, DUE, MVR, and the Pharmacy & Therapeutics, Therapeutics Review, and Mortality and Morbidity Committees consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Same as in F.1.a through F.1.h.</p> <p>Findings: Same as in F.1.a through F.1.h.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Same as in F.1.a through F.1.h.</p>
F.1.l	Each State hospital shall ensure that all physicians and clinicians are verifiably competent, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, in appropriate medication management, interdisciplinary team functioning, and the integration of behavioral and pharmacological treatments.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Same as in D.1.b, D.1.c, D.1.f.vii and F.1.a through F.1.h.</p> <p>Findings: Same as in D.1.b, D.1.c, D.1.f.vii and F.1.a through F.1.h.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Current recommendation: Same as in D.1.b, D.1.c, D.1.f.vii and F.1.a through F.1.h.</p>
F.1.m	Each State hospital shall review and ensure the appropriateness and safety of the medication treatment, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, for:	<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>
F.1.m.i	all individuals prescribed continuous anticholinergic treatment for more than two months;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Same as in D.1.b, D.1.c, D.1.f.vii and F.1.a through F.1.h.</p> <p>Findings: Same as in D.1.b, D.1.c, D.1.f.vii and F.1.a through F.1.h.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Same as in D.1.b, D.1.c, D.1.f.vii and F.1.a through F.1.h.</p>
F.1.m.ii	all elderly individuals and individuals with cognitive disorders who are prescribed continuous anticholinergic treatment regardless of duration of treatment;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Same as above.</p> <p>Findings: Same as above.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Same as above.</p>
F.1.m.iii	all individuals prescribed benzodiazepines as a scheduled modality for more than two months;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Recommendation, December 2009: Same as above.</p> <p>Findings: Same as above.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Same as above.</p>
F.1.m.iv	all individuals prescribed benzodiazepines with diagnoses of substance abuse or cognitive impairments, regardless of duration of treatment; and	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Same as above.</p> <p>Findings: Same as above.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Same as above.</p>
F.1.m.v	all individuals with a diagnosis or evidencing symptoms of tardive dyskinesia.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Same as F.1.e.</p> <p>Findings: Same as F.1.e.</p> <p>Current recommendations: Same as F.1.e.</p>
F.1.m.vi	all individuals diagnosed with dyslipidemia, and/or obesity, and/or diabetes mellitus who	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

	are prescribed new generation antipsychotic medications	<p>Recommendation, December 2009: Same as in F.1.d. and F.1.g.</p> <p>Findings: Same as in F.1.d. and F.1.g.</p> <p>Current recommendations: Same as in F.1.d. and F.1.g.</p>
F.1.n	Each State hospital shall ensure that the medication management of individuals with substance abuse disorders is provided consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Same as in C.2.o and F.1.c.</p> <p>Findings: Same as in C.2.o and F.1.c.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendations: Same as in C.2.o and F.1.c.</p>
F.1.o	Metropolitan State Hospital shall provide a minimum of 16 hours per year of instruction, through conferences, seminars, lectures and /or videotapes concerning psychopharmacology. Such instruction may be provided either onsite or through attendance at conferences elsewhere.	<p>This requirement applies exclusively to Metropolitan State Hospital.</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

2. Psychological Services	
	<p>Each State hospital shall provide adequate and appropriate psychological supports and services that are derived from evidence-based practice or practice-based evidence and are consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, to individuals who require such services; and:</p>
	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Allison Pate, PhD, Senior Supervising Psychologist 2. David Haimson, PhD, Chief of Psychology 3. Gari-Lyn Richardson, Director of Standards Compliance 4. Helga Thordarson, PhD, Senior Supervising Psychologist 5. Hope Marriott, LCSW, Social Worker, Assistant to the Clinical Administrator 6. Melanie Byde, PhD, Psychologist, Mall Director 7. Steve Berman, PhD, By Choice Coordinator 8. Susan Valesquez, PhD, PSSC Coordinator <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The charts of the following 24 individuals: AB, ALA, AS, DF, DG, DK, DLR, EG, FS, GB, GJ, HDM, JA, JC, JL, JP, KA, KDP, KM, MLB, RJ, SA, TG, and VF 2. Behavioral guidelines developed and implemented in the last six months 3. Positive Behavior Support Plans developed and implemented in the last six months 4. By Choice Training Documents 5. Proposal to integrate By Choice program into weight management goals. 6. Guidelines for By Choice point ratings during Mall group participation. 7. List of staff trained to implement Positive Behavior Support Plans. 8. List of individuals identified as needing neuropsychological services 9. Neuropsychological assessments completed in the last six months 10. List of individuals reviewed by the Psychology Specialized Services Committee (PSSC) 11. PSSC reports 12. List of individuals receiving DCAT services

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>13. List of individuals who have utilized higher than threshold levels of seclusion, restraints, and psychiatric PRN or Stat medication for maladaptive behaviors in the last six months</p> <p>14. Structural and functional assessments completed in the last six months</p> <p><u>Observed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. WRPC (Program IV, unit 34) for monthly review of MT 2. WRPC (Program IV, unit 43) for monthly review of STJ 3. WRPC (Program VI, unit EB-10) for quarterly review of CCH 4. Mall Group: Creative Art Therapy 5. Mall Group: Cognitive Remediation 6. Mall Group: WRAP 7. Mall Group: Cognitive Remediation Group (RISE) 8. Mall Group: Medication Education 9. Mall Group: Coping Skills 10. Mall Group: Social Skills
F.2.a	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that it has positive behavior support teams (with 1 team for each 300 individuals, consisting of 1 clinical psychologist, 1 registered nurse, 2 psychiatric technicians (1 of whom may be a behavior specialist), and 1 data analyst (who may be a behavior specialist) that have a demonstrated competence, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, in the following areas:</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Ensure the required number of PBS teams to meet the 1:300 ratio.</p> <p>Findings: PSH has four PBS teams and one DCAT team. Together these teams meet the required 1:300 ratio. Staff in one PBS team was recently hired. None of the PBS/DCAT teams have data analysts. It appears that these positions were not allocated. However, PSH has hired a graduate student to assist in data analysis.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Current recommendation: Ensure that all PBS/DCAT positions are filled.</p>																																																
<p>F.2.a.i</p>	<p>the development and use of positive behavior support plans, including methods of monitoring program interventions and the effectiveness of the interventions, providing staff training regarding program implementation, and, as appropriate, revising or terminating the program; and</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: The table below showing the number of new direct care staff at PSH (N), the number of new direct care staff trained for each month of this review period (n), and the percent staff trained (%C) is a summary of the facility's data:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="8">Staff Training</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>Nov</th> <th>Dec</th> <th>Jan</th> <th>Feb</th> <th>Mar</th> <th>Apr</th> <th>Mean</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>N</td> <td>7</td> <td>5</td> <td>19</td> <td>9</td> <td>6</td> <td>10</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>n</td> <td>7</td> <td>5</td> <td>19</td> <td>9</td> <td>6</td> <td>10</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>%S</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% C</td> <td>100%</td> <td>100%</td> <td>100%</td> <td>100%</td> <td>100%</td> <td>100%</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>According to the PSSC Coordinator, to date, PSH has trained a total of 1518 direct care staff.</p> <p>A review of documentation (PBS plans, structural and functional assessments, and staff training roster) for nine PBS plans (JA, JL, KM, MLB, FS, DK, GJ, TG, and RJ) found that the staff responsible for implementing all nine plans had been trained. PBS and DCAT team members at PSH continue to receive training from the PSSC Coordinator, CRIPA consultants, and from the PBS team members themselves.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>	Staff Training									Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Mean	N	7	5	19	9	6	10	10	n	7	5	19	9	6	10	10	%S	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	% C	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Staff Training																																																		
	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Mean																																											
N	7	5	19	9	6	10	10																																											
n	7	5	19	9	6	10	10																																											
%S	100	100	100	100	100	100	100																																											
% C	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%																																											

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>																			
F.2.a.ii	<p>the development and implementation of a facility-wide behavioral incentive system, referred to as "By CHOICE" that encompasses self-determination and choice by the individuals served.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: According to the By Choice Coordinator, the Central Council has endorsed the By Choice program. Training continues for staff and individuals on By Choice matters. The following table summarizes general staff training on By Choice during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Mean</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Number of staff eligible for training</td> <td>2057</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Number of staff trained</td> <td>1933</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Percentage of eligible staff trained</td> <td>94%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The following table summarizes WRPT staff training on By Choice Point Allocation during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Mean</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Number of staff eligible for training</td> <td>317</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Number of staff trained</td> <td>269</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Percentage of eligible staff trained</td> <td>85%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Using the Fidelity of Implementation By Choice Direct Care Staff Competency and Fidelity Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on a mean sample of 4% of the Level of Care staff:</p> <table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Staff understands the goal of the By Choice system</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Mean	Number of staff eligible for training	2057	Number of staff trained	1933	Percentage of eligible staff trained	94%		Mean	Number of staff eligible for training	317	Number of staff trained	269	Percentage of eligible staff trained	85%	1.	<i>Staff understands the goal of the By Choice system</i>	100%
	Mean																				
Number of staff eligible for training	2057																				
Number of staff trained	1933																				
Percentage of eligible staff trained	94%																				
	Mean																				
Number of staff eligible for training	317																				
Number of staff trained	269																				
Percentage of eligible staff trained	85%																				
1.	<i>Staff understands the goal of the By Choice system</i>	100%																			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		2.	<i>Staff can state the current point cycle</i>	100%
		3.	<i>Staff can state the procedure for assigning participation points on an individual's point card.</i>	100%
		4.	<i>Staff can state the behavioral criteria, as it appears in the By Choice manual, for determining and assigning individual FP, MP, and NP for the current cycle.</i>	100%
		5.	<i>Staff correctly assigns an appropriate participation level and marks and individuals By Choice</i>	100%
		6.	<i>Staff can locate the current By Choice Manual on their worksite or can correctly identify the location where the By Choice manual can be found.</i>	100%
		7.	<i>Staff can correctly state the difference between a Baseline point card and a Reallocation point card.</i>	100%
		8.	<i>Staff can state when and how By Choice points are reallocated and where the review and reallocation documentation can be found in an individual's WRP.</i>	100%
		9.	<i>Staff can indicate that there is a system for orienting new individuals to the By Choice system.</i>	100%
		10.	<i>Staff is able to state their unit or programs Incentive Store hours of operation.</i>	100%
		11.	<i>Staff can correctly state what the By Choice levels indicate and how they can achieve higher levels.</i>	99%
<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p>				
<p>Other findings: Using the Fidelity of Implementation by Individuals Form, PSH also assessed fidelity of By Choice implementation based on a mean sample of 4% of individuals in the facility:</p>				
		1.	<i>The individual understands the goal of the By Choice system.</i>	79%

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		2.	<i>Individual is holding his/her own Point Card or if not, indicates which staff member is holding it for them.</i>	98%																					
		3.	<i>The individual can state, to the best of his/her ability how they earn points throughout the day.</i>	93%																					
		4.	<i>The individual can state how they spend their By Choice points and what types of items they can purchase with their points.</i>	93%																					
		5.	<i>The individual can state the behavioral criteria for earning an FP, MP, or NP for the current cycle.</i>	72%																					
		6.	<i>Individual can indicate how many points he or she may earn each day.</i>	75%																					
		7.	<i>Individual can correctly state the difference between a Baseline Point card and a Reallocated Point Card.</i>	53%																					
		8.	<i>Individual can correctly state the procedure for reallocating their By Choice points.</i>	56%																					
		9.	<i>The individual is able to state their unit or program's incentive store hours of operation.</i>	89%																					
		10.	<i>Individual is able to state what the By Choice levels indicate and how they can achieve higher levels.</i>	20%																					
		<p>Comparative data indicated maintenance of a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for items 2-4, and mixed changes in compliance for the remaining items:</p>																							
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Mean compliance rate</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>78%</td> <td>79%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>78%</td> <td>72%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td>79%</td> <td>75%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td>79%</td> <td>53%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td>55%</td> <td>56%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Previous period	Current period	Mean compliance rate			1.	78%	79%	5.	78%	72%	6.	79%	75%	7.	79%	53%	8.	55%	56%
	Previous period	Current period																							
Mean compliance rate																									
1.	78%	79%																							
5.	78%	72%																							
6.	79%	75%																							
7.	79%	53%																							
8.	55%	56%																							

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		9.	88%	89%
		10.	22%	20%
		Compliance rate in last month of period		
		1.	64%	92%
		5.	78%	92%
		6.	79%	96%
		7.	51%	81%
		8.	55%	85%
		9.	88%	100%
		10.	22%	67%
		<p>Using the By Choice Monitoring Form: Satisfaction Check, PSH surveyed a mean sample of 13% of the individuals in the facility to evaluate their satisfaction with the By Choice Incentive program:</p>		
			Previous period	Current period
		1.	<i>By Choice motivates me to participate in treatment</i>	70% 70%
		2.	<i>The point system motivates me to improve my behavior</i>	63% 68%
		3.	<i>The point system motivates me to learn new skills</i>	63% 61%
		4.	<i>When staff completes my Point Card, they explain what I did to earn an FP, MP or NP</i>	54% 60%
		5.	<i>My WRPT discusses By Choice with me during my WRPC</i>	57% 63%
		6.	<i>During my WRPC I have input into how my points are allocated on my Point Card</i>	59% 65%
		7.	<i>My WRPT uses By Choice to help me improve my behavior</i>	63% 65%

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		8.	<i>My WRPT uses By Choice to help me learn new skills</i>	65%	66%	
		9.	<i>My unit staff uses By Choice to help me improve my behavior</i>	64%	65%	
		10.	<i>My unit staff uses By Choice to help me learn new skills</i>	59%	64%	
		11.	<i>I like the selection of ITEMS at the Incentive Store</i>	73%	72%	
		12.	<i>I like the selection of ACTIVITIES at the Incentive Store</i>	61%	64%	
		13.	<i>I like the prices of the ITEMS at the Incentive Store</i>	55%	60%	
		14.	<i>I like the price of the ACTIVITIES at the Incentive Store</i>	57%	59%	
		15.	<i>Overall, I am satisfied with the By Choice Incentive system</i>	74%	72%	
		<p>The By Choice Coordinator believes that a quarterly administration of the individual survey may be more appropriate as the current monthly survey is difficult to administer due to the individuals' reluctance associated with the frequency and redundancy of the process.</p> <p>Using the Fidelity of Implementation by the By Choice Staff Form, PSH further assessed fidelity of implementation based on a 100% sample of By Choice staff:</p>				
		1.	<i>The incentive store has regular hours of operation and they are posted in the incentive store(s) and on the units and Malls.</i>	100%		
		2.	<i>The incentive store includes a delivery system that ensures that all individuals have access to incentive items.</i>	100%		
		3.	<i>The incentive store is well stocked with appropriate</i>	100%		

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

			<i>items from the incentive list.</i>	
		4.	<i>The incentive store has an inventory control system.</i>	100%
		5.	<i>The incentive store has a system to track and remove outdated food items.</i>	100%
		6.	<i>There is a By Choice Manual located in the incentive store.</i>	100%
		7.	<i>The incentive store staff has completed incentive store training.</i>	100%
		8.	<i>The individuals bring their point cards to the store to make a purchase.</i>	100%
		9.	<i>There is a By Choice Calorie Activity Guide located in the incentive store.</i>	100%
		10.	<i>There is an Alert List in the incentive store for staff reference.</i>	100%
		11.	<i>There is an Alert List in the incentive store for use by store staff.</i>	100%
		<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p>This monitor visited three By Choice incentive stores. Some of the stores are small and/or narrow, making it difficult to display items and for individuals to walk through to survey the items. The stores also need a computerized inventory system to ensure accuracy of points and expedite the exchange process.</p> <p>Using the DMH By Choice Implementation Monitoring Forms (Level of Care Staff, Individuals, and By Choice program staff), PSH assessed fidelity of implementation based on average samples of 4% of the Level of Care Staff, 4% of the Individuals, and 100% of the By Choice program staff. The table below is a summary of the data:</p>		

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Level of Care Staff</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Individuals</td> <td>73%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>By Choice Program Staff</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>PSH has initiated a collaborative effort among medical, nutritional, psychological, and rehabilitative services to incorporate the By Choice incentive system for individuals with high BMIs.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	Level of Care Staff	100%	Individuals	73%	By Choice Program Staff	100%
Level of Care Staff	100%							
Individuals	73%							
By Choice Program Staff	100%							
F.2.b	Each State Hospital shall ensure that the Chief of Psychology has the clinical and administrative responsibility for the Positive Behavior Supports Team and the By CHOICE incentive program.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: The Chief of Psychology confirmed that he continues to have clinical and administrative authority for the PBS Teams and the By Choice incentive program. However, the Chief has delegated the responsibilities to the Coordinator of the Psychology Specialty Services Committee.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>						

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

F.2.c	Each State Hospital shall ensure that:	Compliance: Substantial.																								
F.2.c.i	behavioral assessments include structural and functional assessments and, as necessary, functional analysis;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Services Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of PBS plans developed during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>The individual's WRPT and the PSST are involved in the assessment process during the development of the BG or PBS plan.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>The WRPT and the PSST determined the goals of the intervention.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>At least one specific behavior of concern was defined in clear, observable and measurable terms</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Baseline of maladaptive behavior was established in terms of objective measures (e.g., rate, frequency, duration, intensity and severity).</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Pertinent records of the individual's challenging behavior were reviewed for antecedents, triggering events and consequences.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>A functional assessment interview was completed for the structural assessment.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Direct observations of the challenging behavior were undertaken, as applicable</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Additional structural assessments (e.g., ecological, sleep, medication effects, Mall attendance) were completed. [This item is N/A for BGs.]</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>The individual's WRPT and the PSST are involved in the assessment process during the development of the BG or PBS plan.</i>	100%	2.	<i>The WRPT and the PSST determined the goals of the intervention.</i>	100%	3.	<i>At least one specific behavior of concern was defined in clear, observable and measurable terms</i>	100%	4.	<i>Baseline of maladaptive behavior was established in terms of objective measures (e.g., rate, frequency, duration, intensity and severity).</i>	100%	5.	<i>Pertinent records of the individual's challenging behavior were reviewed for antecedents, triggering events and consequences.</i>	100%	6.	<i>A functional assessment interview was completed for the structural assessment.</i>	100%	7.	<i>Direct observations of the challenging behavior were undertaken, as applicable</i>	100%	8.	<i>Additional structural assessments (e.g., ecological, sleep, medication effects, Mall attendance) were completed. [This item is N/A for BGs.]</i>	100%
1.	<i>The individual's WRPT and the PSST are involved in the assessment process during the development of the BG or PBS plan.</i>	100%																								
2.	<i>The WRPT and the PSST determined the goals of the intervention.</i>	100%																								
3.	<i>At least one specific behavior of concern was defined in clear, observable and measurable terms</i>	100%																								
4.	<i>Baseline of maladaptive behavior was established in terms of objective measures (e.g., rate, frequency, duration, intensity and severity).</i>	100%																								
5.	<i>Pertinent records of the individual's challenging behavior were reviewed for antecedents, triggering events and consequences.</i>	100%																								
6.	<i>A functional assessment interview was completed for the structural assessment.</i>	100%																								
7.	<i>Direct observations of the challenging behavior were undertaken, as applicable</i>	100%																								
8.	<i>Additional structural assessments (e.g., ecological, sleep, medication effects, Mall attendance) were completed. [This item is N/A for BGs.]</i>	100%																								

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>9.</td> <td><i>A functional assessment rating scale was completed.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10.</td> <td><i>Additional functional assessment interviews were conducted with people (e.g., individual, level of care staff, clinical staff, and mall staff) who often interact with the individual within different settings and activities. [This item is N/A for BGs.]</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11.</td> <td><i>Patterns of challenging behavior were recognized based on the structural and functional assessments.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p>A review of nine PBS plans (DK, FS, GJ, JA, JL, KM, MLB, RJ and TG) found that all nine plans had been developed and implemented based on data derived from structural and functional assessments.</p> <p>To further enhance practice during the maintenance phase, the facility could conduct functional analysis during the assessment phase of the structural and functional assessments. Variables (one or more in a sequential fashion) can be manipulated during the assessment phase in the setting/location or time/day to confirm or refine the hypothesis. This can be ongoing during the time it takes for the assessments to be completed, reports to be completed, and plans developed.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	9.	<i>A functional assessment rating scale was completed.</i>	100%	10.	<i>Additional functional assessment interviews were conducted with people (e.g., individual, level of care staff, clinical staff, and mall staff) who often interact with the individual within different settings and activities. [This item is N/A for BGs.]</i>	100%	11.	<i>Patterns of challenging behavior were recognized based on the structural and functional assessments.</i>	100%
9.	<i>A functional assessment rating scale was completed.</i>	100%									
10.	<i>Additional functional assessment interviews were conducted with people (e.g., individual, level of care staff, clinical staff, and mall staff) who often interact with the individual within different settings and activities. [This item is N/A for BGs.]</i>	100%									
11.	<i>Patterns of challenging behavior were recognized based on the structural and functional assessments.</i>	100%									
F.2.c.ii	hypotheses of the maladaptive behavior are based on structural and functional assessments;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>									

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Services Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of PBS plans developed during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>12.</td> <td><i>Testable data-based hypotheses of the challenging behavior were developed.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of nine PBS plans (DK, FS, GJ, JA, JL, KM, MLB, RJ and TG) found that the hypotheses in all nine plans were based on structural and functional assessments and aligned with findings from the structural/functional assessments.</p> <p>To further improve the assessments during the maintenance phase, the facility could:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hypothesize why the target behavior might be infrequent or non-occurring in certain settings/occasions; 2. Ensure that hypotheses are specific and detailed. For example, it is not adequate to simply state "patient is disruptive to escape task/avoid task/obtain tangibles." It is useful to state what the individual is escaping from or wanting, and associated information (for example, patient avoids tasks asked of him/her by certain staff or gender, at certain time of day; at certain difficulty level, etc); 3. List separately the operational definition, hypothesized function, and predictive behaviors for each target behavior. Do not lump them all under one; and 4. State clearly the reinforcers and their schedule for each target behavior. 	12.	<i>Testable data-based hypotheses of the challenging behavior were developed.</i>	100%
12.	<i>Testable data-based hypotheses of the challenging behavior were developed.</i>	100%			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
F.2.c.iii	There is documentation of previous behavioral interventions and their effects;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Services Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of PBS plans developed during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Pertinent records of the individual's challenging behavior were reviewed for antecedents, triggering events, and consequences.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>A review of nine PBS plans (DK, FS, GJ, JA, JL, KM, MLB, RJ and TG) found that all nine had documented previous behavioral interventions and their effects, as part of the documentation review during the structural and functional assessments.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	5.	<i>Pertinent records of the individual's challenging behavior were reviewed for antecedents, triggering events, and consequences.</i>	100%
5.	<i>Pertinent records of the individual's challenging behavior were reviewed for antecedents, triggering events, and consequences.</i>	100%			
F.2.c.iv	behavioral interventions, which shall include positive behavior support plans, are based on a positive behavior supports model and do not include the use of aversive or punishment contingencies;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Services Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of PBS plans developed during the</p>			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>17.</td> <td><i>Reactive strategies, excluding any use of aversive or punishment contingencies for the staff to use when the challenging behavioral occurs</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of 14 PBS and BG intervention plans (AB, ALA, AS, DF, DG, DK, FS, GJ, JA, JC, JL, JP, KA and SA) found that all 14 behavioral interventions were based on a positive behavioral supports model without any use of aversive or punishment contingencies.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	17.	<i>Reactive strategies, excluding any use of aversive or punishment contingencies for the staff to use when the challenging behavioral occurs</i>	100%
17.	<i>Reactive strategies, excluding any use of aversive or punishment contingencies for the staff to use when the challenging behavioral occurs</i>	100%			
F.2.c.v	behavioral interventions are consistently implemented across all settings, including school settings;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Services Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of PBS plans and behavior guidelines developed during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>9.</td> <td><i>Behavioral interventions are consistently implemented across all settings, including school settings</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	9.	<i>Behavioral interventions are consistently implemented across all settings, including school settings</i>	100%
9.	<i>Behavioral interventions are consistently implemented across all settings, including school settings</i>	100%			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>This monitor's review of fidelity/integrity check for the PBS plans and behavior guidelines of nine individuals (DK, FS, GJ, JA, JL, KM, MLB, RJ and TG) found that PSH had conducted fidelity checks on all nine PBS plans and PBS-driven behavior guidelines.</p> <p>To further enhance practice during the maintenance phase, the facility could assess factors including the process and the "idiosyncratic variables" besides the implementation of the components of the behavioral plan when assessing fidelity (for example, the posture of staff when de-escalating, the tone of the voice, distance/space from the individual, latency of the instructions/reinforcement, etc.).</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>																																																								
F.2.c.vi	<p>triggers for instituting individualized behavioral interventions are specified and utilized, and that these triggers include excessive use of seclusion, restraint, or psychiatric PRN and Stat medication for behavior control;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: The table below showing the type of trigger, the number of individuals meeting threshold for each month of this review period, and the percentage of referrals made to the PSSC (%C) for each of the triggers is a summary of the facility's data:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="8">DMH Psychology Services Monitoring Form</th> </tr> <tr> <th>2008/2009</th> <th>Nov</th> <th>Dec</th> <th>Jan</th> <th>Feb</th> <th>Mar</th> <th>Apr</th> <th>Mean</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Restraint</td> <td>3</td> <td>2</td> <td>11</td> <td>8</td> <td>8</td> <td>5</td> <td>6.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>%C</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Seclusion</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>%C</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1:1</td> <td>51</td> <td>39</td> <td>84</td> <td>83</td> <td>87</td> <td>83</td> <td>71.6</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	DMH Psychology Services Monitoring Form								2008/2009	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Mean	Restraint	3	2	11	8	8	5	6.1	%C	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	Seclusion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	%C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1:1	51	39	84	83	87	83	71.6
DMH Psychology Services Monitoring Form																																																										
2008/2009	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Mean																																																			
Restraint	3	2	11	8	8	5	6.1																																																			
%C	100	100	100	100	100	100	100																																																			
Seclusion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0																																																			
%C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																																																			
1:1	51	39	84	83	87	83	71.6																																																			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>%C</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Aggression to others</td> <td>42</td> <td>41</td> <td>65</td> <td>60</td> <td>64</td> <td>54</td> <td>54.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>%C</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Aggression to self</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td>7</td> <td>5</td> <td>4</td> <td>6</td> <td>5.16</td> </tr> <tr> <td>%C</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> </tr> </table> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	%C	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	Aggression to others	42	41	65	60	64	54	54.3	%C	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	Aggression to self	4	5	7	5	4	6	5.16	%C	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
%C	100	100	100	100	100	100	100																																			
Aggression to others	42	41	65	60	64	54	54.3																																			
%C	100	100	100	100	100	100	100																																			
Aggression to self	4	5	7	5	4	6	5.16																																			
%C	100	100	100	100	100	100	100																																			
F.2.c.vii	positive behavior support teams and team psychologists integrate their therapies with other treatment modalities, including drug therapy:	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Services Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of PBS plans developed during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>11.</td> <td><i>Positive Behavior Support teams and team psychologists integrate their therapies with other treatment modalities, including drug therapy.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of nine PBS plans (DK, FS, GJ, JA, JL, KM, MLB, RJ and TG) found that all nine assessments had progress notes and/or entries in the structural and functional assessment reports on interdisciplinary collaboration.</p> <p>To further enhance practice during the maintenance phase, the facility could:</p>	11.	<i>Positive Behavior Support teams and team psychologists integrate their therapies with other treatment modalities, including drug therapy.</i>	100%																																					
11.	<i>Positive Behavior Support teams and team psychologists integrate their therapies with other treatment modalities, including drug therapy.</i>	100%																																								

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Include non-social variables (for example mental illness, physical health, respondent behaviors) when they are suspected to contribute/influence the target behaviors as part of the structural and functional assessments; 2. Identify factors and analyze data obtained from settings in which the target behaviors do not or are least likely to occur; and 3. Conduct second-order analysis to refine functions derived from indirect assessments. <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
F.2.c.viii	<p>all positive behavior support plans are specified in the objectives and interventions sections of the individual's Wellness and Recovery Plan;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Services Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of PBS plans developed during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>19.</td> <td><i>The BG or PBS plan, as applicable, is specified in the Present Status Section of the individual's WRP and the Objective and Intervention sections</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of 14 individuals with PBS plans or PBS assessments (AB, ALA, AS, DF, DG, DK, FS, GJ, JA, JC, JL, JP, KA and SA) found that all 14 of the WRPs had properly discussed the PBS plans</p>	19.	<i>The BG or PBS plan, as applicable, is specified in the Present Status Section of the individual's WRP and the Objective and Intervention sections</i>	100%
19.	<i>The BG or PBS plan, as applicable, is specified in the Present Status Section of the individual's WRP and the Objective and Intervention sections</i>	100%			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>in the Present Status section of the individual's WRP, with objectives and interventions in the relevant sections.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
F.2.c.ix	<p>all positive behavior support plans are updated as indicated by outcome data and reported at least quarterly in the Present Status section of the case formulation in the individual's Wellness and Recovery Plan</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Services Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of PBS plans and behavior guidelines developed during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>24.</td> <td><i>The WRPT Psychologist discusses the individual's monthly outcome data during the WRPC.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of 14 individuals with PBS plans (AB, ALA, AS, DF, DG, DK, FS, GJ, JA, JC, JL, JP, KA and SA) found that the plans were updated as indicated and reported at least quarterly in the Present Status section of the individual's WRP in all 14 cases.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	24.	<i>The WRPT Psychologist discusses the individual's monthly outcome data during the WRPC.</i>	100%
24.	<i>The WRPT Psychologist discusses the individual's monthly outcome data during the WRPC.</i>	100%			
F.2.c.x	<p>all staff has received competency-based training on implementing the specific behavioral interventions for which they are</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p>			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

	<p>responsible, and performance improvement measures are in place for monitoring the implementation of such interventions.</p>	<p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Services Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of behavior guidelines developed during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>20.</td> <td><i>The WRP psychologist ensures that the individual's enduring staff (e.g. unit and mall) is trained on the BG plan.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>Using the DMH Psychology Services Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of PBS plans developed during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>21.</td> <td><i>The PSST ensures that the individual's enduring staff (e.g. unit and mall) is trained on the PBS plan.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of nine PBS plans and related assessment and staff training data (DK, FS, GJ, JA, JL, KM, MLB, RJ and TG) found that the staff responsible for implementing the PBS plans had been trained to competency in all nine plans.</p> <p>Unit staff interviewed by this monitor confirmed that they had received training on PBS/BG plans from the PBS teams. All staff interviewed were able to review/demonstrate the components of the PBS plan/BG</p>	20.	<i>The WRP psychologist ensures that the individual's enduring staff (e.g. unit and mall) is trained on the BG plan.</i>	100%	21.	<i>The PSST ensures that the individual's enduring staff (e.g. unit and mall) is trained on the PBS plan.</i>	100%
20.	<i>The WRP psychologist ensures that the individual's enduring staff (e.g. unit and mall) is trained on the BG plan.</i>	100%						
21.	<i>The PSST ensures that the individual's enduring staff (e.g. unit and mall) is trained on the PBS plan.</i>	100%						

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>implemented for the individuals under their care. Hard copies of the PBS plans were filed at the nursing stations and available to this monitor during the unit visits.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>									
F.2.c.xi	<p>all positive behavior support team members shall have as their primary responsibility the provision of behavioral interventions;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: The table below showing the percentage of team members whose primary responsibility is the provision of behavioral interventions (15.a.i), the percentage of PBS team members who facilitate at least one Mall group per week (15.a.ii), and the percentage of PBS team members who, when engaged in overtime work, are assigned to PBS-related duties (15.b) is a summary of the facility's data.</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>15.a.i</td> <td><i>All PBS team members are primarily responsible for the provision of behavioral interventions</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>15.a.ii</td> <td><i>All PBS team members facilitate one PSR mall group weekly during their assigned work hours</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>15.b</td> <td><i>If PBS team members are required to do mandatory overtime on state holidays, they are assigned to their usual PBS duties</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>PBS team members informed this monitor that there is no conflict or barrier to their primary role to provide PBS/behavioral intervention</p>	15.a.i	<i>All PBS team members are primarily responsible for the provision of behavioral interventions</i>	100%	15.a.ii	<i>All PBS team members facilitate one PSR mall group weekly during their assigned work hours</i>	100%	15.b	<i>If PBS team members are required to do mandatory overtime on state holidays, they are assigned to their usual PBS duties</i>	100%
15.a.i	<i>All PBS team members are primarily responsible for the provision of behavioral interventions</i>	100%									
15.a.ii	<i>All PBS team members facilitate one PSR mall group weekly during their assigned work hours</i>	100%									
15.b	<i>If PBS team members are required to do mandatory overtime on state holidays, they are assigned to their usual PBS duties</i>	100%									

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>services. When they had to work overtime, they were assigned to their usual PBS duties.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
F.2.c.xii	<p>the By CHOICE point allocation is updated monthly in the individual's Wellness and Recovery Plan.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH By Choice Chart Audit Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 11% of the individuals at PSH during this review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>16.</td> <td><i>The By Choice point allocation is updated monthly in the individual's Wellness and Recovery Plan</i></td> <td>95%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of nine individuals found that seven of the WRPs in the charts reported the By Choice point allocation in the Present Status section of the individual's case formulation and updated the information in the subsequent WRPs, meeting full compliance (CK, GM, JH, JL, JS, MB and SC). The remaining two WRPs (JLO and SCW) met partial compliance.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	16.	<i>The By Choice point allocation is updated monthly in the individual's Wellness and Recovery Plan</i>	95%
16.	<i>The By Choice point allocation is updated monthly in the individual's Wellness and Recovery Plan</i>	95%			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

<p>F.2.d</p>	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that it has at least one developmental and cognitive abilities team (DCAT; consisting of 1 clinical psychologist, 1 registered nurse, 1 social worker, 1 psychiatric technician, and 1 data analyst (who may be a behavior specialist) who have a demonstrated competence, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, in assessing individuals with cognitive disorders/challenges; developing therapeutic interventions (including positive behavior supports); advising therapy and rehabilitation providers on the implementation of interventions at the cognitive level of the individuals; and managing discharge processes for individuals with developmental disabilities and cognitive disorders/challenges,. This team shall assume some of the functions of the positive behavior support teams if the individuals they serve also need positive behavioral supports.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation 1, December 2009: Ensure full staffing of the DCAT.</p> <p>Findings: PSH has one Developmental and Cognitive Assessment Team (DCAT). The facility has identified a candidate for the previously vacant nursing member position. The DCAT still lacks a data analyst; a graduate student is assisting with PBS and DCAT data analysis. The DCAT has an open caseload of 61 individuals, compared to 49 at the time of the last review.</p> <p>Recommendation 2, December 2009: Provide data confirming that the DCAT is providing services to all individuals in need of its services.</p> <p>Findings: Staff interview and documentation review found that the DCAT has increased its service to individuals, staff consultation, and Mall groups during this review period. The DCAT is actively involved in the RISE program (cognitive remediation Mall group). The DCAT has served 61 individuals during this review period through consultation with WRPTs, development and implementation of behavioral intervention plans, and facilitation of cognitive remediation groups.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure full staffing of the DCAT. 2. Provide data confirming that the DCAT is providing services to all individuals in need of its services.
--------------	--	--

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

<p>F.2.e</p>	<p>Each State Hospital shall develop and implement a Behavioral Consultation Committee (BCC), chaired by the Chief of Psychology, and co-chaired by the Chief of Psychiatry, to review the Wellness and Recovery Plan and maladaptive behavior(s) of the individuals who have not made timely progress on positive behavior support plans. The Chief of Psychology is responsible for the functions of this committee, together with members of the positive behavior support team (in functions of the committee that relate to individuals under the care of those team members). The committee membership shall include all clinical discipline heads, including the medical director, as well as the clinical administrator of the facility.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Staff interviews and documentation review found that the PSSC has continued to conduct joint meetings with the ETRC. The meetings were held regularly and the attendance rate of its core members was high. The PSSC reviewed 130 cases and opened 90 of them for assessment and services during this review period.</p> <p>Staff interviews and documentation review confirmed that the PSSC reviews all trigger referrals for appropriateness of behavioral assessments or consultations.</p> <p>A review of the records of seven individuals triggering during this review period (DLR, EG, GB, HDM, KDP, RPJ and VF) found that all seven had been reviewed by the PSSC. Following the PSSC review, behavior guidelines had been developed and implemented for three individuals (DLR, GB and KDP); PBS plans were developed and implemented for two individuals (RPJ and VF); and the PSSC determined that triggers for two individuals (EG and HDM) were medically/psychiatrically driven and that the individuals would not benefit from behavioral assessment at this time. The monitor's findings are in agreement with the facility's data presented in the table in F.2.C.vi.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
--------------	--	--

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

F.2.f	Each State Hospital shall ensure that it has sufficient neuropsychological services for the provision of adequate neuropsychological assessment of individuals with persistent mental illness.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Psychology Services Monitoring Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of referrals received each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>2009/2010</th> <th>Nov</th> <th>Dec</th> <th>Jan</th> <th>Feb</th> <th>Mar</th> <th>Apr</th> <th>Mean</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>18.a. i</td> <td><i>Number of neuropsychological assessments due for completion in the review month</i></td> <td>11</td> <td>9</td> <td>19</td> <td>11</td> <td>9</td> <td>21</td> <td>13.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>18.a. ii</td> <td><i>Of those in 18.a.i, number completed</i></td> <td>3</td> <td>0</td> <td>9</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>9</td> <td>2.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>18.a. iii</td> <td><i>Average time taken from referral to completion for all neuropsychological assessments during the current evaluation period</i></td> <td colspan="6"></td> <td>121</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Neuropsychologists at PSH have continued to assess and treat individuals referred for neuropsychological services. As the table above indicates, 23 of the 80 referrals due were completed during this review period. It took an average of 121 days for completion from the day of referral, but the assessments were completed within 30 days of assignment to a clinician.</p> <p>Staff interviews and documentation review found that a number of factors contributed to the slow turnaround of referrals. Staffing shortage and additional tasks during this review period contributed to the delay in completing referrals in a timely manner. One staff member</p>		2009/2010	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Mean	18.a. i	<i>Number of neuropsychological assessments due for completion in the review month</i>	11	9	19	11	9	21	13.3	18.a. ii	<i>Of those in 18.a.i, number completed</i>	3	0	9	2	0	9	2.8	18.a. iii	<i>Average time taken from referral to completion for all neuropsychological assessments during the current evaluation period</i>							121
	2009/2010	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Mean																														
18.a. i	<i>Number of neuropsychological assessments due for completion in the review month</i>	11	9	19	11	9	21	13.3																														
18.a. ii	<i>Of those in 18.a.i, number completed</i>	3	0	9	2	0	9	2.8																														
18.a. iii	<i>Average time taken from referral to completion for all neuropsychological assessments during the current evaluation period</i>							121																														

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>had been on maternity leave since July 2009, and another staff member had been assigned to assist with other activities. In addition, the neuropsychology service had been asked to support the development and implementation of seizure protocols, and assessment and services for 44 of 65 individuals needing seizure assessment and services. According to the Chief of Psychology, the two staff members have returned to active duty with the department and the additional support provided to other functions has been completed, so the neuropsychology service is expected to be back on track to complete neuropsychology referrals in a more timely fashion. The service plans to continue to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Work with Senior Psychologists to improve awareness of the need for NCS referral at the WRPT level; 2. Continue to provide training to psychologists and psychiatrists on the need and method for referring to the NCS; and 3. Continue to work on efficiency standards (e.g., provide consultation to units in lieu of comprehensive batteries when appropriate). <p>Compliance: Partial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement and implementation of the plan to reduce the turnaround time for completion of neuropsychological assessments.</p>
F.2.g	All clinical psychologists with privileges at any State Hospital shall have the authority to write orders for the implementation of positive behavior support plans, consultation for educational or other testing, and positive behavior support plan updates.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Psychologists at PSH continue to have the authority to write orders for</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>the implementation of positive behavior support plans, consultation for educational or other testing, and positive behavior support plan updates.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
--	--	--

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

3. Nursing Services		
	<p>Each State hospital shall provide adequate and appropriate nursing care and services consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care to individuals who require such services.</p>	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u> Lidia Lau, RN, ACNS</p> <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PSH's progress report and data 2. PSH's training rosters 3. Medication Variance Reports for MAR and Narcotic Log blanks 4. Medication Variance Reporting Process Structure 5. Medication Administration Monitoring audit for medication observation 6. Medical records for the following 65 individuals: AJV, AV, BJN, BM, BSH, CDC, CDT, CH, CMJ, DAB, DAF, DB, DGA, DJ, DLJ, EAL, EH, FGC, FJ, FL, GH, GJD, GO, HCC, HDM, HLE, HMP, JAM, JCH, JFL, JG, JL, JM, JMG, JPL, LF, LS, MAK, MGS, MH, ML, MLR, MLS, MLV, MRH, MS, NJG, PC, RAD, RAF, RAS, RC, RJ, RPJ, RTH, SA, SMM, SWK, TBF, TEM, TM, VSC, WAH, WI and WWD <p><u>Observed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. WRPC (Program I, unit U05) for quarterly review of SAN 2. WRPC (Program VI, unit 71) for 14-day review of TW 3. WRPC (Program VI, unit EBO2) for 14-day review of DC 4. Observation of shift report on unit EBO2 5. Medication administration on Unit 74
F.3.a	<p>Each State hospital shall develop and implement policies and protocols regarding the administration of medication, including pro re nata ("PRN") and "Stat" medication (i.e., emergency use of psychoactive medication), consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, to</p>	<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

	ensure:							
F.3.a.i	safe administration of PRN medications and Stat medications;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nursing Services Monitoring PRN Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 20% mean sample of PRNs administered each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Safe administration of PRN medications</i></td> <td>94%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>Using the DMH Nursing Services Monitoring Stat Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 24% mean sample of Stat medications administered each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Safe administration of Stat medications</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of 152 PRN and Stat orders (107 PRN and 45 Stat) for 54 individuals (AJV, AV, BJN, BM, BSH, CDC, CDT, CH, CMJ, DAB, DAF, DB, DGA, EAL, EH, FGC, FJ, FL, GH, GJD, HCC, HDM, HLE, HMP, JAM, JCH, JFL, JM, JPL, LF, LS, MAK, MGS, MH, ML, MLR, MLS, MLV, MRH, NJG, PC, RAD, RAS, RPJ, RTH, SA, SMM, SWK, TBF, TEM, VSC, WAH, WI and WWD) found that all included specific individual behaviors. In addition,</p>	1.	<i>Safe administration of PRN medications</i>	94%	2.	<i>Safe administration of Stat medications</i>	98%
1.	<i>Safe administration of PRN medications</i>	94%						
2.	<i>Safe administration of Stat medications</i>	98%						

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>all 152 notes reviewed included the dosages and routes of the PRN/Stat medications and the sites of the injections were documented in 151 notes.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>			
F.3.a.ii	documentation of the circumstances requiring PRN and Stat administration of medications;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to implement strategies to increase compliance with this requirement. • Continue to monitor this requirement. <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nursing Services Monitoring PRN Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 20% mean sample of PRNs administered each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>There is documentation in the Interdisciplinary Note of the individual prior to the PRN medication administration, which includes the circumstances/behavior requiring the medication.</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of 107 incidents of PRN medications for 36 individuals (AJV, AV, BJN, BSH, CDC, CDT, CH, DAF, DGA, EAL, EH, FJ, FL, GH, GJD, HCC, HDM, HLE, JPL, LF, LS, MAK, MGS, MH, ML, MRH, NJG, PC, RAS, RTH, SA, SMM, TBF, TEM, WAH and WWD) found adequate documentation in the IDNs of the circumstances requiring the PRN in 105 incidents.</p>	3.	<i>There is documentation in the Interdisciplinary Note of the individual prior to the PRN medication administration, which includes the circumstances/behavior requiring the medication.</i>	97%
3.	<i>There is documentation in the Interdisciplinary Note of the individual prior to the PRN medication administration, which includes the circumstances/behavior requiring the medication.</i>	97%			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Using the DMH Nursing Services Monitoring Stat Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 24% mean sample of Stat medications administered each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>There is documentation in the Interdisciplinary Note of the individual prior to the Stat medication administration, which includes the circumstances/behavior requiring the medication.</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of 45 incidents of Stat medications for 35 individuals (AJV, AV, BJN, BM, BSH, CDT, CH, CMJ, DAB, DB, DGA, EAL, EH, FGC, FL, HMP, JAM, JCH, JFL, JM, LF, MLR, MLS, MLV, MRH, PC, RAD, RAS, RPJ, SA, SWK, VSC, WAH, WI and WWD) found adequate documentation in the IDNs of the circumstances requiring the Stat in 44 incidents.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>	4.	<i>There is documentation in the Interdisciplinary Note of the individual prior to the Stat medication administration, which includes the circumstances/behavior requiring the medication.</i>	96%
4.	<i>There is documentation in the Interdisciplinary Note of the individual prior to the Stat medication administration, which includes the circumstances/behavior requiring the medication.</i>	96%			
F.3.a.iii	documentation of the individual's response to PRN and Stat medication.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to implement strategies to increase compliance with this requirement. • Continue to monitor this requirement. <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nursing Services Monitoring PRN Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 20% mean sample of PRNs administered each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p>			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>There is documentation in the Interdisciplinary Note of the individual's response to the PRN medication within one hour of administration.</i></td> <td>92%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 83% in the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of 107 incidents of PRN medications for 36 individuals (AJV, AV, BJN, BSH, CDC, CDT, CH, DAF, DGA, EAL, EH, FJ, FL, GH, GJD, HCC, HDM, HLE, JPL, LF, LS, MAK, MGS, MH, ML, MRH, NJG, PC, RAS, RTH, SA, SMM, TBF, TEM, WAH and WWD) found a timely, comprehensive assessment in the IDNs of the individual's response in 103 incidents.</p> <p>Using the DMH Nursing Services Monitoring Stat Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 24% mean sample of Stat medications administered each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>There is documentation in the Interdisciplinary Note of the individual's response to the Stat medication within one hour of administration.</i></td> <td>90%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 83% in the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of 45 incidents of Stat medications for 35 individuals (AJV, AV, BJN, BM, BSH, CDT, CH, CMJ, DAB, DB, DGA, EAL, EH, FGC, FL, HMP, JAM, JCH, JFL, JM, LF, MLR, MLS, MLV, MRH, PC, RAD, RAS, RPJ, SA, SWK, VSC, WAH, WI and WWD) found a timely, comprehensive assessment in the IDNs of the individual's response in 43 incidents.</p>	5.	<i>There is documentation in the Interdisciplinary Note of the individual's response to the PRN medication within one hour of administration.</i>	92%	6.	<i>There is documentation in the Interdisciplinary Note of the individual's response to the Stat medication within one hour of administration.</i>	90%
5.	<i>There is documentation in the Interdisciplinary Note of the individual's response to the PRN medication within one hour of administration.</i>	92%						
6.	<i>There is documentation in the Interdisciplinary Note of the individual's response to the Stat medication within one hour of administration.</i>	90%						

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
<p>F.3.b</p>	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that all failures to properly sign the Medication Treatment Record (MTR) or the controlled medication log are treated as medication variances, and that appropriate follow-up occurs to prevent recurrence of such variances.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: PSH's process for MVRs continues to include the following steps:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The NCs, Unit Supervisors, and SRN conduct random monitoring of the Medication and Treatment Administration Records and the Controlled Drug Count Record. If documentation issues such as missing initials or signatures or pre-signed MTRs are found, the medication nurse generates a Medication Variance Report and the program NC is notified. • The US and NC review copies of the unit nightly audits. • The Clinical Management Team reviews the MVRs, identifying trends. • Reports are provided to the US/NC and specific variance issues are addressed on a unit level for all missing initials/signatures to prevent recurrences. <p>A review of a random sample of 50 MVRs found that PSH had MVRs for the missing initials and signatures on the MARs and Narcotic Logs that were reported. In addition, a review of a medication variance for one individual (JMG) found that the variance was quickly discovered and reported to the physician. The individual was appropriately assessed at the facility and sent to the community hospital for further evaluation and assessment. Staff involved were provided retraining and additional medication administration observations. The MVR was timely initiated; however, the date of the clinical review documented on the MVR was noted not to be within the required three business days.</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure that clinical reviews for MVRs are timely completed. 2. Continue to monitor this requirement.
F.3.c	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that all nursing interventions are fully integrated into the therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan and that nursing interventions are written in a manner aligned with the rest of the interventions in the therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan, in particular, in observable, behavioral, and/or measurable terms. No nursing care plans other than the nursing interventions integrated in the therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan are required. No nursing diagnoses other than as specified in the therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan, in terms of the current DSM criteria, are required.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: No nursing care plans or nursing diagnoses other than those in the WRPs were found during this review. See C.2.1 for findings addressing WRP interventions.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
F.3.d	<p>All nursing staff working with an individual shall be familiar with the goals, objectives and interventions for that individual.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nursing Staff Familiarity Monitoring Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 56% of the nursing staff:</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Given a focus and objective(s) for an individual on the nursing staff's caseload, the nursing staff is able to discuss the individual's therapeutic milieu interventions as described in the WRP.</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> </table>	8.	<i>Given a focus and objective(s) for an individual on the nursing staff's caseload, the nursing staff is able to discuss the individual's therapeutic milieu interventions as described in the WRP.</i>	96%	
8.	<i>Given a focus and objective(s) for an individual on the nursing staff's caseload, the nursing staff is able to discuss the individual's therapeutic milieu interventions as described in the WRP.</i>	96%				
<p>F.3.e Each State hospital shall ensure that nursing staff timely monitor, document and report the status of symptoms, target variables, health, and mental health status, of individuals in a manner that enables interdisciplinary teams to assess each individual's status, and response to interventions, and to modify, as appropriate, individuals' therapeutic and rehabilitation service plans. Each State Hospital shall ensure that all nursing shift changes include a review of changes in status of individuals on the unit.</p>		<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>In three WRPCs observed by this monitor, all team members were very familiar with the individuals' WRP goals and interventions. Also, in conversation with unit staff, all were familiar with the goals and interventions of the individuals on their units.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to implement strategies to increase compliance with this requirement. • Continue to monitor this requirement. <p>Findings: Using the DMH Medical Transfer Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of individuals transferred to community hospitals each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>There is an appropriate documentation by the nurse that identifies the symptoms of concern and</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>There is an appropriate documentation by the nurse that identifies the symptoms of concern and</i>	97%
1.	<i>There is an appropriate documentation by the nurse that identifies the symptoms of concern and</i>	97%				

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>notification of the physician.</i></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>The WRP was updated to reflect the individual's current status following hospitalization or emergency room treatment.</i></td> <td>90%</td> </tr> </table>		<i>notification of the physician.</i>		7.	<i>The WRP was updated to reflect the individual's current status following hospitalization or emergency room treatment.</i>	90%
	<i>notification of the physician.</i>							
7.	<i>The WRP was updated to reflect the individual's current status following hospitalization or emergency room treatment.</i>	90%						
<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% for item 1; the compliance rate for item 7 improved from 72% in the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of 11 individuals who were transferred to a community hospital/emergency room (DJ, DLJ, GO, JG, JL, MS, RAF, RC, RJ, SA and TM) found the following problematic issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Lack of documentation regarding the status and appropriate assessment of the individual at the time of the onset of the symptoms; ▪ Lack of documentation regarding an assessment of the individual's status at the time of transfer to hospital or emergency room; ▪ Incomplete Change of Status forms in records; ▪ Discrepancies in description of seizure activity; ▪ Inconsistent completion of seizure records; ▪ Lack of a complete nursing assessment upon return to the facility; ▪ Inadequate assessment of responsiveness following seizure activity; ▪ The lack of adequate descriptions of the site of complaints for pain; ▪ The lack of neurological checks and mental status documented for individuals with a significant change in mental status; ▪ Illegible progress notes, signatures and titles; ▪ The lack of assessment of bowel sounds, and abdomen for individuals with constipation; ▪ The inconsistent use of the Change of Status forms; and ▪ Duplication of documentation in progress notes and the use of the Change of Status form. 								

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>These findings do not comport with PSH's data. In discussions with Nursing, it was reported that Nursing will be auditing the nursing section of change in status to ensure that the nursing assessments and documentation are being adequately reviewed for quality.</p> <p>Using the DMH Nursing Services Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 84% sample of Change of Shift Reports observed during in the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>10.</td> <td><i>Each State Hospital shall ensure that all nursing shift changes include a review of changes in status of individuals on the unit.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>Observation of shift report on unit EB02 found that PSH has continued to make progress in providing clinically relevant information to the on-coming shift.</p> <p>Compliance: Partial.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure that audits regarding nursing documentation for change in status address the quality and clinical appropriateness of the documentation. 2. Continue to monitor this requirement. 	10.	<i>Each State Hospital shall ensure that all nursing shift changes include a review of changes in status of individuals on the unit.</i>	100%
10.	<i>Each State Hospital shall ensure that all nursing shift changes include a review of changes in status of individuals on the unit.</i>	100%			
F.3.f	Each State hospital shall develop and implement a system to monitor nursing staff while administering medication to ensure that:	<p>Compliance: Partial, due to documentation issue regarding PRN and Stat medications on the medication administration records (See F.3.f.iv).</p>			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

F.3.f.i	nursing staff are knowledgeable regarding each individual's prescribed medications;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Medication Administration Monitoring Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 58% of level of care nursing staff who are licensed and medication-certified:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>11.</td> <td><i>Nursing staff are knowledgeable regarding each individual's prescribed medications.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>In observations of medication administration on Unit 74, the medication nurse demonstrated the appropriate process for administering medication and had good interaction with the individuals receiving medications. Medication education had clearly been provided since all individuals observed were able to list the medications they were taking as well as the side effects of the medications. Also, the facility nurse observing the medication administration provided appropriate feedback and correction when appropriate.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>	11.	<i>Nursing staff are knowledgeable regarding each individual's prescribed medications.</i>	99%
11.	<i>Nursing staff are knowledgeable regarding each individual's prescribed medications.</i>	99%			
F.3.f.ii	education is provided to individuals during medication administration;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Findings: Using the DMH Medication Administration Monitoring Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 58% of level of care nursing staff who are licensed and medication-certified:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>12.</td> <td><i>Education is provided to individuals during medication administration.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>See F.3.f.i for review findings.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>	12.	<i>Education is provided to individuals during medication administration.</i>	99%
12.	<i>Education is provided to individuals during medication administration.</i>	99%			
F.3.f.iii	nursing staff are following the appropriate medication administration protocol; and	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Medication Administration Monitoring Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 58% of level of care nursing staff who are licensed and medication-certified:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>13.</td> <td><i>Nursing Staff are following the appropriate medication administration protocol.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>See F.3.f.i for review findings.</p>	13.	<i>Nursing Staff are following the appropriate medication administration protocol.</i>	100%
13.	<i>Nursing Staff are following the appropriate medication administration protocol.</i>	100%			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>			
F.3.f.iv	<p>medication administration is documented in accordance with the appropriate medication administration protocol.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Medication Administration Monitoring Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 58% of level of care nursing staff who are licensed and medication-certified:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>14.</td> <td><i>Medication administration is documented in accordance with the appropriate medication administration protocol.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>While observing medication administration on unit 74, this reviewer noted that the time a PRN was given was not included on the Medication Administration Record. The medication nurse had to find this information in the progress notes in the medical record in order to determine if the individual could have the requested PRN. In discussions with Nursing, the facility reported that it had stopped documenting the time a PRN or Stat medication was given on the back of the MAR and was only documenting this information in the progress notes. Nursing needs to document the medication, dosage, route and time administered for PRNs and Stat medications on the medication administration record according to generally accepted standards of practice. Lidia Lau, RN, ACNS verified that the facility's policy regarding medication</p>	14.	<i>Medication administration is documented in accordance with the appropriate medication administration protocol.</i>	100%
14.	<i>Medication administration is documented in accordance with the appropriate medication administration protocol.</i>	100%			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>documentation does indicate that the time a PRN or Stat medication is administered is to be documented on the medication administration record.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide retraining to staff addressing the need to document the medication, dosage, route and time administered for PRNs and Stat medications on the medication administration record. 2. Ensure that all policies/procedures addressing medication administration and documentation are in alignment with this practice. 3. Continue to monitor this requirement.
F.3.g	Each State hospital shall ensure that individuals remain in a "bed-bound" status only for clinically justified reasons.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement in the event this issue arises.</p> <p>Findings: There were no bed-bound individuals at PSH during this review period.</p> <p>Compliance: Not applicable.</p> <p>Current recommendations: None.</p>
F.3.h	Each State hospital shall ensure that, before they work directly with individuals, all nursing and psychiatric technicians have successfully completed competency-based training regarding:	<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>
F.3.h.i	mental health diagnoses, related symptoms, psychotropic medications and their side	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

	effects, monitoring of symptoms and target variables, and documenting and reporting of the individual's status;	<p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Training rosters verified that 10 newly hired RNs and 13 PTs completed and passed the Mental Health Nursing Class, the Therapeutic Strategy Interventions (TSI) Training, PBS, and the Principles of Medication Training.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
F.3.h.ii	the provision of a therapeutic milieu on the units and proactive, positive interventions to prevent and de-escalate crises; and	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: See F.3.h.i.</p> <p>Findings: See F.3.h.i</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
F.3.h.iii	positive behavior support principles.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: See F.3.h.i.</p> <p>Findings: See F.3.h.i</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

F.3.i	Each State hospital shall ensure that, prior to assuming their duties and on a regular basis thereafter, all staff responsible for the administration of medication has successfully completed competency-based training on the completion of the MTR and the controlled medication log.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: PSH's training rosters verified that 100% of the unit medication room staff has successfully completed competency-based training on the completion of the MTR and the controlled medication log.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
-------	--	---

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

4. Rehabilitation Therapy Services		
	<p>Each State hospital shall provide adequate, appropriate, and timely rehabilitation therapy services to each individual in need of such services, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.</p>	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Chris Keierleber, Senior Rehabilitation Therapist 2. Greg Siples, Director of Rehabilitation Therapy Services 3. Jacqueline Doss-Haynes, Senior Rehabilitation Therapist 4. Michael Gomes, Senior Rehabilitation Therapist 5. Renata Geyer, Senior Rehabilitation Therapist 6. Sarah Gutierrez, Acting Senior Rehabilitation Therapist 7. Stan Hydinger, Senior Rehabilitation Therapist <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. F.4 audit data for November 2009-April 2010 2. PSH Mall Course Schedule for Rehabilitation Therapy PSR Mall groups for week of review 3. Records of the following 19 individuals participating in PSR Mall groups: AEK, ALG, DAB, EBF, GCD, JLC, KAM, KDE, KHP, KR, LAB, LDB, LET, LMM, MC, OB, ODH, PP and REP 4. List of individuals who received direct physical therapy services from November 2009-April 2010 5. List of individuals who received direct speech therapy services from November 2009-April 2010 6. List of individuals who received direct occupational therapy services from November 2009-April 2010 7. Records of the following 17 individuals who received direct physical, occupational, and speech therapy services from November 2009-April 2010: AKA, AMH, BR, CH, CMT, DWH, GD, JAS, JHM, JLS, JM, MRB, PA, RH, RRA, TM and WMM 8. List of individuals with a 24-Hour Rehabilitation Support Plan 9. Records of the following five individuals with 24-Hour Rehabilitation Support Plans: JAS, JHM, MR, MRB and TS 10. List of individuals with an INPOP plan

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>11. Records of the following five individuals with an INPOP plan: DM, JHM, SP, TS and VT</p> <p>12. Records for the following two individuals at high risk for falls: DG and JRB</p> <p>13. Records for the following three individuals who had three or more falls in 30 days or a fall with a major injury during the review period: IKL, JDM and JG</p> <p><u>Observed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Video Productions PSR Mall group 2. Creative Arts Therapy PSR Mall group 3. Pet Therapy PSR Mall group 4. Music Appreciation PSR Mall group 5. Tai Chi for Anger Management PSR Mall group 						
F.4.a	Each State hospital shall develop and implement policies and procedures, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, related to the provision of rehabilitation therapy services that address, at a minimum:	Compliance: Substantial.						
F.4.a.i	the provision of direct services by rehabilitation therapy services staff; and	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: The table below presents the number of scheduled and actual hours of direct services provided by OT, PT, and SLP during one week of the review period:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Scheduled</th> <th>Provided</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>OT</td> <td>24</td> <td>20</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Scheduled	Provided	OT	24	20
	Scheduled	Provided						
OT	24	20						

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>PT</td> <td>18</td> <td>16</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SLP</td> <td>13</td> <td>12</td> </tr> </table>	PT	18	16	SLP	13	12	<p>The facility determined that three appointments were missed due to conflicting appointments, three were missed due to individual refusal, and one appointment was missed because the individual was ill.</p> <p>Other findings: Using the DMH F.4 Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 31% of individuals receiving occupational, speech and/or physical therapy direct treatment during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>The provision of direct services by rehabilitation therapy services staff</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of 17 individuals receiving direct occupational, physical, and speech therapy treatment to assess compliance with F.4.a.i criteria found all records in substantial compliance</p> <p>In terms of individualized outcomes, record review found that 14 out of 17 individuals attending OT, PT, or SLP direct treatment either met or made progress towards outcomes, two individuals did not show evidence of progress and were discharged secondary to plateau in progress noted, and one individual was not seen long enough in treatment for progress to be assessed. However, it was noted that for three individuals who were discharged from treatment (AMH, DWH and JM), the Present Status section of the individuals' WRPs were not updated to reflect the change in services.</p>	1.	<i>The provision of direct services by rehabilitation therapy services staff</i>	99%
PT	18	16										
SLP	13	12										
1.	<i>The provision of direct services by rehabilitation therapy services staff</i>	99%										

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
F.4.a.ii	<p>the oversight by rehabilitation therapists of individualized physical therapy programs implemented by nursing staff.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH F.4 Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 42% of plans completed during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>The oversight by rehabilitation therapists of individualized physical therapy programs implemented by nursing staff.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of records of five individuals with INPOP plans to assess compliance with F.4.a.ii criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	2.	<i>The oversight by rehabilitation therapists of individualized physical therapy programs implemented by nursing staff.</i>	100%
2.	<i>The oversight by rehabilitation therapists of individualized physical therapy programs implemented by nursing staff.</i>	100%			
F.4.b	<p>Each State hospital shall provide competency-based training to nursing staff, as appropriate, on the use and care of adaptive equipment, transferring, and positioning, as well as the need to promote individuals' independence.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p>			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Findings: The facility reported that 112 out of 112 nurses identified as requiring training in the use and care of adaptive equipment, transferring, and positioning, as well as the need to promote individuals' independence, were trained to competency during the review period. The facility's training binder was reviewed to confirm reported data.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to enhance current practice.</p>			
F.4.c	Each State hospital shall ensure that individuals are provided with timely and adequate rehabilitation therapy services.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH F.4 Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 20% of individuals participating in PSR Mall groups facilitated by Rehabilitation Therapists and Vocational Rehabilitation staff during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Each State hospital shall ensure that individuals are provided with timely and adequate rehabilitation therapy services.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 82% in the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of 19 individuals participating in Rehabilitation</p>	4.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that individuals are provided with timely and adequate rehabilitation therapy services.</i>	98%
4.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that individuals are provided with timely and adequate rehabilitation therapy services.</i>	98%			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Therapist- and Vocational Rehabilitation staff-facilitated PSR Mall groups to assess compliance with F.4.c criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>In terms of individualized outcomes, record review found that 15 out of 18 individuals attending Rehabilitation Therapy or Vocational Rehabilitation PSR Mall groups had either met or made progress towards outcomes.</p> <p>Observation of five PSR Mall groups found that in all groups, the appropriate lesson plan was in use and the groups provided activities that were in line with the individuals' assessed needs.</p> <p>The table below presents the number of hours scheduled versus number of hours provided of PSR Mall Services facilitated by Rehabilitation Therapists and Vocational Rehabilitation during the week of 2/22/10:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Scheduled</th> <th>Provided</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>RT</td> <td>749</td> <td>593</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Voc Rehab</td> <td>53</td> <td>51</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The facility reported that discrepancies between hours scheduled and hours provided was due to staff time off including furloughs, vacation, illness; staff shortages; lockdowns; and mandatory training.</p> <p>Using the DMH F.4 Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 50% of individuals with 24-hour support plans during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>4.b</td> <td><i>Each State hospital shall ensure that individuals are provided with timely and adequate rehabilitation therapy services.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>a.</td> <td><i>The 24-hour Rehabilitation Support Plan was</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Scheduled	Provided	RT	749	593	Voc Rehab	53	51	4.b	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that individuals are provided with timely and adequate rehabilitation therapy services.</i>	100%	a.	<i>The 24-hour Rehabilitation Support Plan was</i>	100%
	Scheduled	Provided															
RT	749	593															
Voc Rehab	53	51															
4.b	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that individuals are provided with timely and adequate rehabilitation therapy services.</i>	100%															
a.	<i>The 24-hour Rehabilitation Support Plan was</i>	100%															

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>implemented within 28 days of referral.</i></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>b.</td> <td><i>The 24-hour Rehabilitation Support Plan was updated, and the rationale documented in the Present Status section of the WRP</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of records of five individuals with 24-hour support plans to assess compliance with F.4.c criteria found all records in substantial compliance.</p> <p>Other findings:</p> <p>A review of individuals who were at high risk for falls found evidence that Physical Therapy focused assessments were ordered and completed for two individuals for whom it was clinically indicated. Record review of three individuals who had three or more falls in 30 days or a fall resulting in major injury (IKL, JDM and JG) found no discussion of the trigger event(s) in the Present Status section of the WRP, and therefore it could not be determined whether an OT or PT focused assessment was clinically indicated.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>		<i>implemented within 28 days of referral.</i>		b.	<i>The 24-hour Rehabilitation Support Plan was updated, and the rationale documented in the Present Status section of the WRP</i>	100%
	<i>implemented within 28 days of referral.</i>							
b.	<i>The 24-hour Rehabilitation Support Plan was updated, and the rationale documented in the Present Status section of the WRP</i>	100%						
F.4.d	Each State hospital, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, shall ensure that each individual who requires adaptive equipment is provided with equipment that meets his/her assessed needs and promotes his/her	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p>						

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

	<p>independence, and shall provide individuals with training and support to use such equipment.</p>	<p>Findings: Using the DMH F.4 Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 100% of individuals added to the adaptive equipment database and 38% of individuals requiring reassessment as clinically indicated each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>e.</td> <td><i>The individual was assessed for the appropriateness of adaptive equipment by an RT professional</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>f.</td> <td><i>The individual was provided with the equipment as per the doctor's order</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>g.</td> <td><i>The individual's level of functioning related to independence versus supports needed was assessed.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>h.</td> <td><i>Training for the individual on the use of adaptive equipment was provided.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>i.</td> <td><i>Reassessment of adaptive equipment, if clinically indicated</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	e.	<i>The individual was assessed for the appropriateness of adaptive equipment by an RT professional</i>	100%	f.	<i>The individual was provided with the equipment as per the doctor's order</i>	100%	g.	<i>The individual's level of functioning related to independence versus supports needed was assessed.</i>	100%	h.	<i>Training for the individual on the use of adaptive equipment was provided.</i>	100%	i.	<i>Reassessment of adaptive equipment, if clinically indicated</i>	100%
e.	<i>The individual was assessed for the appropriateness of adaptive equipment by an RT professional</i>	100%															
f.	<i>The individual was provided with the equipment as per the doctor's order</i>	100%															
g.	<i>The individual's level of functioning related to independence versus supports needed was assessed.</i>	100%															
h.	<i>Training for the individual on the use of adaptive equipment was provided.</i>	100%															
i.	<i>Reassessment of adaptive equipment, if clinically indicated</i>	100%															

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

5. Nutrition Services		
	<p>Each State hospital shall provide the individuals it serves, particularly those experiencing weight-related problems, adequate and appropriate dietary services consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.</p>	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Brian Starck-Riley, Assistant Director of Nutrition Services 2. Diana Tran, Assistant Director of Nutrition Services 3. Jeanie Kim, Assistant Director of Nutrition Services 4. Tai Kim, Director of Nutrition Services 5. Vivian Collins, Acting Assistant Director of Nutrition Services <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Lists of individuals with Nutrition Care Assessments due from November 2009-April 2010 for each assessment type 2. Records of the following 52 individuals with types a-j.ii assessments from November 2009-April 2010: ADH, AP, AR, BLM, CA, CC, CES, CG, CGT, CK, CRH, CSA, DB, DDR, DEB, DGG, EDH, EMM, GF, GFW, GNF, GPR, HC, JCS, JDM, JLB, JM, JPD, JRH, JSC, JV, LAG, LTH, MEJ, MG, MH, MR, NP, PS, RA, RJ, RJB, RM, SC, SS, SVE, TE, TG, TO, TS, VD and WDW 3. Meal Accuracy Report audit data from November 2009-April 2010 4. Nutrition Care Monitoring Tool audit data from November 2009-April 2010 regarding Nutrition Education Training, response to MNT, and WRP integration of Nutrition Services recommendations (weighted mean across assessment sub-types) 5. List of individuals at risk for choking 6. Record for the following individual at risk for choking: JH 7. Records for the following two individuals with an incident of choking during the review period: GRA and LWS 8. List of individuals at risk for aspiration 9. Records for the following two individuals at risk for aspiration: JWJ and RKB 10. List of individuals with a new diabetes diagnosis during the review period

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>11. Records for the following individuals with a new diabetes diagnosis of diabetes during the review period: AB and OVM</p> <p>12. List of individuals at risk for metabolic syndrome</p> <p>13. Records for the following five individuals at high risk for metabolic syndrome: AC, EG, JB, JJM and NCA</p> <p><u>Observed:</u> Win Over Weight PSR Mall group</p>						
F.5.a	<p>Each State hospital shall modify policies and procedures to require that the therapeutic and rehabilitation service plans of individuals who experience weight problems and/or related health concerns include adequate strategies and methodologies to address the identified problems and that such strategies and methodologies are implemented in a timely manner, monitored appropriately, and revised, as warranted, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nutrition Care Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 19% of Nutrition Assessments (all types) due each month from November 2009-April 2010 (total of 502 out of 2602):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Nutrition education is documented.</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8</td> <td><i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for both items.</p> <p>A review of the records of 52 individuals to assess compliance with documentation of provision of Nutrition Education Training and of response to Medical Nutrition Training found all records in substantial compliance.</p>	7.	<i>Nutrition education is documented.</i>	97%	8	<i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified.</i>	99%
7.	<i>Nutrition education is documented.</i>	97%						
8	<i>Response to MNT is specific to the intervention provided, adherence potential indicated, and barriers identified.</i>	99%						

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>PSH assessed its compliance with tray accuracy based on an average sample of 22% of average daily census from September 2009-February 2010 (total of 2006 out of 9024) and found that 99% of trays audited were in 100% compliance.</p> <p>Other findings: A review of records for three individuals at high risk for metabolic syndrome and with a new diagnosis of diabetes (JB, JJM and NCA) found that all records had evidence of a nutrition assessment that addressed either risk factors or appropriate contributing factors and had evidence of an objective and intervention in place to reduce risk implemented by the dietitian and in line with findings of nutrition assessment and recommendations. Record reviews for two individuals with a new diabetes diagnosis (AB and OVM) and one individual at high risk for metabolic syndrome (EG) found that nutrition consultation was not ordered when it appeared to be clinically indicated.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
F.5.b	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that one or more treatment team members demonstrate competence in the dietary and nutritional issues affecting the individuals they serve and the development and implementation of strategies and methodologies to address such issues.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current efforts to achieve compliance.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Nutrition Care Monitoring Tool, PSH assessed its compliance with WRP integration based on an average sample of 19% of Nutrition Assessments (all types) due each month from November 2009-April 2010 (502 out of 2602):</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

19.	<i>The WRP has at least ONE Focus that pertains to nutrition recommendations as clinically indicated</i>	96%
20.	<i>The WRP has at least one objective and intervention linked to the Focus that pertains to the nutrition recommendation as clinically indicated</i>	63%

Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance since the previous review period:

	Previous period	Current period
Mean compliance rate		
19.	94%	95%
20.	56%	63%

The facility reported that less than substantial compliance with item 20 is due to RD vacancies and high caseloads of an average of 150 individuals for each dietitian.

A review of the records of 22 individuals with completed Nutrition Care assessments to assess compliance with integration of adequate focus, objective and intervention into the WRP found 19 records in substantial compliance (AR, BLM, CES, CG, DDR, DEB, ER, ET, GF, GNF, JDM, JLB, JM, JSC, MEJ, MG, SC, TG and TS) and three records not in compliance (ADH, CRH and DGG).

Compliance:
Partial.

Current recommendation:
Continue current efforts to improve compliance.

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

<p>F.5.c</p>	<p>Each State hospital shall develop and implement policies and procedures to address the needs of individuals who are at risk for aspiration or dysphagia, including but not limited to, the development and implementation of assessments and interventions for mealtimes and other activities involving swallowing.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: No incidences of aspiration pneumonia were reported during the review period.</p> <p>Current dysphagia procedures and screening tools should continue to be updated to reflect standards of practice and to ensure consistency with procedures at other state hospitals.</p> <p>Other findings: A review of the records of two individuals with an incident of choking found that both individuals had an assessment by a speech therapist with subsequent recommendations incorporated into the treatment plan. A review of the records of three individuals at high risk for choking and/or aspiration found that two of the three records contained documentation an open focus, objective and intervention to remediate risk and/or future occurrence (JH and JWL), and one had evidence of the risk in the present status but no open focus to address the risk (RKB).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
<p>F.5.d</p>	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that staff with responsibilities for assessments and interventions regarding aspiration and dysphagia has successfully completed competency-based training</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

	<p>commensurate with their responsibilities.</p>	<p>Findings: No new Dietitians were hired during the review period, and therefore no training on basic issues related to aspiration and dysphagia was necessary.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
<p>F.5.e</p>	<p>Each State hospital shall develop and implement policies and procedures requiring treatment of the underlying causes for tube feeding placement, and ongoing assessment of the individuals for whom these treatment options are utilized, to determine the feasibility of returning them to oral intake status.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: The facility reported that no individuals currently receive enteral nutrition. The DMH Statewide Dietetics Department Policy for Tube Feeding appears to meet accepted standards of practice.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

6. Pharmacy Services																																											
	<p>Each State hospital shall provide adequate and appropriate pharmacy services consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. Each State hospital shall develop and implement policies and procedures that require:</p>	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Laura Yao, Business Manager II 2. Washington Ubillus, Jr., Pharmacist I <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PSH self-assessment monitoring data 2. Executive Summary - Pharmacists' recommendations regarding new psychotropic medication orders and physicians' response to these recommendations during this reporting period 																																									
F.6.a	<p>Upon the prescription of a new medication, pharmacists to conduct reviews of each individual's medication regimen and, as appropriate, make recommendations to the prescribing physician about possible drug-to-drug interactions, side effects, and need for laboratory work and testing; and</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: PSH presented the following data:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Drug-drug interactions</td> <td>128</td> <td>64</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Side effects</td> <td>82</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Need for laboratory testing</td> <td>139</td> <td>222</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>Dose adjustment</td> <td>73</td> <td>72</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>Indications</td> <td>0</td> <td>9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td>Contraindications</td> <td>18</td> <td>9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td>Need for continued treatment</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td>Other</td> <td>61</td> <td>21</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Total number of recommendations*</td> <td>501</td> <td>497</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Previous period	Current period	1.	Drug-drug interactions	128	64	2.	Side effects	82	100	3.	Need for laboratory testing	139	222	4.	Dose adjustment	73	72	5.	Indications	0	9	6.	Contraindications	18	9	7.	Need for continued treatment	0	0	8.	Other	61	21	Total number of recommendations*		501	497
		Previous period	Current period																																								
1.	Drug-drug interactions	128	64																																								
2.	Side effects	82	100																																								
3.	Need for laboratory testing	139	222																																								
4.	Dose adjustment	73	72																																								
5.	Indications	0	9																																								
6.	Contraindications	18	9																																								
7.	Need for continued treatment	0	0																																								
8.	Other	61	21																																								
Total number of recommendations*		501	497																																								

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>												
F.6.b	Physicians to consider pharmacists' recommendations, and for any recommendations not followed, document in the individual's medical record an adequate clinical justification.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: The facility presented the following data:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Recommendations followed</td> <td>465</td> <td>450</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Recommendations not followed, but rationale documented</td> <td>27</td> <td>39</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Recommendations not followed and rationale/response not documented</td> <td>9</td> <td>8</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Other findings: This monitor reviewed the facility's documents regarding eight pharmacy recommendations that were not followed by the physicians or no response was documented. The review did not find evidence of harm to the individuals in any case. However, all such recommendations require response from the medical staff, including justification of the decision not to follow the recommendation.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>		Previous period	Current period	Recommendations followed	465	450	Recommendations not followed, but rationale documented	27	39	Recommendations not followed and rationale/response not documented	9	8
	Previous period	Current period												
Recommendations followed	465	450												
Recommendations not followed, but rationale documented	27	39												
Recommendations not followed and rationale/response not documented	9	8												

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.
--	--	---

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

7. General Medical Services		
		<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Chinh Pham, MD, Physician and Surgeon 2. Christopher Elder, Nursing Coordinator 3. Darrell Brown, Administrator Medical Services 4. Dien Mach, MD, Chief Physician and Surgeon 5. Doan Bong, MD, Physician and Surgeon 6. Dominique Tran, MD, Physician and Surgeon 7. Dung Tran, MD, Physician and Surgeon 8. George Christison, MD, Acting Medical Director 9. Hai Le, MD, Physician and Surgeon 10. Joshua Horsley, MD, Psychiatrist 11. Kenny Win, MD, Physician and Surgeon 12. Khanh Ngo, MD, Physician and Surgeon 13. Khue Nguyen, MD, Physician and Surgeon 14. Lidia Lau, RN, Assistant Coordinator, Nursing Services 15. Luminita Andronescu, MD, Physician and Surgeon 16. Luzmin Inderias, MD, Physician and Surgeon 17. Mohamed Hafez, MD, Physician and Surgeon 18. My Tran, MD, Physician and Surgeon 19. Nibonth Viravathana, MD, Physician and Surgeon 20. Rebecca Kornbluh, MD, Acting Chief of Psychiatry 21. Sang Chung, MD, Physician and Surgeon 22. Susan Protacio, MD, Physician and Surgeon <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The charts of the following 10 individuals who were transferred to an outside medical facility during this reporting period: DJ-1, DJ-2, GO, JG-1, JG-2, JL, RC, RF, RG, and SA 2. Quarterly progress notes for the following 17 individuals: BLC, BLM, CG, CLH, DJ, EG, ER, HC, LF, MF, NT, PS, RS, SA, SH, TPS, and YP

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Reference for Assessment and Notification (RAN) for Altered Mental Status, Abdominal Pain, Gastrointestinal Bleed, Respiratory, Cardiovascular, and Infection 4. List of all individuals admitted to external hospitals during the review period 5. List of individuals referred for a "Seizure Battery" to assess cognition 6. PSH Integration of Medical Conditions into the WRP Auditing summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 7. PSH Medical Transfer Auditing summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 8. PSH Diabetes Mellitus Auditing summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 9. PSH Hypertension Auditing summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 10. PSH Dyslipidemia Auditing summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 11. PSH Asthma/COPD Auditing summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 12. PSH Clozapine auditing summary data (November 2009-April 2010) 13. PSH Seizure auditing summary data (February to April 2010) 14. PSH Medicine Peer Review data (November 2009-April 2010) 15. PSH Process and Clinical Outcome summary data (previous and current reporting period) for the following indicators: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diabetes Mellitus • Dyslipidemia • Obesity • Hypertension • Bowel Dysfunction • Falls • Aspiration Pneumonia • Seizure Disorder • Specialty Consultations
--	--	---

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unexpected Mortalities
<p>F.7.a</p>	<p>Each State hospital shall provide adequate, appropriate, and timely preventive, routine, specialized, and emergency medical care to all individuals in need of such services, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. Each State hospital shall ensure that individuals with medical problems are promptly identified, assessed, diagnosed, treated, monitored and, as monitoring indicates is necessary, reassessed, diagnosed, and treated, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation 1, December 2009: Implement corrective actions to address the monitor's findings of deficiencies listed [in this cell in the previous report].</p> <p>Findings: PSH has implemented the following corrective actions for each area of deficiency:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clozaril use in presence of bowel dysfunction: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The Physicians and Surgeons were to be notified by nursing staff when an individual on clozapine has not had a bowel movement for three consecutive days and to assess the individual and provide instructions for further follow-up. b. Individuals on clozapine will be placed on a high-fiber diet and enrolled in aerobic exercise programs. c. An auditing mechanism to monitor these practices was developed and implemented. d. The facility collected process outcome data about the number of individuals who are receiving clozapine and the number of individuals hospitalized for bowel dysfunction (please see F.7.d). 2. Behavioral interventions for individuals suffering from water intoxication: The facility implemented PSH Water Intoxication Psychosocial Treatment Programs (SIFI2) to address the behavioral intervention component of management of individuals who suffered water intoxication. 3. Tracking of seizure activity: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Nursing staff were prompted to document the description of seizure activity utilizing the seizure record (MH5601). b. The Physicians and Surgeons were reminded to evaluate

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>individuals who were reported to have had a seizure episode, to review seizure records for each occurrence and to refer the individuals for neurology consultations when clinically indicated.</p> <p>c. The Neurology Consultant was requested to provide a morphological diagnosis of the seizure disorder and to address the appropriateness of the medication selection for the diagnosed seizure type and the negative impact of the selected medication (especially the old-generation AEDs) on cognition as evidenced by neuropsychological evaluation.</p> <p>d. An auditing mechanism to monitor these practices was developed and implemented (see F.7.d).</p> <p>Recommendation 2, December 2009: Provide a summary outline of any changes in the current medical and joint medical nursing ADs, policies and procedures.</p> <p>Findings: PSH reported that Policies and Procedures 1.10: History and Physical Examinations and 1.14: Primary Care Physician Responsibilities were revised to address individuals' refusals, with implementation dates of May 1 and May 15, 2010 respectively.</p> <p>Other findings: This monitor reviewed the charts of 10 individuals who were transferred to an outside medical facility on 11 occasions during this reporting period. The following table outlines the episodes of transfer review by date/time of physician evaluation at the time of transfer and the reason for the transfer (individuals have been anonymized):</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Individual</th> <th>Date of transfer</th> <th>Reason for transfer</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>11/30/09</td> <td>New onset seizure</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>12/4/09</td> <td>Elevated Lipase (R/O Pancreatitis)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Individual	Date of transfer	Reason for transfer	1	11/30/09	New onset seizure	2	12/4/09	Elevated Lipase (R/O Pancreatitis)
Individual	Date of transfer	Reason for transfer									
1	11/30/09	New onset seizure									
2	12/4/09	Elevated Lipase (R/O Pancreatitis)									

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		3	12/8/09	Foreign Body Ingestion
		4	12/10/09	Seizure and fall
		5	3/10/10	S/P Head Trauma
		6	3/17/10	R/O Ileus
		7	3/25/10	Seizure
		8	4/7/10	Lethargy (medication error)
		9	4/7/10	Appendicitis
		10	4/9/10	Hyponatremia S/P Head Trauma
		6	4/12/10	Fever and lethargy
		<p>The review found that medical care was, in general, timely and appropriate and that significant progress was made to address the process deficiencies outlined in previous reports. However, this monitor found the following deficiencies:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There was no evidence of nursing assessment of an individual who complained of abdominal pain, and a physician ordered a PRN medication without examining the individual. This individual was transferred to the hospital the next day with a diagnosis of appendicitis. 2. There appeared to be a delay in the management of the risk of recurrent pancreatitis in an individual who continued to receive high-risk treatment with divalproex after experiencing an episode of pancreatitis. The individual suffered a recurrence of pancreatitis while still receiving this high-risk treatment. 3. There was no documentation of tracking of seizure activity on March 4, 2010 in an individual who experienced several recurrences of seizure activity within the same month. 4. There was no documentation of seizure tracking in an individual who experienced new-onset seizure activity for six minutes on November 30, 2009. 		

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure consistency of nursing assessments of changes in the status of individuals, including abdominal pain and tracking of seizure activity. 2. Provide a summary outline of any changes in the current medical and joint medical nursing ADs, policies and procedures. 									
F.7.b	Each State hospital shall develop and implement protocols and procedures, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care, that:	Please see sub-cells for compliance findings.									
F.7.b.i	require the timely provision of initial and ongoing assessments relating to medical care, including but not limited to, vision care, dental care, and laboratory and consultation services;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Medical Surgical Progress Notes Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 18% of all individuals with at least one diagnosis on Axis III during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>There is a quarterly note that documents reassessment of the individual's medical status.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>There is appropriate and timely response and documentation from the treating physician meeting the standards of care for the condition being treated.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>If applicable, the on call (after hours) physician documents in the PPN necessary communication between the regular medical physician and the on-call</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>There is a quarterly note that documents reassessment of the individual's medical status.</i>	98%	2.	<i>There is appropriate and timely response and documentation from the treating physician meeting the standards of care for the condition being treated.</i>	100%	3.	<i>If applicable, the on call (after hours) physician documents in the PPN necessary communication between the regular medical physician and the on-call</i>	98%
1.	<i>There is a quarterly note that documents reassessment of the individual's medical status.</i>	98%									
2.	<i>There is appropriate and timely response and documentation from the treating physician meeting the standards of care for the condition being treated.</i>	100%									
3.	<i>If applicable, the on call (after hours) physician documents in the PPN necessary communication between the regular medical physician and the on-call</i>	98%									

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>(after hours) physician regarding changes in the individual's physical condition.</i></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p>Other findings: This monitor reviewed quarterly progress notes for the following 17 individuals: BLC, BLM, CG, CLH, DJ, EG, ER, HC, LF, MF, NT, PS, RS, SA, SH, TPS, and YP. The notes were selected to represent all practitioners. The review found that the new format of quarterly reassessments was adequately implemented.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>		<i>(after hours) physician regarding changes in the individual's physical condition.</i>	
	<i>(after hours) physician regarding changes in the individual's physical condition.</i>				
F.7.b.ii	<p>require the timely provision of medical care, including but not limited to, vision care, dental care, and laboratory and consultation services; timely and appropriate communication between nursing staff and physicians regarding changes in an individual's physical status; and the integration of each individual's mental health and medical care;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation 1, December 2009: Provide information based on the Medical Emergency Response Evaluation Form that the facility has implemented.</p> <p>Findings: PSH has provided data on its reviews of the Medical Emergency Response System. Using the DMH Medical Emergency Response MH-C 9128 Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on a sample of 100% of actual medical emergencies during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Did the first responder appropriately assess and call for help?</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>Did the first responder appropriately assess and call for help?</i>	100%
1.	<i>Did the first responder appropriately assess and call for help?</i>	100%			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		2.	<i>Did the first responder provide appropriate CPR procedures?</i>	100%
		3.	<i>Did the first responder provide appropriate rescue breathing procedures?</i>	100%
		4.	<i>Did the first responder provide Heimlich procedures?</i>	N/A
		5.	<i>Did the first responder provide appropriate BFA procedures?</i>	N/A
		6.	<i>Did the individual suffer any complications (e.g. fractured ribs, aspiration)?</i>	0
		7.	<i>Did the RN respond in a timeframe consistent with the emergency?</i>	100%
		8.	<i>Did the MD respond within 15 minutes?</i>	100%
		9.	<i>Did a sufficient number of staff respond in a timeframe to assure an adequate number of trained staff were available to run the code efficiently?</i>	100%
		10.	<i>Was the unit milieu appropriately managed?</i>	100%
		11.	<i>Was all required equipment available?</i>	94%
		12.	<i>Was all required equipment in working order?</i>	88%
		13.	<i>Were all medical supplies available?</i>	100%
		14.	<i>Were all medications available?</i>	94%
		15.	<i>Was the overall response organized in a manner that led to the best outcome for the individual?</i>	100%
		16.	<i>Did all the staff perform according to assigned roles?</i>	98%
		17.	<i>Was staff competent in operating equipment?</i>	100%
		18.	<i>Was the announcement "Code Blue" timely and clear?</i>	88%
		19.	<i>Was all required documentation completed?</i>	100%
		20.	<i>Was EMS able to access the site in a timely manner?</i>	100%
		21.	<i>Was the equipment restocking completed within 8 hours?</i>	88%
		Using the above-referenced form, PSH also assessed its compliance based on a sample of 100% of medical emergency drills conducted during		

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		the review period (November 2009-April 2010):																																																															
		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Did the first responder appropriately assess and call for help?</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Did the first responder provide appropriate CPR procedures?</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Did the first responder provide appropriate rescue breathing procedures?</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Did the first responder provide Heimlich procedures?</i></td> <td>63%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Did the first responder provide appropriate BFA procedures?</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Did the individual suffer any complications (e.g. fractured ribs, aspiration)?</i></td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Did the RN respond in a timeframe consistent with the emergency?</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Did the MD respond within 15 minutes?</i></td> <td>83%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9.</td> <td><i>Did a sufficient number of staff respond in a timeframe to assure an adequate number of trained staff were available to run the code efficiently?</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10.</td> <td><i>Was the unit milieu appropriately managed?</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11.</td> <td><i>Was all required equipment available?</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12.</td> <td><i>Was all required equipment in working order?</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>13.</td> <td><i>Were all medical supplies available?</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>14.</td> <td><i>Were all medications available?</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>15.</td> <td><i>Was the overall response organized in a manner that led to the best outcome for the individual?</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>16.</td> <td><i>Did all the staff perform according to assigned roles?</i></td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>17.</td> <td><i>Was staff competent in operating equipment?</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>18.</td> <td><i>Was the announcement "Code Blue" timely and clear?</i></td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>19.</td> <td><i>Was all required documentation completed?</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>20.</td> <td><i>Was EMS able to access the site in a timely manner?</i></td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21.</td> <td><i>Was the equipment restocking completed within 8</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>Did the first responder appropriately assess and call for help?</i>	100%	2.	<i>Did the first responder provide appropriate CPR procedures?</i>	98%	3.	<i>Did the first responder provide appropriate rescue breathing procedures?</i>	99%	4.	<i>Did the first responder provide Heimlich procedures?</i>	63%	5.	<i>Did the first responder provide appropriate BFA procedures?</i>	96%	6.	<i>Did the individual suffer any complications (e.g. fractured ribs, aspiration)?</i>	0	7.	<i>Did the RN respond in a timeframe consistent with the emergency?</i>	99%	8.	<i>Did the MD respond within 15 minutes?</i>	83%	9.	<i>Did a sufficient number of staff respond in a timeframe to assure an adequate number of trained staff were available to run the code efficiently?</i>	97%	10.	<i>Was the unit milieu appropriately managed?</i>	99%	11.	<i>Was all required equipment available?</i>	97%	12.	<i>Was all required equipment in working order?</i>	96%	13.	<i>Were all medical supplies available?</i>	96%	14.	<i>Were all medications available?</i>	98%	15.	<i>Was the overall response organized in a manner that led to the best outcome for the individual?</i>	97%	16.	<i>Did all the staff perform according to assigned roles?</i>	95%	17.	<i>Was staff competent in operating equipment?</i>	100%	18.	<i>Was the announcement "Code Blue" timely and clear?</i>	N/A	19.	<i>Was all required documentation completed?</i>	97%	20.	<i>Was EMS able to access the site in a timely manner?</i>	N/A	21.	<i>Was the equipment restocking completed within 8</i>	97%
1.	<i>Did the first responder appropriately assess and call for help?</i>	100%																																																															
2.	<i>Did the first responder provide appropriate CPR procedures?</i>	98%																																																															
3.	<i>Did the first responder provide appropriate rescue breathing procedures?</i>	99%																																																															
4.	<i>Did the first responder provide Heimlich procedures?</i>	63%																																																															
5.	<i>Did the first responder provide appropriate BFA procedures?</i>	96%																																																															
6.	<i>Did the individual suffer any complications (e.g. fractured ribs, aspiration)?</i>	0																																																															
7.	<i>Did the RN respond in a timeframe consistent with the emergency?</i>	99%																																																															
8.	<i>Did the MD respond within 15 minutes?</i>	83%																																																															
9.	<i>Did a sufficient number of staff respond in a timeframe to assure an adequate number of trained staff were available to run the code efficiently?</i>	97%																																																															
10.	<i>Was the unit milieu appropriately managed?</i>	99%																																																															
11.	<i>Was all required equipment available?</i>	97%																																																															
12.	<i>Was all required equipment in working order?</i>	96%																																																															
13.	<i>Were all medical supplies available?</i>	96%																																																															
14.	<i>Were all medications available?</i>	98%																																																															
15.	<i>Was the overall response organized in a manner that led to the best outcome for the individual?</i>	97%																																																															
16.	<i>Did all the staff perform according to assigned roles?</i>	95%																																																															
17.	<i>Was staff competent in operating equipment?</i>	100%																																																															
18.	<i>Was the announcement "Code Blue" timely and clear?</i>	N/A																																																															
19.	<i>Was all required documentation completed?</i>	97%																																																															
20.	<i>Was EMS able to access the site in a timely manner?</i>	N/A																																																															
21.	<i>Was the equipment restocking completed within 8</i>	97%																																																															

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"><i>hours?</i></td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data is not available for either drills or actual medical emergencies as auditing commenced during the current review period.</p> <p>Other findings: During this review period, PSH has implemented an adequate process to review the performance of medical emergency drills, identify areas of concern, and develop and implement corrective actions. The following is a summary of areas identified in some of the drills and corresponding corrections:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Only one drug box was brought to the scene instead of the required two. Nursing staff was reminded about the requirement. 2. Staff performed the CPR compressions at the previous ratio of 15:2 (the new ratio of 30:2 has been taught at the facility for the last two years). Copies of the CPR algorithm for adults were highlighted for the current ratio of 30:2 and sent to all units with instructions to post them in the nursing station. 3. Staff was deficient in performing CPR. All staff participating in the drills needed to re-take CPR classes. Program Management was notified to submit copies of sign-in sheets as proof of compliance. 4. Not enough staff participated in the drills. Drills were repeated on all affected units with the required number of staff. <p>In addition, the facility reported that in March 2010, drill scenarios were reviewed and improved to ensure that they reflect real-life scenarios, including scripted individual responses (vital signs, oxygen saturation, etc.) to various treatment interventions. The Health Services Specialists who provided drill training were in-serviced on these updated scenarios in April 2010.</p> <p>During this review period, two code blue medical emergencies occurred on</p>	<i>hours?</i>	
<i>hours?</i>				

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>hospital grounds. The facility assessed that these emergencies were managed well but it was decided that a drill will be conducted on grounds once per quarter to ensure that staff has practice handling emergencies in areas in which equipment is not readily available.</p> <p>Recommendation 2, December 2009: Provide data analysis that delineates and evaluates areas of low compliance and relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the last period).</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Medical Transfer Auditing Form, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of medical transfers during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>There is appropriate documentation by the nurse that identifies the symptoms of concern and notification of the physician.</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>There is appropriate and timely response and documentation from the transferring physician meeting the standards of care for the condition being transferred.</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Sufficient information is provided to the accepting facility in order to ensure continuity of care.</i></td> <td>94%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Sufficient information is provided by the external facility (acute medical care facility/emergency department) at the time of discharge in order to ensure the continuity of care.</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Upon return from acute medical treatment, the accepting physician provides an appropriate note describe the course of treatment provided at the acute medical facility.</i></td> <td>94%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Timely written progress notes by the regular medial</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>There is appropriate documentation by the nurse that identifies the symptoms of concern and notification of the physician.</i>	97%	2.	<i>There is appropriate and timely response and documentation from the transferring physician meeting the standards of care for the condition being transferred.</i>	96%	3.	<i>Sufficient information is provided to the accepting facility in order to ensure continuity of care.</i>	94%	4.	<i>Sufficient information is provided by the external facility (acute medical care facility/emergency department) at the time of discharge in order to ensure the continuity of care.</i>	96%	5.	<i>Upon return from acute medical treatment, the accepting physician provides an appropriate note describe the course of treatment provided at the acute medical facility.</i>	94%	6.	<i>Timely written progress notes by the regular medial</i>	98%
1.	<i>There is appropriate documentation by the nurse that identifies the symptoms of concern and notification of the physician.</i>	97%																		
2.	<i>There is appropriate and timely response and documentation from the transferring physician meeting the standards of care for the condition being transferred.</i>	96%																		
3.	<i>Sufficient information is provided to the accepting facility in order to ensure continuity of care.</i>	94%																		
4.	<i>Sufficient information is provided by the external facility (acute medical care facility/emergency department) at the time of discharge in order to ensure the continuity of care.</i>	96%																		
5.	<i>Upon return from acute medical treatment, the accepting physician provides an appropriate note describe the course of treatment provided at the acute medical facility.</i>	94%																		
6.	<i>Timely written progress notes by the regular medial</i>	98%																		

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p><i>physician shall address the treatment provided at the acute medical facility and follow-up treatment provided at the DMH hospital.</i></p>																
		<p>7. <i>The WRP was updated to reflect the individual's current status following hospitalization or emergency room treatment.</i></p>	<p>90%</p>															
		<p>Comparative data indicated maintenance of a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for items 1, 2, 5 and 6, and improvement in the remaining items as follows:</p>																
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="background-color: #e0e0e0;">Mean compliance rate</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>80%</td> <td>94%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>87%</td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td>78%</td> <td>90%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Previous period	Current period	Mean compliance rate			3.	80%	94%	4.	87%	96%	7.	78%	90%
	Previous period	Current period																
Mean compliance rate																		
3.	80%	94%																
4.	87%	96%																
7.	78%	90%																
		<p>PSH also used the DMH Integration of Medical Conditions into the WRP Auditing Form to assess compliance. The average sample was 21% of the WRPs due each month for individuals with at least one diagnosis on Axis III during the review period (November 2009-April 2010). The following is a summary of the data:</p>																
		<p>1. <i>All medical conditions listed in Axis III are included on the Medical Conditions Form.</i></p>	<p>94%</p>															
		<p>2. <i>The WRP includes each medical condition listed on the Medical Conditions Form.</i></p>	<p>91%</p>															
		<p>3. <i>There is an appropriate focus statement for each medical condition or diagnosis</i></p>	<p>91%</p>															
		<p>4. <i>There is an appropriate objective for each medical condition or diagnosis</i></p>	<p>91%</p>															

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>5. <i>There are appropriate intervention(s) for each objective</i></p>	<p>90%</p>																					
<p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance since the previous review period:</p>																								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Previous period</th> <th>Current period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">Mean compliance rate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>76%</td> <td>94%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>71%</td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>47%</td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>64%</td> <td>91%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>49%</td> <td>90%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Previous period	Current period	Mean compliance rate			1.	76%	94%	2.	71%	91%	3.	47%	91%	4.	64%	91%	5.	49%	90%
	Previous period	Current period																						
Mean compliance rate																								
1.	76%	94%																						
2.	71%	91%																						
3.	47%	91%																						
4.	64%	91%																						
5.	49%	90%																						
<p>Using the same tool, the facility reviewed a 100% sample of individuals who have refused medical treatment or laboratory tests. The following is a summary of the data:</p>																								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Each state hospital shall ensure that interdisciplinary teams review, assess, and develop strategies to overcome individual's refusals of medical procedures</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table>				6.	<i>Each state hospital shall ensure that interdisciplinary teams review, assess, and develop strategies to overcome individual's refusals of medical procedures</i>	98%																		
6.	<i>Each state hospital shall ensure that interdisciplinary teams review, assess, and develop strategies to overcome individual's refusals of medical procedures</i>	98%																						
<p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 30% in the previous review period.</p>																								
<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>																								
<p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Continue to monitor this requirement. 2. In order to maintain substantial compliance, provide a summary outline of the issues identified during the performance of medical 																								

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		emergency drills and corresponding corrective actions.
F.7.b.iii	define the duties and responsibilities of primary care (non-psychiatric) physicians;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: The facility has continued its practice. The physicians' duty statements are aligned with administrative directives and policies and procedures regarding Provision of Medical Care to Individuals, RN and Physician Communication About Physical Status Change, Transfer and Return from Another Facility for Evaluation and/or Medical or Surgical Treatment, History and Physical Examinations, Procedures for Off-Site Referrals, Emergency Medical Response and Primary Care Physician Responsibilities.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
F.7.b.iv	ensure a system of after-hours coverage by primary care physicians with formal psychiatric training (i.e., privileging and proctorship) and psychiatric backup support after hours; and	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: PSH has continued its practice. Review of the schedule of on-call coverage found that both a Primary Care Physician and a Psychiatrist provided after-hours coverage.</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
F.7.b.v	endeavor to obtain, on a consistent and timely basis, an individual's medical records after the individual is treated in another medical facility.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: The facility presented data based on a 100% sample of individuals returning from outside medical treatment during the review period (November 2009-April 2010) tracking whether required documents from outside consultants/hospitals were received within seven days of the individual's return to the facility. The mean compliance rate was 94% compared to 88% during the previous review period.</p> <p>Other findings: This monitor's reviews (see F.7.a) found that discharge summaries from outside hospitals were available in all charts that were selected for this review.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement</p>
F.7.c	Each State hospital shall ensure that physicians monitor each individual's health status indicators in accordance with generally accepted professional	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

	<p>standards of care, and, whenever appropriate, modify their therapeutic and rehabilitation service plans to address any problematic changes in health status indicators.</p>	<p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data analysis that evaluates areas of low compliance and delineates areas of relative improvement (during the reporting period and compared to the previous period).</p> <p>Findings: PSH used the DMH standardized tools to assess compliance regarding the management of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia and asthma/COPD. The average samples were 18% (diabetes mellitus), 18% (hypertension), 19% (dyslipidemia) and 16% (COPD/asthma) of individuals diagnosed with these disorders during the review months (November 2009-April 2010). The following tables summarize the facility's data:</p> <p><u>Diabetes Mellitus</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>The individual has been evaluated and supporting documentation is completed at least quarterly.</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>HgbA1C was ordered quarterly.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>The HgbA1C is equal to or less than 7%.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Blood sugar is monitored regularly.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Urinary micro albumin is monitored annually.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>If the urine micro albumin level is greater than 30, ACE or ARP is prescribed, if not otherwise contraindicated.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>The lipid profile is monitored on admission or time of diagnosis and at least annually.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>LDL is less than 100mg/dl or there is a plan of care in place to appropriately treat the LDL.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9.</td> <td><i>Blood pressure is monitored weekly.</i></td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10.</td> <td><i>If blood pressure is greater than 130/80, there is a plan of care in place to appropriately lower the blood pressure.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11.</td> <td><i>An eye exam by an ophthalmologist/optometrist was</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>The individual has been evaluated and supporting documentation is completed at least quarterly.</i>	97%	2.	<i>HgbA1C was ordered quarterly.</i>	99%	3.	<i>The HgbA1C is equal to or less than 7%.</i>	100%	4.	<i>Blood sugar is monitored regularly.</i>	99%	5.	<i>Urinary micro albumin is monitored annually.</i>	99%	6.	<i>If the urine micro albumin level is greater than 30, ACE or ARP is prescribed, if not otherwise contraindicated.</i>	99%	7.	<i>The lipid profile is monitored on admission or time of diagnosis and at least annually.</i>	100%	8.	<i>LDL is less than 100mg/dl or there is a plan of care in place to appropriately treat the LDL.</i>	100%	9.	<i>Blood pressure is monitored weekly.</i>	95%	10.	<i>If blood pressure is greater than 130/80, there is a plan of care in place to appropriately lower the blood pressure.</i>	98%	11.	<i>An eye exam by an ophthalmologist/optometrist was</i>	97%
1.	<i>The individual has been evaluated and supporting documentation is completed at least quarterly.</i>	97%																																	
2.	<i>HgbA1C was ordered quarterly.</i>	99%																																	
3.	<i>The HgbA1C is equal to or less than 7%.</i>	100%																																	
4.	<i>Blood sugar is monitored regularly.</i>	99%																																	
5.	<i>Urinary micro albumin is monitored annually.</i>	99%																																	
6.	<i>If the urine micro albumin level is greater than 30, ACE or ARP is prescribed, if not otherwise contraindicated.</i>	99%																																	
7.	<i>The lipid profile is monitored on admission or time of diagnosis and at least annually.</i>	100%																																	
8.	<i>LDL is less than 100mg/dl or there is a plan of care in place to appropriately treat the LDL.</i>	100%																																	
9.	<i>Blood pressure is monitored weekly.</i>	95%																																	
10.	<i>If blood pressure is greater than 130/80, there is a plan of care in place to appropriately lower the blood pressure.</i>	98%																																	
11.	<i>An eye exam by an ophthalmologist/optometrist was</i>	97%																																	

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

			<i>completed at least annually.</i>	
		12.	<i>Podiatry care was provided by a podiatrist at least annually.</i>	96%
		13.	<i>A dietary consultation was considered and the recommendation followed, as applicable.</i>	99%
		14.	<i>Diabetes is addressed in Focus 6 of the WRP.</i>	97%
		15.	<i>Focus 6 for Diabetes has appropriate objectives and interventions for this condition.</i>	97%
<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p><u>Hypertension</u></p>				
		1.	<i>The individual has been evaluated and supporting documentation completed at least quarterly.</i>	95%
		2.	<i>Blood pressure is monitored weekly.</i>	100%
		3.	<i>Blood pressure is less than 140/90 or there is an appropriate plan of care in place to reduce blood pressure.</i>	100%
		4.	<i>If the individual is 40 or older, aspirin has been ordered unless contraindicated.</i>	97%
		5.	<i>Hypertension is addressed in Focus 6 of the WRP.</i>	100%
		6.	<i>Focus 6 for Hypertension has appropriate objectives and interventions.</i>	99%
		7.	<i>A dietary consult was considered and the recommendation was followed, as applicable.</i>	99%
		8.	<i>The BMI is less than or equal to 25 and the waist circumference is less than 40 for males and less than 35 for females or a weight management program has been initiated.</i>	99%
		9.	<i>An exercise program has been initiated.</i>	97%

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>10.</td> <td><i>If the individual is currently a smoker, smoking cessation has been discussed and included in the WRP.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table>	10.	<i>If the individual is currently a smoker, smoking cessation has been discussed and included in the WRP.</i>	100%	<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p><u>Dyslipidemia</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>The individual has been evaluated and supporting documentation completed at least quarterly.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>A lipid panel was ordered at least quarterly.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>The HDL level is >40(M) or >50(F) or a plan of care is in place.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>The LDL level is ≤ 130 or a plan of care is in place.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>The Triglyceride level is ≤ 200 or a plan of care is in place.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Dyslipidemia is addressed in focus 6 of the WRP.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Focus 6 for Dyslipidemia has appropriate objectives and interventions for this condition.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>A dietary consultation was considered and the recommendation followed, as applicable.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9.</td> <td><i>BMI is less than or equal to 25 and the waist circumference is less than 40 (males) and less than 35 (females) or a weight management program has been initiated.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10.</td> <td><i>An exercise program has been initiated.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11.</td> <td><i>If non-pharmacological interventions have been ineffective to control Dyslipidemia, medications have been considered or initiated.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p>	1.	<i>The individual has been evaluated and supporting documentation completed at least quarterly.</i>	98%	2.	<i>A lipid panel was ordered at least quarterly.</i>	99%	3.	<i>The HDL level is >40(M) or >50(F) or a plan of care is in place.</i>	100%	4.	<i>The LDL level is ≤ 130 or a plan of care is in place.</i>	100%	5.	<i>The Triglyceride level is ≤ 200 or a plan of care is in place.</i>	100%	6.	<i>Dyslipidemia is addressed in focus 6 of the WRP.</i>	98%	7.	<i>Focus 6 for Dyslipidemia has appropriate objectives and interventions for this condition.</i>	98%	8.	<i>A dietary consultation was considered and the recommendation followed, as applicable.</i>	99%	9.	<i>BMI is less than or equal to 25 and the waist circumference is less than 40 (males) and less than 35 (females) or a weight management program has been initiated.</i>	98%	10.	<i>An exercise program has been initiated.</i>	98%	11.	<i>If non-pharmacological interventions have been ineffective to control Dyslipidemia, medications have been considered or initiated.</i>	99%
10.	<i>If the individual is currently a smoker, smoking cessation has been discussed and included in the WRP.</i>	100%																																					
1.	<i>The individual has been evaluated and supporting documentation completed at least quarterly.</i>	98%																																					
2.	<i>A lipid panel was ordered at least quarterly.</i>	99%																																					
3.	<i>The HDL level is >40(M) or >50(F) or a plan of care is in place.</i>	100%																																					
4.	<i>The LDL level is ≤ 130 or a plan of care is in place.</i>	100%																																					
5.	<i>The Triglyceride level is ≤ 200 or a plan of care is in place.</i>	100%																																					
6.	<i>Dyslipidemia is addressed in focus 6 of the WRP.</i>	98%																																					
7.	<i>Focus 6 for Dyslipidemia has appropriate objectives and interventions for this condition.</i>	98%																																					
8.	<i>A dietary consultation was considered and the recommendation followed, as applicable.</i>	99%																																					
9.	<i>BMI is less than or equal to 25 and the waist circumference is less than 40 (males) and less than 35 (females) or a weight management program has been initiated.</i>	98%																																					
10.	<i>An exercise program has been initiated.</i>	98%																																					
11.	<i>If non-pharmacological interventions have been ineffective to control Dyslipidemia, medications have been considered or initiated.</i>	99%																																					

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p><u>Asthma/COPD</u></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>The individual has been evaluated and supporting documentation completed at least quarterly.</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>For individuals with a diagnosis of COPD, a baseline chest x-ray has been completed.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>If a rescue inhaler is being used more than 2 days a week, the individual has been assessed and an appropriate plan of care has been developed.</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>If the individual is currently a smoker, a smoking cessation program has been discussed and included in the WRP.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Asthma or COPD is addressed in Focus 6 of the WRP.</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Focus 6 for Asthma/COPD has appropriate objectives and interventions.</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>The individual has been assessed for a flu vaccination.</i></td> <td>92%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>If the individual has a diagnosis of COPD, a Pneumococcal vaccine has been offered, unless contraindicated.</i></td> <td>91%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for items 1-6. The compliance rates for items 7 and 8 improved from 87% and 85% respectively in the previous period.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	1.	<i>The individual has been evaluated and supporting documentation completed at least quarterly.</i>	96%	2.	<i>For individuals with a diagnosis of COPD, a baseline chest x-ray has been completed.</i>	99%	3.	<i>If a rescue inhaler is being used more than 2 days a week, the individual has been assessed and an appropriate plan of care has been developed.</i>	97%	4.	<i>If the individual is currently a smoker, a smoking cessation program has been discussed and included in the WRP.</i>	100%	5.	<i>Asthma or COPD is addressed in Focus 6 of the WRP.</i>	97%	6.	<i>Focus 6 for Asthma/COPD has appropriate objectives and interventions.</i>	97%	7.	<i>The individual has been assessed for a flu vaccination.</i>	92%	8.	<i>If the individual has a diagnosis of COPD, a Pneumococcal vaccine has been offered, unless contraindicated.</i>	91%
1.	<i>The individual has been evaluated and supporting documentation completed at least quarterly.</i>	96%																								
2.	<i>For individuals with a diagnosis of COPD, a baseline chest x-ray has been completed.</i>	99%																								
3.	<i>If a rescue inhaler is being used more than 2 days a week, the individual has been assessed and an appropriate plan of care has been developed.</i>	97%																								
4.	<i>If the individual is currently a smoker, a smoking cessation program has been discussed and included in the WRP.</i>	100%																								
5.	<i>Asthma or COPD is addressed in Focus 6 of the WRP.</i>	97%																								
6.	<i>Focus 6 for Asthma/COPD has appropriate objectives and interventions.</i>	97%																								
7.	<i>The individual has been assessed for a flu vaccination.</i>	92%																								
8.	<i>If the individual has a diagnosis of COPD, a Pneumococcal vaccine has been offered, unless contraindicated.</i>	91%																								
F.7.d	Each State Hospital shall monitor, on a continuous basis, outcome indicators to identify trends and	Current findings on previous recommendation:																								

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

	<p>patterns in the individual's health status, assess the performance of medical systems, and provide corrective follow-up measures to improve outcomes.</p>	<p>Recommendation, December 2009: Develop and implement a formalized system to assess process and clinical outcomes of medical care, utilizing the current monitoring system as well as other relevant data.</p> <p>Findings: During this review period, PSH began to gather both process and clinical outcome data for the current reporting period. The indicators were developed during a meeting between the chiefs of medical services and this monitor. In general, the data demonstrated positive outcomes. The following is a summary outline of the data:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Process outcomes tracked: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Number of individuals newly diagnosed with diabetes mellitus; b. Number of new diagnoses of diabetes mellitus in individuals receiving new generation antipsychotics; c. Percentage of individuals whose BMI is tracked and documented monthly; d. Measures addressing bowel function in individual receiving clozapine; e. Number of individuals with 3+ falls in 30 days; f. Total number of falls; g. Number of individuals with a diagnosis of aspiration pneumonia; h. Number of individuals with cognitive disorders on old generation anticonvulsants; i. Seizure data; j. Timeliness and appropriateness of external consultations; and k. Review process for unexpected deaths. 2. Clinical outcomes tracked: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Average HA1c value for individuals with diabetes mellitus; b. Average HA1c value for all individuals with diabetes mellitus who also receive new generation antipsychotics; c. Percentage of individuals with dyslipidemia with LDL < 130;
--	--	---

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>d. Percentage of individuals with diabetes mellitus with LDL < 100; e. Average body mass index of individuals with BMI >25; f. Number of individuals with hypertension whose blood pressure is less than 140/90; g. Number of individuals with diabetes mellitus whose blood pressure is less than 130/80; h. Number of individuals hospitalized for bowel dysfunction; i. Individuals with falls with major injury; j. Number of individuals diagnosed with aspiration pneumonia; k. Number of individuals with refractory seizures; l. Number of individuals with status epilepticus; and m. Unexpected mortalities.</p> <p>Some of the above-listed outcomes are reflected in the Key Indicator data presented in the appendix of this report.</p> <p>Additionally, PSH presented the following peer review aggregated data, based on a 100% sample of primary care physicians:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Quality of care</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Timeliness of care</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>WRP planning and documentation</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Appropriate consultations ordered</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Appropriate consultations reviewed</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Appropriate labs/diagnostics ordered</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Appropriate labs/diagnostics reviewed</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	1.	<i>Quality of care</i>	96%	2.	<i>Timeliness of care</i>	98%	3.	<i>WRP planning and documentation</i>	97%	4.	<i>Appropriate consultations ordered</i>	99%	5.	<i>Appropriate consultations reviewed</i>	99%	6.	<i>Appropriate labs/diagnostics ordered</i>	99%	7.	<i>Appropriate labs/diagnostics reviewed</i>	99%
1.	<i>Quality of care</i>	96%																					
2.	<i>Timeliness of care</i>	98%																					
3.	<i>WRP planning and documentation</i>	97%																					
4.	<i>Appropriate consultations ordered</i>	99%																					
5.	<i>Appropriate consultations reviewed</i>	99%																					
6.	<i>Appropriate labs/diagnostics ordered</i>	99%																					
7.	<i>Appropriate labs/diagnostics reviewed</i>	99%																					

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

8. Infection Control		
	<p>Each State hospital shall develop and implement infection control policies and procedures to prevent the spread of infections or communicable diseases, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.</p>	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cindy Blaire, RN 2. Donna Rowe, PHN II 3. Lidia Lau, RN, ACNS 4. Mary Lou Remetir, RN, PHN I 5. Richard Morrissey, MD <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PSH's progress report and data 2. Department of Medicine meeting minutes for 11/4/09, 12/2/09, 1/14/10, 2/3/10, 3/3/10 and 4/14/10 3. Joint Department of Medicine/Psychiatry meeting minutes dated 2/24/10 4. PSH Enhancement Plan of Action Team Leader meeting minutes dated 12/14/09, 12/15/09, 1/15/10, 2/26/10, 3/26/10 and 4/23/10 5. Infection Control Committee meeting minutes dated 11/12/09, 12/17/09, 1/14/10, 2/18/10, 3/11/10 and 10/8/09 6. Quality Council meeting minutes dated 11/10/09, 12/1/09, 1/12/10, 2/2/10, 3/2/10 and 4/6/10 7. Medical records for the following 93 individuals: AG, AKA, ANA, AS, ASR, BMR, BR, CBA, CBF, CC, CHF, CJT, CL, CMG, CMP, CT-1, CT-2, CWM, DAL, DEB, DP, DSA, EG, EMB, FDL, FJB, FL, GLW, GT, GVC, HAC, HAH, HKV, IRH, JAL, JC, JCM, JDC, JFZ, JG, JHM, JJ, JM, JMM, JP, JPK, JV, JW, JWK, KRE, LD, LJB, LMA, LS, LT, LV, MAS, MM, MS, MVV, NC, OG, PMC, RCG, RD, REF, RHT, RL, RLS, RM, RMT, RTC, RVM, RW, SC, SEJ, SF, SGR, SH, SJH, SL, TM, TN, TO, TS-1, TS-2, UDN, VME, VMG, WC, WKP, WP and YRR 8. PSH Key Indicator data for Infection Control

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

8.a	Each State hospital shall establish an effective infection control program that:	Compliance: Partial.															
F.8.a.i	actively collects data regarding infections and communicable diseases;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings (by test/disease):</p> <p><u>Admission PPD</u> Using the DMH IC Admission PPD Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 72% of individuals admitted to the hospital with a negative PPD in the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Notification by the unit via a PPD form is sent to the Infection Control Department for all PPD readings.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>PPDs were ordered by the physician during the admission procedure.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>PPDs were administered by the nurse within 24 hours of the physicians order.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>1st step PPDs were read by the nurse within 7 days of administration.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>2nd step PPDs were read by the nurse within 48-72 hours of administration.</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.ii: Assesses these data for trends</u> No problematic trends were identified.</p>	1.	<i>Notification by the unit via a PPD form is sent to the Infection Control Department for all PPD readings.</i>	100%	2.	<i>PPDs were ordered by the physician during the admission procedure.</i>	100%	3.	<i>PPDs were administered by the nurse within 24 hours of the physicians order.</i>	99%	4.	<i>1st step PPDs were read by the nurse within 7 days of administration.</i>	99%	5.	<i>2nd step PPDs were read by the nurse within 48-72 hours of administration.</i>	96%
1.	<i>Notification by the unit via a PPD form is sent to the Infection Control Department for all PPD readings.</i>	100%															
2.	<i>PPDs were ordered by the physician during the admission procedure.</i>	100%															
3.	<i>PPDs were administered by the nurse within 24 hours of the physicians order.</i>	99%															
4.	<i>1st step PPDs were read by the nurse within 7 days of administration.</i>	99%															
5.	<i>2nd step PPDs were read by the nurse within 48-72 hours of administration.</i>	96%															

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p><u>F.8.a.iii: Initiates inquiries regarding problematic trends</u> None required.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.iv: Identifies necessary corrective action</u> No corrective action was needed.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.v: Monitors to ensure that appropriate remedies are achieved</u> PSH will continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>A review of the records of 19 individuals admitted during the review period (BR, CHF, CWM, DP, GLW, IRH, JCM, JHM, JJ, JM, KRE, MVV, OG, RCG, RLS, RVM, SF, TM and VMG) found that all had a physician's order for PPD upon admission and all were timely administered and read.</p> <p><u>Annual PPD</u> Using the DMH IC Annual PPD Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 29% of individuals needing an annual PPD during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Notification by the unit via a PPD form sent to the Infection Control Department for all PPD readings.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>PPDs were ordered by the physician during the annual review procedure.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>PPDs were administered by the nurse within 24 hours of the order.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>PPDs were read by the nurse within 48-72 hours of administration.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.ii: Assesses these data for trends</u> No problematic trends were identified.</p>	1.	<i>Notification by the unit via a PPD form sent to the Infection Control Department for all PPD readings.</i>	100%	2.	<i>PPDs were ordered by the physician during the annual review procedure.</i>	100%	3.	<i>PPDs were administered by the nurse within 24 hours of the order.</i>	99%	4.	<i>PPDs were read by the nurse within 48-72 hours of administration.</i>	99%
1.	<i>Notification by the unit via a PPD form sent to the Infection Control Department for all PPD readings.</i>	100%												
2.	<i>PPDs were ordered by the physician during the annual review procedure.</i>	100%												
3.	<i>PPDs were administered by the nurse within 24 hours of the order.</i>	99%												
4.	<i>PPDs were read by the nurse within 48-72 hours of administration.</i>	99%												

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p><u>F.8.a.iii: Initiates inquiries regarding problematic trends</u> None required.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.iv: Identifies necessary corrective action</u> No corrective action was needed.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.v: Monitors to ensure that appropriate remedies are achieved</u> PSH will continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>A review of the records of 16 individuals requiring an annual PPD during the review period (AG, AKA, ASR, CBA, DEB, EMB, JDC, LT, PMC, RMT, SGR, SH, SL, TN, TO and WKP) found that all had a physician's order for an annual PPD and all annual PPDs were timely given and read.</p> <p><u>Hepatitis C</u> Using the DMH IC Hepatitis C Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 92% of individuals admitted to the hospital in the review months (November 2009-April 2010) who were positive for Hepatitis C:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Notification by the lab was made to the Infection Control Department identifying the individual with a positive Hepatitis C Antibody.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Notification by the lab was made to the unit housing the individual that he/she has a positive Hepatitis C Antibody test.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Hepatitis C Tracking sheet was initiated or the Public Health database was updated for each individual testing positive for Hepatitis C Antibody.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>The individual's medication plan was evaluated and immunizations for Hepatitis A and B were considered.</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>A Focus 6 is opened for Hepatitis C.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the Infection Control Department identifying the individual with a positive Hepatitis C Antibody.</i>	100%	2.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the unit housing the individual that he/she has a positive Hepatitis C Antibody test.</i>	100%	3.	<i>Hepatitis C Tracking sheet was initiated or the Public Health database was updated for each individual testing positive for Hepatitis C Antibody.</i>	100%	4.	<i>The individual's medication plan was evaluated and immunizations for Hepatitis A and B were considered.</i>	96%	5.	<i>A Focus 6 is opened for Hepatitis C.</i>	98%
1.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the Infection Control Department identifying the individual with a positive Hepatitis C Antibody.</i>	100%															
2.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the unit housing the individual that he/she has a positive Hepatitis C Antibody test.</i>	100%															
3.	<i>Hepatitis C Tracking sheet was initiated or the Public Health database was updated for each individual testing positive for Hepatitis C Antibody.</i>	100%															
4.	<i>The individual's medication plan was evaluated and immunizations for Hepatitis A and B were considered.</i>	96%															
5.	<i>A Focus 6 is opened for Hepatitis C.</i>	98%															

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Appropriate objective is written to include treatment as required by the Hepatitis C Tracking Sheet</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Appropriate interventions are written to include treatment as required by the Hepatitis C Tracking Sheet, or as required by the WRP Manual</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> </table>	6.	<i>Appropriate objective is written to include treatment as required by the Hepatitis C Tracking Sheet</i>	99%	7.	<i>Appropriate interventions are written to include treatment as required by the Hepatitis C Tracking Sheet, or as required by the WRP Manual</i>	96%	<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.ii: Assesses these data for trends</u> No problematic trends were identified.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.iii: Initiates inquiries regarding problematic trends</u> None required.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.iv: Identifies necessary corrective action</u> No corrective action was needed.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.v: Monitors to ensure that appropriate remedies are achieved</u> PSH will continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>A review of the records of 21 individuals who were admitted Hepatitis C positive during the review period (ANA, BMR, CBF, CC, CJT, CMG, CMP, DAL, FJB, FL, HKV, IRH, JV, LD, LS, MM, RD, RM, SEJ, VME and YRR) found that all contained documentation that the medication plan and immunizations were evaluated and had an open Focus 6 for Hepatitis C, and 18 had adequate and appropriate objectives and interventions. Two WRPs (ANA and HKV) were missing critical elements such as prevention of the spread of the disease and one was clinically inappropriate (LD).</p> <p><u>HIV Positive</u> Using the DMH IC HIV Positive Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample (four individuals) of individuals who were positive for</p>
6.	<i>Appropriate objective is written to include treatment as required by the Hepatitis C Tracking Sheet</i>	99%							
7.	<i>Appropriate interventions are written to include treatment as required by the Hepatitis C Tracking Sheet, or as required by the WRP Manual</i>	96%							

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>HIV antibody in the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Notification by the lab was made to the infection control department identifying the individual with a positive HIV Antibody.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Notification was made to the unit housing the individual that he/she has a positive HIV Antibody test.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>If the individual was admitted with a diagnosis of HIV positive, a referral was made to the appropriate clinic during the admission process.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>If the individual was diagnosed with HIV during hospitalization, a referral was made to the appropriate clinic.</i></td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>The individual is seen initially and followed up, as clinically indicated, by the appropriate clinic every three months for ongoing care and treatment, unless another timeframe is ordered by the physician.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>A Focus 6 is opened for HIV (unspecified viral illness)</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Appropriate objective is written to address the progression of the disease.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Appropriate interventions are written.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items that were not N/A in either period.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.ii: Assesses these data for trends</u> No problematic trends were identified. There were no cases of HIV conversion following admission during the review period.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.iii: Initiates inquiries regarding problematic trends</u> None required.</p>	1.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the infection control department identifying the individual with a positive HIV Antibody.</i>	100%	2.	<i>Notification was made to the unit housing the individual that he/she has a positive HIV Antibody test.</i>	100%	3.	<i>If the individual was admitted with a diagnosis of HIV positive, a referral was made to the appropriate clinic during the admission process.</i>	100%	4.	<i>If the individual was diagnosed with HIV during hospitalization, a referral was made to the appropriate clinic.</i>	N/A	5.	<i>The individual is seen initially and followed up, as clinically indicated, by the appropriate clinic every three months for ongoing care and treatment, unless another timeframe is ordered by the physician.</i>	100%	6.	<i>A Focus 6 is opened for HIV (unspecified viral illness)</i>	100%	7.	<i>Appropriate objective is written to address the progression of the disease.</i>	100%	8.	<i>Appropriate interventions are written.</i>	100%
1.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the infection control department identifying the individual with a positive HIV Antibody.</i>	100%																								
2.	<i>Notification was made to the unit housing the individual that he/she has a positive HIV Antibody test.</i>	100%																								
3.	<i>If the individual was admitted with a diagnosis of HIV positive, a referral was made to the appropriate clinic during the admission process.</i>	100%																								
4.	<i>If the individual was diagnosed with HIV during hospitalization, a referral was made to the appropriate clinic.</i>	N/A																								
5.	<i>The individual is seen initially and followed up, as clinically indicated, by the appropriate clinic every three months for ongoing care and treatment, unless another timeframe is ordered by the physician.</i>	100%																								
6.	<i>A Focus 6 is opened for HIV (unspecified viral illness)</i>	100%																								
7.	<i>Appropriate objective is written to address the progression of the disease.</i>	100%																								
8.	<i>Appropriate interventions are written.</i>	100%																								

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p><u>F.8.a.iv: Identifies necessary corrective action</u> No corrective action was needed.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.v: Monitors to ensure that appropriate remedies are achieved</u> PSH will continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>A review of the records of four individuals who were admitted during the review period with HIV (ANA, FDL, LJB and RL) found that all were in compliance regarding clinic referrals and follow-up and two WRPs contained appropriate objectives and/or interventions (ANA and FDL). One WRP had an open focus addressing HIV; however, the goals and objectives were about Hepatitis C (RL), and one WRP was not in alignment with the individual's cognitive limitations (LB).</p> <p><u>Immunizations</u> Using the DMH IC Immunization Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 25% of individuals admitted to the hospital during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Notification by the lab was made to the Infection Control Department of an individual's immunity status.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Notification by the lab was made to the unit housing the individual of his/her immunity status.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Immunizations were ordered by the physician within 30 days of receiving notification by the lab.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Immunizations were administered by the nurse within 24 hours of the physician order and completed within timeframes.</i></td> <td>97%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p>	1.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the Infection Control Department of an individual's immunity status.</i>	100%	2.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the unit housing the individual of his/her immunity status.</i>	100%	3.	<i>Immunizations were ordered by the physician within 30 days of receiving notification by the lab.</i>	100%	4.	<i>Immunizations were administered by the nurse within 24 hours of the physician order and completed within timeframes.</i>	97%
1.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the Infection Control Department of an individual's immunity status.</i>	100%												
2.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the unit housing the individual of his/her immunity status.</i>	100%												
3.	<i>Immunizations were ordered by the physician within 30 days of receiving notification by the lab.</i>	100%												
4.	<i>Immunizations were administered by the nurse within 24 hours of the physician order and completed within timeframes.</i>	97%												

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p><u>F.8.a.ii: Assesses these data for trends</u> No problematic trends were identified.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.iii: Initiates inquiries regarding problematic trends</u> None required.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.iv: Identifies necessary corrective action</u> No corrective action was needed.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.v: Monitors to ensure that appropriate remedies are achieved</u> PSH will continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>A review of the records of 19 individuals (BR, CHF, CWM, DP, GLW, IRH, JCM, JHM, JJ, JM, KRE, MVV, OG, RCG, RLS, RVM, SF, TM and VMG) found that all contained documentation that the immunizations were ordered by the physician within 60 days of receiving notification by the lab and all ordered immunizations were timely administered.</p> <p><u>Immunization Refusals</u> Using the DMH IC Immunization Refusal Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample (54 individuals) of individuals in the hospital who refused to take their immunizations during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Notification by the unit was made to the Infection Control Department of the individual's refusal of the immunization(s)</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>There is a Focus 6 opened for the refusal of the immunization(s).</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>There are appropriate objective(s) developed for the refusal of immunization(s).</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>There are appropriate interventions written for the objective(s) developed for the refusal of</i></td> <td>94%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>Notification by the unit was made to the Infection Control Department of the individual's refusal of the immunization(s)</i>	100%	2.	<i>There is a Focus 6 opened for the refusal of the immunization(s).</i>	98%	3.	<i>There are appropriate objective(s) developed for the refusal of immunization(s).</i>	98%	4.	<i>There are appropriate interventions written for the objective(s) developed for the refusal of</i>	94%
1.	<i>Notification by the unit was made to the Infection Control Department of the individual's refusal of the immunization(s)</i>	100%												
2.	<i>There is a Focus 6 opened for the refusal of the immunization(s).</i>	98%												
3.	<i>There are appropriate objective(s) developed for the refusal of immunization(s).</i>	98%												
4.	<i>There are appropriate interventions written for the objective(s) developed for the refusal of</i>	94%												

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>immunization(s).</i></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>The unit notified the Infection Control Department when the individual consented and received the immunization(s).</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table>		<i>immunization(s).</i>		5.	<i>The unit notified the Infection Control Department when the individual consented and received the immunization(s).</i>	98%	
	<i>immunization(s).</i>								
5.	<i>The unit notified the Infection Control Department when the individual consented and received the immunization(s).</i>	98%							
<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.ii: Assesses these data for trends</u> No problematic trends were identified.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.iii: Initiates inquiries regarding problematic trends</u> None required.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.iv: Identifies necessary corrective action</u> No corrective action was needed.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.v: Monitors to ensure that appropriate remedies are achieved</u> PSH will continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>A review of the records of 10 individuals who refused immunizations during the review period (CMG, DSA, HAC, JG, JW, REF, RTC, SC, SJH and TS-1) found that all WRPs contained an open Focus 6 and four contained appropriate objectives and interventions (DSA, JG, JW and SC). Five WRPs were not individualized and only contained the template for refusals with no reason for the refusal stated to guide the goals and interventions. One WRP (HAC) had an open focus for refusal of Hepatitis B Vaccine; however the intervention addressed a hernia repair.</p> <p><u>MRSA</u> Using the DMH IC MRSA Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 75% sample (24 individuals) of individuals in the hospital who tested positive for MRSA during the review months (November 2009-April</p>									

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Notification by the lab was made to the Infection Control Department when an individual has a positive culture for MRSA.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Notification by the lab was made to the unit housing the individual that a positive culture for MRSA was obtained</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>The individual is placed on contact precaution per MRSA policy.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>The appropriate antibiotic was ordered for treatment of the infection(s).</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>The public health office contacts the unit RN and provides MRSA protocol and guidance for the care of the individual.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>A Focus 6 is opened for MRSA.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Appropriate objective is written to include prevention of spread of infection</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Appropriate interventions are written to include contact precautions.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.ii: Assesses these data for trends</u> No problematic trends were identified.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.iii: Initiates inquiries regarding problematic trends</u> None required.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.iv: Identifies necessary corrective action</u> No corrective action was needed.</p>	1.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the Infection Control Department when an individual has a positive culture for MRSA.</i>	100%	2.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the unit housing the individual that a positive culture for MRSA was obtained</i>	100%	3.	<i>The individual is placed on contact precaution per MRSA policy.</i>	100%	4.	<i>The appropriate antibiotic was ordered for treatment of the infection(s).</i>	100%	5.	<i>The public health office contacts the unit RN and provides MRSA protocol and guidance for the care of the individual.</i>	100%	6.	<i>A Focus 6 is opened for MRSA.</i>	100%	7.	<i>Appropriate objective is written to include prevention of spread of infection</i>	100%	8.	<i>Appropriate interventions are written to include contact precautions.</i>	100%
1.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the Infection Control Department when an individual has a positive culture for MRSA.</i>	100%																								
2.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the unit housing the individual that a positive culture for MRSA was obtained</i>	100%																								
3.	<i>The individual is placed on contact precaution per MRSA policy.</i>	100%																								
4.	<i>The appropriate antibiotic was ordered for treatment of the infection(s).</i>	100%																								
5.	<i>The public health office contacts the unit RN and provides MRSA protocol and guidance for the care of the individual.</i>	100%																								
6.	<i>A Focus 6 is opened for MRSA.</i>	100%																								
7.	<i>Appropriate objective is written to include prevention of spread of infection</i>	100%																								
8.	<i>Appropriate interventions are written to include contact precautions.</i>	100%																								

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p><u>F.8.a.v: Monitors to ensure that appropriate remedies are achieved</u> PSH will continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>A review of the records of 11 individuals with MRSA (CT-1, HAH, JP, JW, JWK, LMA, MAS, RHT, RW, WC and WP) found that all individuals were placed on contact precautions and on the appropriate antibiotic. Two WRPs did not contain appropriate objectives and interventions (JW and RW).</p> <p><u>Positive PPD</u> Using the DMH IC Positive PPD Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on an average sample of 100% of individuals in the hospital who had a positive PPD test during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Notification by the unit via a PPD form is sent to Public Health Office for all PPD readings.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>All positive PPDs received PA and Lateral Chest X-ray.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>All positive PPDs received an evaluation by the Med-Surg Physician.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>If active disease is identified, then individual is transferred to medical isolation and appropriate treatment is provided.</i></td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>If LTBI is present, there is a Focus 6 opened.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>If LTBI is present, there are appropriate objectives written to provide treatment and to prevent spread of the disease.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>If LTBI is present, there are appropriate interventions written to prevent the progression of the disease.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items (item 4 was not applicable in either period).</p>	1.	<i>Notification by the unit via a PPD form is sent to Public Health Office for all PPD readings.</i>	100%	2.	<i>All positive PPDs received PA and Lateral Chest X-ray.</i>	100%	3.	<i>All positive PPDs received an evaluation by the Med-Surg Physician.</i>	100%	4.	<i>If active disease is identified, then individual is transferred to medical isolation and appropriate treatment is provided.</i>	N/A	5.	<i>If LTBI is present, there is a Focus 6 opened.</i>	100%	6.	<i>If LTBI is present, there are appropriate objectives written to provide treatment and to prevent spread of the disease.</i>	100%	7.	<i>If LTBI is present, there are appropriate interventions written to prevent the progression of the disease.</i>	100%
1.	<i>Notification by the unit via a PPD form is sent to Public Health Office for all PPD readings.</i>	100%																					
2.	<i>All positive PPDs received PA and Lateral Chest X-ray.</i>	100%																					
3.	<i>All positive PPDs received an evaluation by the Med-Surg Physician.</i>	100%																					
4.	<i>If active disease is identified, then individual is transferred to medical isolation and appropriate treatment is provided.</i>	N/A																					
5.	<i>If LTBI is present, there is a Focus 6 opened.</i>	100%																					
6.	<i>If LTBI is present, there are appropriate objectives written to provide treatment and to prevent spread of the disease.</i>	100%																					
7.	<i>If LTBI is present, there are appropriate interventions written to prevent the progression of the disease.</i>	100%																					

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p><u>F.8.a.ii: Assesses these data for trends</u> No problematic trends were identified. There were no cases of PPD conversion following admission to the facility or any cases of active TB during the review period.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.iii: Initiates inquiries regarding problematic trends</u> None required.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.iv: Identifies necessary corrective action</u> No corrective action was needed.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.v: Monitors to ensure that appropriate remedies are achieved</u> PSH will continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>A review of the records of nine individuals who had a positive PPD (AS, GT, GVC, JAL, JMM, JPK, MS, OG and TN) found that all individuals had the required chest x-rays, and all records contained documentation of an evaluation from the physician. Three WRPs contained appropriate objectives and interventions (GT, JPK and OG). The remaining six WRPs were not individualized and contained only the template developed by infection control (AS, GVC, JAL, JMM, MS and TN).</p> <p><u>Refusal of Admitting or Annual Lab Work or Diagnostic Tests</u> Using the DMH IC DMH IC Refused Admitting or Annual Lab Work or Diagnostic Test Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of individuals in the hospital who refused their admission lab work, admission PPD, or annual PPD during the review months (November 2009- April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Notification by the unit that the individual refused his/her admission or annual lab work or admission or annual PPD, is sent to the Infection Control</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table>	1.	<i>Notification by the unit that the individual refused his/her admission or annual lab work or admission or annual PPD, is sent to the Infection Control</i>	100%
1.	<i>Notification by the unit that the individual refused his/her admission or annual lab work or admission or annual PPD, is sent to the Infection Control</i>	100%			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>Department.</i></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>There is a Focus opened for the lab work or PPD refusal</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>There are appropriate objectives written for the lab work or PPD refusal.</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>There are appropriate interventions written for the lab work or PPD refusal.</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> </table>		<i>Department.</i>		2.	<i>There is a Focus opened for the lab work or PPD refusal</i>	98%	3.	<i>There are appropriate objectives written for the lab work or PPD refusal.</i>	96%	4.	<i>There are appropriate interventions written for the lab work or PPD refusal.</i>	96%	<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.ii: Assesses these data for trends</u> No problematic trends were identified.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.iii: Initiates inquiries regarding problematic trends</u> None required.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.iv: Identifies necessary corrective action</u> No corrective action was needed.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.v: Monitors to ensure that appropriate remedies are achieved</u> PSH will continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>A review of the records of nine individuals who refused admitting or annual labs/diagnostics (CL, CT-2, JC, JFZ, LV, MM, TS-2, UDN and WC) found that five refusals were not adequately addressed in the WRPs (CL, JC, JFZ, LV and MM). These five WRPs were not individualized as to why the individuals refused the procedures and contained the identical templates for refusals.</p> <p><u>Sexually Transmitted Diseases</u> Using the DMH IC Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of individuals in the</p>
	<i>Department.</i>														
2.	<i>There is a Focus opened for the lab work or PPD refusal</i>	98%													
3.	<i>There are appropriate objectives written for the lab work or PPD refusal.</i>	96%													
4.	<i>There are appropriate interventions written for the lab work or PPD refusal.</i>	96%													

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>hospital who tested positive for an STD during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Notification by the lab was made to the Infection Control Department of a positive STD.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Notification by the lab was made to the unit housing the individual that he/she has a STD.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>An RPR is ordered during the admission process for each individual.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>An HIV antibody test is offered to every individual upon admission.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>A Chlamydia and Gonorrhea test are ordered during the admission process for all female individuals</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>If the individual was involved in a sexual incident, he/she was offered appropriate STD testing.</i></td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Focus 6 is opened for an individual testing positive for an STD.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Appropriate objective(s) are written.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9.</td> <td><i>Appropriate interventions are written.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items (item 6 was not applicable in either period).</p> <p><u>F.8.a.ii: Assesses these data for trends</u> No problematic trends were identified. All individuals who were positive for a STD were found at the time of their admission to the facility.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.iii: Initiates inquiries regarding problematic trends</u> None required.</p> <p><u>F.8.a.iv: Identifies necessary corrective action</u> No corrective action was needed.</p>	1.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the Infection Control Department of a positive STD.</i>	100%	2.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the unit housing the individual that he/she has a STD.</i>	100%	3.	<i>An RPR is ordered during the admission process for each individual.</i>	100%	4.	<i>An HIV antibody test is offered to every individual upon admission.</i>	100%	5.	<i>A Chlamydia and Gonorrhea test are ordered during the admission process for all female individuals</i>	100%	6.	<i>If the individual was involved in a sexual incident, he/she was offered appropriate STD testing.</i>	N/A	7.	<i>Focus 6 is opened for an individual testing positive for an STD.</i>	100%	8.	<i>Appropriate objective(s) are written.</i>	100%	9.	<i>Appropriate interventions are written.</i>	100%
1.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the Infection Control Department of a positive STD.</i>	100%																											
2.	<i>Notification by the lab was made to the unit housing the individual that he/she has a STD.</i>	100%																											
3.	<i>An RPR is ordered during the admission process for each individual.</i>	100%																											
4.	<i>An HIV antibody test is offered to every individual upon admission.</i>	100%																											
5.	<i>A Chlamydia and Gonorrhea test are ordered during the admission process for all female individuals</i>	100%																											
6.	<i>If the individual was involved in a sexual incident, he/she was offered appropriate STD testing.</i>	N/A																											
7.	<i>Focus 6 is opened for an individual testing positive for an STD.</i>	100%																											
8.	<i>Appropriate objective(s) are written.</i>	100%																											
9.	<i>Appropriate interventions are written.</i>	100%																											

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p><u>F.8.a.v: Monitors to ensure that appropriate remedies are achieved</u> PSH will continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>A review of the records of four individuals with diagnosed STDs (EG, JC, NC and SC) found that the appropriate lab work indicating a positive STD was obtained in all cases and the STD was adequately addressed in the WRP in none of the four cases.</p> <p>Findings from the review of WRPs in a number of areas described above demonstrated slippage from the last review and did not comport with PSH's data. The Infection Control liaison reported that in some cases, the original WRPs were audited by Standards Compliance and were found in compliance and then inappropriately modified. In a number of cases, especially regarding refusals, there was no indication that the individuals were asked why they were refusing a particular procedure in order to guide the goals and interventions specifically to each individual's needs. Also, in a number of cases, a template was used in the WRP without modifications specific to the individual. For example, a number of PPD positive WRPs stated that "if" the individual was being treated with INH, then the individual should be educated as to the side effects of the medication. At the time of the review, the WRPTs should know if the individual is being treated with INH and modify the template accordingly. Nursing needs to collaborate with Infection Control since issues with the WRPs appear to be at the unit nursing level.</p> <p>Compliance: Partial.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure that there is continued collaboration between the Infection Control Department and nursing regarding WRPs addressing infection control issues.
--	--	---

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		2. Continue to monitor this requirement.
F.8.a.ii	assesses these data for trends;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement system to ensure reliability of IC Key Indicator data and review by the Infection Control Department. • Continue to monitor this requirement. <p>Findings:</p> <p>A review of PSH's Key Indicator data for Hepatitis C found that it was different than the data presented in PSH's progress report. Specifically, the Key Indicator data for Hepatitis C showed that there was one new diagnosis between January 2008 and October 2009 and then between November 2009 and April 2010 there were 70 new diagnoses. The Infection Control Department reported that it provides the numbers for infection control items to Standards Compliance and was not aware of what was reported in the Key Indicator data. The Medical Risk Management Committee Coordinator was interviewed regarding this issue and indicated that Standards Compliance was now reporting the total number of individuals who were admitted to the facility with an existing diagnosis of Hepatitis C as well as any cases of hospital-acquired Hepatitis C versus only new hospital acquired cases of Hepatitis C. At the time of the review, the Infection Control Department was not aware of the change in reporting cases of Hepatitis C in the Key Indicator data. The facility needs to ensure that Key Indicator data for Infection Control is reviewed by the Infection Control Department and that changes in data collection methodology are shared between departments. The facility should be in substantial compliance with this requirement by the next review.</p> <p>Compliance: Partial.</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure that Key Indicator data for Infection Control is reviewed by the Infection Control and changes in data collection methodology are shared between departments. 2. Continue to monitor this requirement.
F.8.a.iii	initiates inquiries regarding problematic trends;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: See F.8.a.i.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
F.8.a.iv	identifies necessary corrective action;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: See F.8.a.i.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
F.8.a.v	<p>monitors to ensure that appropriate remedies are achieved; and</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: See F.8.a.i.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
F.8.a.vi	<p>integrates this information into each State hospital's quality assurance review.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Review of the minutes of PSH's meetings verified that IC data are discussed monthly at the meetings of the Infection Control Committee, the Joint Department of Medicine and Psychiatry, the Department of Medicine and the Enhancement Plan Committee.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

9. Dental Services		
	<p>Each State hospital shall provide individuals with adequate, appropriate and timely routine and emergency dental care and treatment, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.</p>	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amy Santimalapong, DDS, Chief Dentist 2. Kathryn Smith, RN, Nurse Auditor 3. George Christison, MD, Acting Medical Director <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PSH's progress report and data 2. PSH's dental appointment logs 3. Medical records for the following 101 individuals: AC, AG, AKA, ALM, ASR, BBD, BC, BE, BK, BR, BRA, CAL, CB, CBA, CHF, COP, CR, CS, CTM, CWM, DAJ, DEB, DF, DP, DU, DW, EDW, EK, EMB, ER, EWA, FB, GA, GL, GLW, GPG, HLE, HLM, HMP, IRH, JCG, JCM, JDC, JEM, JHC, JHM, JJ, JM, JOG, KA, KMC, KRE, LLF, LMP, LT, LWS, MCP, MLB, MN, MV, MVV, NM, OG, OR, PCJ, PMC, RC, RCG-1, RCG-2, RLL, RLS, RM, RMT, RNB, RPY, RVM, RXA, SA, SAL, SF, SGR, SH, SJ, SKO, SL, SMC, TD, TH, THH, TJM, TM, TMH, TMM, TN, TO, VMG, WHS, WKP, WL, WT and YCO 4. Nursing Policy and Procedure 500-A; Refusal of Medical Care System (draft)
F.9.a	<p>Each State hospital shall retain or contract with an adequate number of qualified dentists to provide timely and appropriate dental care and treatment to all individuals it serves;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pursue recommendations included in the 2009/2010 Budget Change Proposal. • Continue to monitor this requirement. <p>Findings:</p> <p>The number of full-time dental staff remained unchanged from the last reporting period.</p>

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>PSH's Chief Dentist submitted a Budget Change Proposal to PSH's Executive Director in May 2009 that included requests for the expansion of the dental clinics, office space, and the addition of dental staff to include two dentists, two dental hygienists and three dental assistants. In September 2009, the Executive Director approved a part-time student assistant position for data entry, which has freed up the dental clinical staff from this duty. In October 2009, the Executive Director approved a part-time position for a dentist working 20-24 hours per week. Three applicants were interviewed on 12/04/09 and 14 more candidates were interviewed in January 2010. A candidate was selected but unfortunately declined the position. PSH continues its efforts in pursuing hiring for the Dentist position. Although PSH has not yet filled the part-time dental position, they have achieved substantial compliance with the exception of F.9.e (refusals).</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
F.9.b	Each State hospital shall develop and implement policies and procedures that require:	<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>
F.9.b.i	comprehensive and timely provision of dental services;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to implement strategies to increase compliance with this requirement. • Continue to monitor this requirement.

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Findings: Using the DMH Dental Services Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 56% mean sample of individuals scheduled for comprehensive dental exams during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.a</td> <td><i>Comprehensive dental exam was completed</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of 19 individuals (BR, CHF, CWM, DP, GLW, IRH, JCM, JHM, JJ, JM, KRE, MVV, OG, RCG-1, RLS, RVM, SF, TM and VMG) found all 19 individuals received a comprehensive dental exam.</p> <p>Using the DMH Dental Services Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 48% mean sample of individuals who have been in the hospital for 90 days or less during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.b</td> <td><i>If admission examination date was 90 days or less</i></td> <td>94%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 77% in the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of 19 individuals (BR, CHF, CWM, DP, GLW, IRH, JCM, JHM, JJ, JM, KRE, MVV, OG, RCG-1, RLS, RVM, SF, TM and VMG) found that all 19 individuals were timely seen for their admission exams.</p> <p>Using the DMH Dental Services Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 22% mean sample of individuals due for annual routine dental examinations during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.c</td> <td><i>Annual date of examination was within anniversary</i></td> <td>96%</td> </tr> </table>	1.a	<i>Comprehensive dental exam was completed</i>	96%	1.b	<i>If admission examination date was 90 days or less</i>	94%	1.c	<i>Annual date of examination was within anniversary</i>	96%
1.a	<i>Comprehensive dental exam was completed</i>	96%									
1.b	<i>If admission examination date was 90 days or less</i>	94%									
1.c	<i>Annual date of examination was within anniversary</i>	96%									

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>month of admission</i></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 80% in the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of 17 individuals (AG, AKA, ASR, CBA, DEB, EMB, JDC, LT, PMC, RCG-2, RMT, SGR, SH, SL, TN, TO and WKP) found that 16 annual exams were timely completed.</p> <p>Using the DMH Dental Services Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of individuals with dental problems identified on admission or annual examination during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.d</td> <td><i>Individuals with identified problems on admission or annual examination receive follow up care, as indicated, in a timely manner</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of 36 individuals (AG, AKA, ASR, BR, CBA, CHF, CWM, DEB, DP, EMB, GLW, IRH, JCM, JDC, JHM, JJ, JM, KRE, LT, MVV, OG, PMC, RCG-1, RCG-2, RLS, RMT, RVM, SF, SGR, SH, SL, TM, TN, TO, VMG and WKP) found that all individuals were timely seen for follow-up care.</p> <p>Using the DMH Dental Services Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 68% mean sample of individuals with dental problems identified other than on admission or annual examination during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p>		<i>month of admission</i>		1.d	<i>Individuals with identified problems on admission or annual examination receive follow up care, as indicated, in a timely manner</i>	100%
	<i>month of admission</i>							
1.d	<i>Individuals with identified problems on admission or annual examination receive follow up care, as indicated, in a timely manner</i>	100%						

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.e</td> <td><i>Individuals with identified problems during their hospital stay, other than on admission or annual examination, receive follow-up care, as indicated, in a timely manner</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of 15 individuals (AC, ALM, BC, BK, EDW, ER, GL, KA, KMC, RC, RPY, SJ, SKO, TMH and WT) found that 15 individuals received timely follow-up care.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	1.e	<i>Individuals with identified problems during their hospital stay, other than on admission or annual examination, receive follow-up care, as indicated, in a timely manner</i>	100%
1.e	<i>Individuals with identified problems during their hospital stay, other than on admission or annual examination, receive follow-up care, as indicated, in a timely manner</i>	100%			
F.9.b.ii	documentation of dental services, including but not limited to, findings, descriptions of any treatment provided, and the plans of care:	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Dental Services Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 29% mean sample of individuals scheduled for follow-up dental care during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Documentation of dental services, including but not limited to, findings, descriptions of any treatment provided, and the plans of care.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of dental documentation for 37 individuals (AG, AKA, ASR, BR,</p>	2.	<i>Documentation of dental services, including but not limited to, findings, descriptions of any treatment provided, and the plans of care.</i>	99%
2.	<i>Documentation of dental services, including but not limited to, findings, descriptions of any treatment provided, and the plans of care.</i>	99%			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>CBA, CHF, CWM, DEB, DP, EMB, GLW, IRH, JCM, JDC, JHM, JJ, JM-1, JM-2, KRE, LT, MVV, OG, PMC, RCG-1, RCG-2, RLS, RMT, RVM, SF, SGR, SH, SL, TM, TN, TO, VMG and WKP) found compliance with the documentation requirements in 37 cases.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
F.9.b.iii	use of preventive and restorative care whenever possible; and	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Dental Services Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 10% mean sample of individuals due for annual routine dental examinations during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.a</td> <td><i>Preventive care was provided, including but not limited to cleaning, root planning, sealant, fluoride application, and oral hygiene instruction</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of 16 individuals (CAL, DF, DW, EK, FB, GA, HLE, JEM, JOG, OG, RM, RNB, RXA, THH, TJM and WHS) found that 16 individuals were provided preventive care.</p> <p>Using the DMH Dental Services Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of individuals scheduled for Level 1 restorative care during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p>	3.a	<i>Preventive care was provided, including but not limited to cleaning, root planning, sealant, fluoride application, and oral hygiene instruction</i>	100%
3.a	<i>Preventive care was provided, including but not limited to cleaning, root planning, sealant, fluoride application, and oral hygiene instruction</i>	100%			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.c</td> <td><i>Restorative care was provided including permanent or temporary restorations (fillings)</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of 13 individuals (BE, BRA, CTM, DU, EWA, GPG, HMP, LLF, MCP, MLB, OR, RMT and TD) found that all 13 individuals were provided restorative care.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	3.c	<i>Restorative care was provided including permanent or temporary restorations (fillings)</i>	100%
3.c	<i>Restorative care was provided including permanent or temporary restorations (fillings)</i>	100%			
F.9.b.iv	tooth extractions be used as a treatment of last resort, which, when performed, shall be justified in a manner subject to clinical review.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Dental Services Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 97% mean sample of individuals who had tooth extractions during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td><i>Tooth extractions be used as a treatment of last resort, which, when performed, shall be justified in a manner subject to clinical review. Periodontal conditions, requirement for denture construction, non-restorable tooth or severe decay or if none of the above reasons is included, other reason stated is clinically appropriate.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p>	4.	<i>Tooth extractions be used as a treatment of last resort, which, when performed, shall be justified in a manner subject to clinical review. Periodontal conditions, requirement for denture construction, non-restorable tooth or severe decay or if none of the above reasons is included, other reason stated is clinically appropriate.</i>	100%
4.	<i>Tooth extractions be used as a treatment of last resort, which, when performed, shall be justified in a manner subject to clinical review. Periodontal conditions, requirement for denture construction, non-restorable tooth or severe decay or if none of the above reasons is included, other reason stated is clinically appropriate.</i>	100%			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>A review of the records of 21 individuals (ASR, BBD, COP, CS, DEB, HLM, JCG, JHC, MN, MV, NM, PCJ, RLL, SA, SAL, SMC, TH, TO, WKP, WL and YCO) found that all 21 records were in compliance with this requirement.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
F.9.c	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that dentists demonstrate, in a documented fashion, an accurate understanding of individuals' physical health, medications, allergies, and current dental status and complaints.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Dental Services Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of individuals who received comprehensive dental examinations or follow-up dental care during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td><i>Each State hospital shall ensure that dentists demonstrate, in a documented fashion, an accurate understanding of individuals' physical health, medications, allergies, and current dental status and complaints.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of 37 individuals (AG, AKA, ASR, BR, CBA, CHF, CWM, DEB, DP, EMB, GLW, IRH, JCM, JDC, JHM, JJ, JM-1, JM-2, KRE, LT, MVV, OG, PMC, RCG-1, RCG-2, RLS, RMT, RVM, SF, SGR, SH, SL, TM, TN, TO, VMG and WKP) found that all 37 records were in compliance with the documentation requirements.</p>	5.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that dentists demonstrate, in a documented fashion, an accurate understanding of individuals' physical health, medications, allergies, and current dental status and complaints.</i>	99%
5.	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that dentists demonstrate, in a documented fashion, an accurate understanding of individuals' physical health, medications, allergies, and current dental status and complaints.</i>	99%			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>			
F.9.d	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that transportation and staffing issues do not preclude individuals from attending dental appointments, and individuals' refusals are addressed to facilitate compliance.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation 1, December 2009: Implement strategies addressing dental refusals.</p> <p>Findings: See F.9.e</p> <p>Recommendation 2, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Dental Services Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% mean sample of individuals scheduled for dental appointments during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>6.a</td> <td><i>Each State hospital shall ensure that transportation and staffing issues do not preclude individuals from attending dental appointments, and individuals' refusals are addressed to facilitate compliance.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>The facility provided the following data on missed appointments:</p>	6.a	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that transportation and staffing issues do not preclude individuals from attending dental appointments, and individuals' refusals are addressed to facilitate compliance.</i>	98%
6.a	<i>Each State hospital shall ensure that transportation and staffing issues do not preclude individuals from attending dental appointments, and individuals' refusals are addressed to facilitate compliance.</i>	98%			

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		Month	Refused to come to appt	Unit staff procedural problem	Transportation problem			
		11/09	94	1	0			
		12/09	98	6	0			
		1/10	103	5	3			
		2/10	121	1	3			
		3/10	143	6	6			
		4/10	78	7	5			
		<p>A review of the Dental appointment logs verified that the majority of missed appointments were due to refusals, not to transportation or staffing issues.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>						
F.9.e	Each State hospital shall ensure that interdisciplinary teams review, assess, and develop strategies to overcome individuals' refusals to participate in dental appointments.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: See F.9.d.</p> <p>Findings: Using the DMH Dental Services Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample (12 individuals) of individuals scheduled for but refusing to attend dental appointments during the review months (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Each state hospital shall ensure that interdisciplinary teams review, assess, and develop strategies to</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table>				7.	<i>Each state hospital shall ensure that interdisciplinary teams review, assess, and develop strategies to</i>	100%
7.	<i>Each state hospital shall ensure that interdisciplinary teams review, assess, and develop strategies to</i>	100%						

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>overcome individual's refusals to participate in dental appointments</i></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 4% in the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of PSH's data regarding the number of individuals who refused their dental appointments each month during the review period (see F.9.d) indicated that there was a significant discrepancy between that data and the sample (12 individuals) reflected in the table above. The Medical Director reported that the system addressing refusals has not yet been fully implemented, but will include the following process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All medical appointment outcome data (internal and external medical appointments) are to be provided to the Quality Council for review. • A Corrective Action Team (CAT) was developed to address hospital-wide appointment refusals, including dental refusals. The CAT is led by Jim Birks, NC. • The CAT is currently developing strategies to reduce refusals and address barriers to individuals attending their medical appointments. • Each month, the CAT will provide data to the Quality Council to determine if strategies implemented have been successful in reducing refusals. • A High Risk Refusal Protocol was implemented in November 2009. • For High Risk Refusals, the treating psychologist will have one week to complete an evaluation as to the causes and barriers relevant to the refusal and will formulate a plan accordingly. • The audits are being conducted on High Risk refusals only. <p>A review of the records of eight individuals that the facility reported had been designated as high risk for their refusal (CB, DAJ, EMB, LMP, LWS, SF, TD and TMM) found that none of the individuals had been assessed by the a psychologist. Three records contained documentation</p>		<i>overcome individual's refusals to participate in dental appointments</i>	
	<i>overcome individual's refusals to participate in dental appointments</i>				

Section F: Specific Therapeutic and Rehabilitation Services

		<p>that the individual was seen by the dentist (EMB, LWS and TMM); three records had an open focus addressing refusals (CB, LMP and TD); and two records did not have an open focus addressing refusals (DAJ and SF). There was no indication from the documentation contained in the WRPs that any of the nine individuals were deemed high risk for their refusals. These findings do not comport with PSH's data. The facility needs to continue to develop and implement a system addressing this requirement that includes a system to track this specific population.</p> <p>Compliance: Partial.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Continue to develop and implement a system addressing this requirement that includes a system to track this specific population.2. Continue to monitor this requirement.
--	--	--

Section G: Documentation

G. Documentation		
G	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that an individual's records accurately reflect the individual's response to all treatment, rehabilitation and enrichment activities identified in the individual's therapeutic and rehabilitation service plan, including for children and adolescents, their education plan, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. Each State hospital shall develop and implement policies and procedures setting forth clear standards regarding the content and timeliness of progress notes, transfer notes, school progress notes, and discharge notes, including, but not limited to, an expectation that such records include meaningful, accurate, and coherent assessments of the individual's progress relating to treatment plans and treatment goals, and that clinically relevant information remains readily accessible.</p>	<p>Summary of Progress: Please refer to Sections D, E, F and H for judgments on the progress PSH has made towards aligning documentation practices with the requirements of the EP.</p>

Section H: Restraints, Seclusion, and PRN and Stat Medication

H. Restraints, Seclusion, and PRN and Stat Medication		
H		<p>Summary of Progress:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PSH has attained substantial compliance with the requirements of this Section. 2. PSH continues to be committed to decreasing the use of restraint and seclusion and has made significant progress in this area since the last review. 3. PSH has made significant progress regarding the documentation requirements for seclusion and restraint.
H	Each State hospital shall ensure that restraints, seclusion, psychiatric PRN medications, and Stat medications are used consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. George Christison, MD, Acting Medical Director 2. Harry Oreol, Program Director 3. Lidia Lau, RN, Assistant Coordinator Nursing Services <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PSH's progress report and data 2. PSH training rosters 3. Medical records of the following 27 individuals: AH, ALA, DB, DLG, DLR, FJ, GDM, GH, JEP, JGC, JH, JS, KA, KDP, LMM, LS, MF, MSB, MW, NM, PEG, RPJ, SA, SWK, TMM, TMO and VF
H.1	Each State hospital shall revise, as appropriate, and implement policies and procedures regarding the use of seclusion, restraints, psychiatric PRN medications, and Stat Medications consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. In particular, the policies and procedures shall expressly prohibit the use of prone restraints, prone containment and prone transportation and	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: No incidents of prone restraint, containment or transportation were found during this review.</p>

Section H: Restraints, Seclusion, and PRN and Stat Medication

	<p>shall list the types of restraints that are acceptable for use.</p>	<p>Other findings: A review of Restraint/Seclusion data from the initial review period of November 2006 to April 2007 to the current review period indicated PSH's overall positive efforts regarding the use of these restrictive measures as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean duration hour of restraint decreased from 6.51 to 3.25 (50% reduction); • Mean duration hours of seclusion decreased from 3.71 to 1.96 (47% reduction); • Mean monthly hours of restraint decreased from 485.22 to 128.85 (73% reduction); • Mean monthly hours of seclusion decreased from 4.33 to 0.98 (77% reduction); • Mean number of restraint events decreased from 74.50 to 39.67 (47% reduction); and • Mean number of seclusion events decreased from 1.17 to 0.50 (57% reduction). <p>During this time, the average daily census increased by 1% from 1500 to 1513, so the reductions noted above are not the result of changes in the overall census.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
<p>H.2</p>	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that restraints and seclusion:</p>	<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>

Section H: Restraints, Seclusion, and PRN and Stat Medication

<p>H.2.a</p>	<p>are used in a documented manner and only when individuals pose an imminent danger to self or others and after a hierarchy of less restrictive measures has been considered in a clinically justifiable manner or exhausted;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: PSH initiated the new DMH Physician Order for Behavioral Seclusion or Restraint Form and DMH Observation Record for Behavioral Seclusion or Restraint Form during the review period.</p> <p>Using the DMH Seclusion/Restraint Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample (seven episodes) of initial seclusion orders each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Seclusion is used in a documented manner.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Seclusion is used only when the individual posed an imminent danger to self or others.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Seclusion is used after a hierarchy of less-restrictive measures has been considered in a clinically justifiable manner or exhausted.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p>A review of three episodes of seclusion for two individuals (AH and MSB) found that the documentation for all episodes supported the decision to place the individual in seclusion. Less restrictive alternatives attempted were documented in all episodes and orders that included specific behaviors were found in all episodes.</p> <p>Using the DMH Seclusion/Restraint Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample (a total of 238 episodes) of initial restraint orders each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p>	1.	<i>Seclusion is used in a documented manner.</i>	100%	2.	<i>Seclusion is used only when the individual posed an imminent danger to self or others.</i>	100%	3.	<i>Seclusion is used after a hierarchy of less-restrictive measures has been considered in a clinically justifiable manner or exhausted.</i>	100%
1.	<i>Seclusion is used in a documented manner.</i>	100%									
2.	<i>Seclusion is used only when the individual posed an imminent danger to self or others.</i>	100%									
3.	<i>Seclusion is used after a hierarchy of less-restrictive measures has been considered in a clinically justifiable manner or exhausted.</i>	100%									

Section H: Restraints, Seclusion, and PRN and Stat Medication

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td><i>Restraint is used in a documented manner.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td><i>Restraint is used only when the individual posed an imminent danger to self or others.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td><i>Restraint is used after a hierarchy of less-restrictive measures has been considered in a clinically justifiable manner or exhausted.</i></td> <td>99%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p>A review of 32 episodes of restraint for 25 individuals (ALA, DB, DLG, DLR, FJ, GDM, GH, JEP, JGC, JH, JS, KA, KDP, LMM, LS, MF, MW, NM, PEG, RPJ, SA, SWK, TMM, TMO and VF) found that the documentation for all episodes supported the decision to place the individual in restraint. Less restrictive alternatives attempted were documented in all episodes and orders that included specific behaviors were found in all episodes.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>	1.	<i>Restraint is used in a documented manner.</i>	98%	2.	<i>Restraint is used only when the individual posed an imminent danger to self or others.</i>	100%	3.	<i>Restraint is used after a hierarchy of less-restrictive measures has been considered in a clinically justifiable manner or exhausted.</i>	99%
1.	<i>Restraint is used in a documented manner.</i>	98%									
2.	<i>Restraint is used only when the individual posed an imminent danger to self or others.</i>	100%									
3.	<i>Restraint is used after a hierarchy of less-restrictive measures has been considered in a clinically justifiable manner or exhausted.</i>	99%									
H.2.b	are not used in the absence of, or as an alternative to, active treatment, as punishment, or for the convenience of staff;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to implement strategies to increase compliance with this requirement. • Continue to monitor this requirement. <p>Findings:</p> <p>Using the DMH Seclusion/Restraint Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of initial seclusion orders each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p>									

Section H: Restraints, Seclusion, and PRN and Stat Medication

		4.	<i>Seclusion is not used in the absence of, or as an alternative to, active treatment.</i>	95%
		5.	<i>The individual has been in seclusion and the staff did NOT [use seclusion in an abusive manner, keep the individual in seclusion even when the individual was calm, use seclusion in a manner to show a power differential that exists between staff and the individual, or use seclusion as coercion].</i>	100%
		6.	<i>Staff used and documented the use of information in the Seclusion and Restraint Preference and Family Notification Form (PSH 1185) regarding the individual's preferences in gaining control of behavior as provided by the individual, or there is clinical justification as to why they were not used.</i>	100%
	<p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for items 1 and 2; the compliance rate for item 3 improved from 40%.</p> <p>A review of three episodes of seclusion for two individuals (AH and MSB) found documentation in both WRPs addressing behaviors, objectives and interventions. Documentation in all episodes indicated that the individual was released when calm.</p> <p>Using the DMH Seclusion/Restraint Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of initial restraint orders each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p>	4.	<i>Restraint is not used in the absence of, or as an alternative to, active treatment.</i>	94%
		5.	<i>The individual has been in restraint and the staff did NOT [use restraint in an abusive manner, keep the individual in restraint even when the individual was</i>	97%

Section H: Restraints, Seclusion, and PRN and Stat Medication

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>calm, use restraint in a manner to show a power differential that exists between staff and the individual, or use restraint as coercion].</i></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td><i>Staff used and documented the use of information in the Seclusion and Restraint Preference and Family Notification Form (PSH 1185) regarding the individual's preferences in gaining control of behavior as provided by the individual, or there is clinical justification as to why they were not used.</i></td> <td>98%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period for all items.</p> <p>A review of 32 episodes of restraint for 25 individuals (ALA, DB, DLG, DLR, FJ, GDM, GH, JEP, JGC, JH, JS, KA, KDP, LMM, LS, MF, MW, NM, PEG, RPJ, SA, SWK, TMM, TMO and VF) found documentation in all WRPs addressing behaviors, objectives and interventions. Documentation in 31 episodes indicated that the individual was released when calm</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>		<i>calm, use restraint in a manner to show a power differential that exists between staff and the individual, or use restraint as coercion].</i>		6.	<i>Staff used and documented the use of information in the Seclusion and Restraint Preference and Family Notification Form (PSH 1185) regarding the individual's preferences in gaining control of behavior as provided by the individual, or there is clinical justification as to why they were not used.</i>	98%
	<i>calm, use restraint in a manner to show a power differential that exists between staff and the individual, or use restraint as coercion].</i>							
6.	<i>Staff used and documented the use of information in the Seclusion and Restraint Preference and Family Notification Form (PSH 1185) regarding the individual's preferences in gaining control of behavior as provided by the individual, or there is clinical justification as to why they were not used.</i>	98%						
H.2.c	are not used as part of a behavioral intervention; and	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: See F.2.c.iv.</p> <p>Findings: See F.2.c.iv.</p> <p>Current recommendations: See F.2.c.iv.</p>						

Section H: Restraints, Seclusion, and PRN and Stat Medication

<p>H.2.d</p>	<p>are terminated as soon as the individual is no longer an imminent danger to self or others.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to implement strategies to increase compliance with this requirement. • Continue to monitor this requirement. <p>Findings: Using the DMH Seclusion/Restraint Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of episodes of seclusion each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Seclusion is terminated as soon as the individual is no longer an imminent danger to self or others.</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in compliance from 89% in the previous review period.</p> <p>See H.2.b for review findings.</p> <p>Using the DMH Seclusion/Restraint Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of episodes of restraint each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td><i>Restraint is terminated as soon as the individual is no longer an imminent danger to self or others.</i></td> <td>95%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>See H.2.b for review findings.</p>	7.	<i>Seclusion is terminated as soon as the individual is no longer an imminent danger to self or others.</i>	100%	7.	<i>Restraint is terminated as soon as the individual is no longer an imminent danger to self or others.</i>	95%
7.	<i>Seclusion is terminated as soon as the individual is no longer an imminent danger to self or others.</i>	100%						
7.	<i>Restraint is terminated as soon as the individual is no longer an imminent danger to self or others.</i>	95%						

Section H: Restraints, Seclusion, and PRN and Stat Medication

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>						
<p>H.3</p>	<p>Each State hospital shall comply with 42 C.F.R. § 483.360(f), requiring assessments by a physician or licensed clinical professional of any individual placed in seclusion or restraints within one hour. Each State hospital shall also ensure that any individual placed in seclusion or restraints is continuously monitored by a staff person who has successfully completed competency-based training on the administration of seclusion and restraints.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to implement strategies to increase compliance with this requirement. • Continue to monitor this requirement. <p>Findings: Using the DMH Seclusion/Restraint Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of initial seclusion orders each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Each State Hospital shall comply with 42 C.F.R., 483.360(f) requiring assessments by a physician or licensed clinical professional of any individual placed in seclusion within one hour.</i></td> <td>95%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of three episodes of seclusion for two individuals (AH and MSB) found that the RN conducted a timely assessment in all episodes and that the individual was timely seen by a psychiatrist in all episodes.</p> <p>Using the DMH Seclusion/Restraint Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 100% sample of initial restraint orders each month during the review period (November 2009-April 2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>8.</td> <td><i>Each State Hospital shall comply with 42 C.F.R., 483.360(f) requiring assessments by a physician or</i></td> <td>93%</td> </tr> </table>	8.	<i>Each State Hospital shall comply with 42 C.F.R., 483.360(f) requiring assessments by a physician or licensed clinical professional of any individual placed in seclusion within one hour.</i>	95%	8.	<i>Each State Hospital shall comply with 42 C.F.R., 483.360(f) requiring assessments by a physician or</i>	93%
8.	<i>Each State Hospital shall comply with 42 C.F.R., 483.360(f) requiring assessments by a physician or licensed clinical professional of any individual placed in seclusion within one hour.</i>	95%						
8.	<i>Each State Hospital shall comply with 42 C.F.R., 483.360(f) requiring assessments by a physician or</i>	93%						

Section H: Restraints, Seclusion, and PRN and Stat Medication

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>licensed clinical professional of any individual placed in restraint within one hour.</i></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated that PSH has maintained a compliance rate of at least 90% from the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of 32 episodes of restraint for 25 individuals (ALA, DB, DLG, DLR, FJ, GDM, GH, JEP, JGC, JH, JS, KA, KDP, LMM, LS, MF, MW, NM, PEG, RPJ, SA, SWK, TMM, TMO and VF) found that the RN conducted a timely assessment in 30 episodes and that the individual was timely seen by a psychiatrist in 31 episodes.</p> <p>PSH's training rosters verified that annual staff training for TSI (Therapeutic Strategies and Interventions) was at 90% compliance.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>		<i>licensed clinical professional of any individual placed in restraint within one hour.</i>	
	<i>licensed clinical professional of any individual placed in restraint within one hour.</i>				
H.4	Each State hospital shall ensure the accuracy of data regarding the use of restraints, seclusion, psychiatric PRN medications, or Stat medications.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop and implement a system to ensure accuracy of seclusion and restraint data if the WaRMSS system continues to be inaccurate. • Continue to monitor this requirement. <p>Findings: The data entry for seclusion and restraint episodes went from a decentralized process to a centralized process in January 2010. PSH has one part-time and two full-time staff members who are responsible for inputting the seclusion and restraint data into WaRMSS. Monday</p>			

Section H: Restraints, Seclusion, and PRN and Stat Medication

		<p>through Friday, the Data Collection Monitoring Coordinator tracks each seclusion and restraint episode and logs it into a spreadsheet. That information is used to perform Risk Management Committee reviews, develop trigger data and perform auditing functions. Accuracy has been 92% to 97%.</p> <p>Since April 2009, PSH continues to use the MedSelect system throughout the facility. This system captures PRN and Stat data by unit, individual, time and user. These data are compared to the HSS reports and CIS databases to ensure accuracy. A review of PRN/Stat medications and seclusion and restraint episodes found no incidents that were not included in the PSH databases.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
H.5	<p>Each State hospital shall revise, as appropriate, and implement policies and procedures to require the review within three business days of individuals' therapeutic and rehabilitation service plans for any individuals placed in seclusion or restraints more than three times in any four-week period, and modification of therapeutic and rehabilitation service plans, as appropriate.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendations 1 and 2, December 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to implement strategies to increase compliance with this requirement. • Continue to monitor this requirement. <p>Findings: There have been no incidents of seclusion four or more times in a four-week period during this review period.</p> <p>Using the DMH Seclusion/Restraint Audit, PSH assessed its compliance based on a 93% sample of individuals who were in restraint more than three times in 30 days during the review period (November 2009-April</p>

Section H: Restraints, Seclusion, and PRN and Stat Medication

		<p>2010):</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>9.</td> <td><i>Required to review within three business days of individuals' therapeutic and rehabilitation service plans for any individuals placed in restraint more than three times in any four-week period, and modification of therapeutic and rehabilitation service plans, as appropriate</i></td> <td>93%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9.a</td> <td><i>The review was held within three business days for any individual who had four or more episodes of restraint within the last 30 days</i></td> <td>78%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9.b</td> <td><i>The Present Status in the Case Formulation section of the WRP documented that a review of the incident(s) was done</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9.c</td> <td><i>If the team decided to revise the WRP, a statement as to what part of the WRP was revised, OR if the team decided not to revise the WRP, a brief clinical justification as to why, was documented in the Present Status in the Case Formulation Section of the WRP</i></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Comparative data indicated improvement in the compliance rate for the main indicator from 77% in the previous review period.</p> <p>A review of the records of six individuals who were in restraint more than three times in 30 days during the review period (ALA, LS, MW, PEG, RPJ and SA) found that all WRPs included documentation within three business days.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>	9.	<i>Required to review within three business days of individuals' therapeutic and rehabilitation service plans for any individuals placed in restraint more than three times in any four-week period, and modification of therapeutic and rehabilitation service plans, as appropriate</i>	93%	9.a	<i>The review was held within three business days for any individual who had four or more episodes of restraint within the last 30 days</i>	78%	9.b	<i>The Present Status in the Case Formulation section of the WRP documented that a review of the incident(s) was done</i>	100%	9.c	<i>If the team decided to revise the WRP, a statement as to what part of the WRP was revised, OR if the team decided not to revise the WRP, a brief clinical justification as to why, was documented in the Present Status in the Case Formulation Section of the WRP</i>	100%
9.	<i>Required to review within three business days of individuals' therapeutic and rehabilitation service plans for any individuals placed in restraint more than three times in any four-week period, and modification of therapeutic and rehabilitation service plans, as appropriate</i>	93%												
9.a	<i>The review was held within three business days for any individual who had four or more episodes of restraint within the last 30 days</i>	78%												
9.b	<i>The Present Status in the Case Formulation section of the WRP documented that a review of the incident(s) was done</i>	100%												
9.c	<i>If the team decided to revise the WRP, a statement as to what part of the WRP was revised, OR if the team decided not to revise the WRP, a brief clinical justification as to why, was documented in the Present Status in the Case Formulation Section of the WRP</i>	100%												

Section H: Restraints, Seclusion, and PRN and Stat Medication

		<p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
H.6	Each State hospital shall develop and implement policies and procedures consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care governing the use of psychiatric PRN medication and Stat medication, requiring that:	<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>
H.6.a	such medications are used in a manner that is clinically justified and are not used as a substitute for adequate treatment of the underlying cause of the individual's distress.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: See F.1.b.</p> <p>Findings: See F.1.b.</p> <p>Current recommendations: See F.1.b.</p>
H.6.b	PRN medications, other than for analgesia, are prescribed for specified and individualized behaviors.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: See F.1.b.</p> <p>Findings: See F.1.b.</p> <p>Current recommendations: See F.1.b.</p>
H.6.c	PRN medications are appropriately time limited.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p>

Section H: Restraints, Seclusion, and PRN and Stat Medication

		<p>Recommendation, December 2009: See F.1.b.</p> <p>Findings: See F.1.b.</p> <p>Current recommendations: See F.1.b.</p>
H.6.d	nursing staff assess the individual within one hour of the administration of the psychiatric PRN medication and Stat medication and documents the individual's response.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: See F.3.a.iii.</p> <p>Findings: See F.3.a.iii.</p> <p>Current recommendations: See F.3.a.iii.</p>
H.6.e	A psychiatrist conducts a face-to-face assessment of the individual within 24 hours of the administration of a Stat medication. The assessment shall address reason for Stat administration, individual's response, and, as appropriate, adjustment of current treatment and/or diagnosis.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Same as in D.1.f, F.1.b and H.6.a.</p> <p>Findings: Same as in D.1.f, F.1.b and H.6.a</p> <p>Current recommendations: Same as in D.1.f, F.1.b and H.6.a.</p>
H.7	Each State hospital shall ensure that all staff whose responsibilities include the implementation	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p>

Section H: Restraints, Seclusion, and PRN and Stat Medication

	or assessment of seclusion, restraints, psychiatric PRN medications, or Stat medications successfully complete competency-based training regarding implementation of all such policies and the use of less restrictive interventions.	<p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: See F.3.h.i and H.3.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
H.8	Each State hospital shall:	<p>Compliance: Not applicable.</p>
H.8.a	develop and implement a plan to reduce the use of side rails as restraints in a systematic and gradual way to ensure individuals' safety; and	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p> <p>Findings: PSH had no use of side rails during the review period.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to monitor this requirement.</p>
H.8.b	ensure that, as to individuals who need side rails, their therapeutic and rehabilitation service plans expressly address the use of side rails, including identification of the medical symptoms that warrant the use of side rails, methods to address the underlying causes of such medical symptoms,	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: See H.8.a.</p>

Section H: Restraints, Seclusion, and PRN and Stat Medication

	and strategies to reduce the use of side rails, if appropriate.	Findings: See H.8.a. Current recommendations: See H.8.a.
--	---	---

Section I: Protection from Harm

I. Protection from Harm		
I	<p>Each State hospital shall provide the individuals it serves with a safe and humane environment and ensure that these individuals are protected from harm.</p>	<p>Summary of Progress:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The facility has been successful in providing annual training for staff members, ensuring that non-clinical Mall providers complete the training curriculum and offering individuals an annual review of their rights and the opportunity to sign the rights acknowledgement form. 2. PSH is tracking recommendations from the review of incidents and from the review of deaths through to implementation. 3. The facility acknowledged the errors pointed out in the investigations reviewed and adopted a strategy to immediately rectify the deficient practice through additional in-service training. 4. PSH has adopted a system for ensuring that a completed SIR follows each incident reported. On the units, staff enter the complete first page of the SIR in WaRMSS and in so doing the SIR is assigned a number. Unit staff fax the completed hard-copy SIR to Standards Compliance, where staff match the completed form with the face sheet. 5. The facility's procedure for making decisions about removing staff members named in allegations of A/N/E appears to function well and variations from this procedure are recognized and dealt with. 6. In an improvement from earlier reviews, the facility has addressed with counseling staff members' failure to report allegations of A/N/E in the manner required by policy. 7. To enhance the performance of the Program Review Committees and to reduce the number of referrals to the ETRC, the facility's new Acting Assistant Medical Director will be providing training to all Program Directors. 8. Most of the reviews of the WRPTs' responses to incidents, triggers, high risk status and Risk Management committees' recommendations yielded positive findings. WRPs referenced incidents, triggers, and high risk status, and a focus of treatment was directed at the behavior or condition. With only one exception, recommendations made by the ETRC were implemented, in process, or a rationale was provided for why

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>implementation was no longer required.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">9. The monthly environmental reviews occurred as planned and were reviewed by the Health and Safety Officer. All units responded to deficiencies noted in Health and Safety inspections with a plan of corrective action.10. The facility continues to make environmental modifications to reduce suicide hazards as resources are available. The refurbishing of the bathrooms continues. The first shipment of new wardrobes is due to arrive at the facility soon. These wardrobes have no hinges and have a slanted top—features specifically designed to improve safety.11. In response to findings that the implementation of the process for accounting for cords and adapters was flawed, the facility immediately corrected the situation and determined that the improved system would be implemented across the facility.
--	--	--

Section I: Protection from Harm

1. Incident Management		
I.1	Each State hospital shall develop and implement across all settings, including school settings, an integrated incident management system that is consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. B. Sherer, Hospital Administrator 2. G. Richardson, Standards Compliance Director 3. J. Chencharick, Supervising Special Investigator, Acting 4. J. D'Braustein, Standards Compliance 5. J. Malancharuvil, PhD, Clinical Administrator <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 14 investigation reports 2. IRC minutes and task tracking form 3. Selected personnel information related to 11 staff members 4. Signed notification of rights forms for 17 individuals 5. Nine Headquarters Briefs 6. Aggression and A/N/E data provided by the facility 7. HPD listing of A/N/E incidents by victim and by named staff member 8. All materials related to the deaths of two individuals
I.1.a	Each State hospital shall review, revise, as appropriate, and implement incident management policies, procedures and practices that are consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. Such policies, procedures and practices shall require:	<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>
I.1.a.i	that each State hospital not tolerate abuse or neglect of individuals and that staff are required to report abuse or neglect of individuals;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Follow DMH guidelines for addressing staff members' failure to report abuse allegations.</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>Findings: Please see I.1.a.v for two examples of the facility's attention to the failure to report identified in one of the investigations reviewed.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to apply progressive discipline to staff members who fail to report allegations of A/N/E according to DMH policy.</p>
I.1.a.ii	<p>identification of the categories and definitions of incidents to be reported, and investigated; immediate reporting by staff to supervisory personnel and each State hospital's executive director (or that official's designee) of serious incidents, including but not limited to, death, abuse, neglect, and serious injury, using standardized reporting across all settings, including school settings;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: PSH has recently determined that allegations of verbal abuse will be investigated by the Hospital Police. The completed investigation report will be forwarded to the Supervising Special Investigator for review and approval. These investigations will continue to be reviewed by the Incident Review Committee.</p> <p>Other findings: The SIR incident definitions are used in making determinations.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Ensure that verbal abuse investigations are carefully reviewed and any that do not meet standards are redone.</p>
I.1.a.iii	<p>mechanisms to ensure that, when serious incidents such as allegations of abuse, neglect, and/or serious injury occur, staff take immediate and appropriate action to protect the individuals involved, including removing alleged perpetrators from direct</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice of monitoring the implementation of procedures seeking the approval of the Clinical Director for decisions not to reassign a named staff member.</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

	<p>contact with the involved individuals pending the outcome of the facility's investigation;</p>	<p>Findings: Facility policy dictates that the decision to not remove the named staff member must be approved by the Clinical Administrator. The investigation reports reviewed consistently addressed the removal of or decision not to remove the named staff member. For example, PG shouted he was having a heart attack because he had been assaulted by a staff member ten minutes earlier. PG was immediately assessed by the nurse (no abnormal findings) and refused examination by the physician. The investigation report notes that the named staff person was not removed "with the approval of the Clinical Administrator." In contrast, the investigation of the alleged failure of a staff member to protect an individual from an assault by a peer during a Mall group found that the named staff member was not removed. This was identified as a problem because the Clinical Administrator had not been consulted.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice or apply DMH guidelines for removing named staff, should they prescribe a different procedure.</p>
<p>I.1.a.iv</p>	<p>adequate competency-based training for all staff on recognizing and reporting potential signs and symptoms of abuse or neglect, including the precursors that may lead to abuse;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: As presented in the table below, nine of the 11 staff members whose A/N training records were reviewed had participated in Abuse/Neglect training within the past year.</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Staff member*</th> <th colspan="4">Date of:</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Hire</th> <th>Background clearance</th> <th>Signing of Mandatory Reporter</th> <th>Most recent A/N training</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>_F</td> <td>8/30/96</td> <td>7/16/96</td> <td>8/30/96</td> <td>1/27/09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_P</td> <td>2/1/99</td> <td>12/17/98</td> <td>2/1/99</td> <td>4/7/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_S</td> <td>3/2/99</td> <td>1/15/99</td> <td>3/2/99</td> <td>3/18/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_D</td> <td>1/3/00</td> <td>10/27/99</td> <td>1/3/00</td> <td>3/15/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_A</td> <td>2/1/00</td> <td>12/23/99</td> <td>2/1/00</td> <td>4/2/09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_C</td> <td>7/3/00</td> <td>5/19/00</td> <td>7/3/00</td> <td>8/27/09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_D</td> <td>6/10/04</td> <td>6/23/04</td> <td>9/17/04</td> <td>1/7/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_S</td> <td>5/2/06</td> <td>3/18/06</td> <td>5/2/06</td> <td>1/28/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_W</td> <td>3/3/08</td> <td>3/11/08</td> <td>11/17/08</td> <td>1/28/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_K</td> <td>7/31/08</td> <td>6/24/08</td> <td>7/31/08</td> <td>4/5/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_B</td> <td>2/1/10</td> <td>12/15/09</td> <td>2/1/10</td> <td>6/2/10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*Only last initials are provided to protect confidentiality.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>	Staff member*	Date of:				Hire	Background clearance	Signing of Mandatory Reporter	Most recent A/N training	_F	8/30/96	7/16/96	8/30/96	1/27/09	_P	2/1/99	12/17/98	2/1/99	4/7/10	_S	3/2/99	1/15/99	3/2/99	3/18/10	_D	1/3/00	10/27/99	1/3/00	3/15/10	_A	2/1/00	12/23/99	2/1/00	4/2/09	_C	7/3/00	5/19/00	7/3/00	8/27/09	_D	6/10/04	6/23/04	9/17/04	1/7/10	_S	5/2/06	3/18/06	5/2/06	1/28/10	_W	3/3/08	3/11/08	11/17/08	1/28/10	_K	7/31/08	6/24/08	7/31/08	4/5/10	_B	2/1/10	12/15/09	2/1/10	6/2/10
Staff member*	Date of:																																																																	
	Hire	Background clearance	Signing of Mandatory Reporter	Most recent A/N training																																																														
_F	8/30/96	7/16/96	8/30/96	1/27/09																																																														
_P	2/1/99	12/17/98	2/1/99	4/7/10																																																														
_S	3/2/99	1/15/99	3/2/99	3/18/10																																																														
_D	1/3/00	10/27/99	1/3/00	3/15/10																																																														
_A	2/1/00	12/23/99	2/1/00	4/2/09																																																														
_C	7/3/00	5/19/00	7/3/00	8/27/09																																																														
_D	6/10/04	6/23/04	9/17/04	1/7/10																																																														
_S	5/2/06	3/18/06	5/2/06	1/28/10																																																														
_W	3/3/08	3/11/08	11/17/08	1/28/10																																																														
_K	7/31/08	6/24/08	7/31/08	4/5/10																																																														
_B	2/1/10	12/15/09	2/1/10	6/2/10																																																														
I.1.a.v	<p>notification of all staff when commencing employment and adequate training thereafter of their obligation to report abuse or neglect to each State hospital and State officials. All staff persons who are mandatory reporters of abuse or neglect shall sign a statement that shall be kept with their personnel records evidencing their recognition of their reporting obligations. Each State hospital shall not tolerate any mandatory reporter's failure to report abuse or neglect;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation 1, December 2009: Follow AD 15.13 regarding corrective and disciplinary action for failure to report.</p> <p>Findings: The investigation of alleged physical abuse of PG was determined not sustained. The named staff member, however, was found in violation of the duty to report. A letter of counseling was placed in his personnel file for this failure. In another investigation, a staff member was found to have been negligent for failing to complete an incident report. This staff member</p>																																																																

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>was verbally counseled by the supervisor and a letter of instruction was placed in the staff member's personnel file.</p> <p>Recommendation 2, December 2009: Ensure that staff members sign the Mandatory Reporter acknowledgement form and understand the responsibilities associated with it before they begin working in contact with individuals.</p> <p>Findings: As shown in the table in I.1.a.iv, one staff member was considerably late in attending annual training, having last attended training in January 2009.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice including monitoring for training attendance at the time of annual evaluation to ensure compliance with the facility's expectation for annual training.</p>								
I.1.a.vi	<p>mechanisms to inform individuals and their conservators how to identify and report suspected abuse or neglect;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: As shown below, only one of the individuals sampled was seriously overdue in having been afforded the opportunity to review and sign the rights statement. Staff could not locate the signed statement in the record of a second individual.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Individual</th> <th>Date of most recent signing</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>EH</td> <td>Cannot locate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AD</td> <td>11/22/07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RG</td> <td>5/5/09</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Individual	Date of most recent signing	EH	Cannot locate	AD	11/22/07	RG	5/5/09
Individual	Date of most recent signing									
EH	Cannot locate									
AD	11/22/07									
RG	5/5/09									

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<table border="1"> <tr><td>GM</td><td>11/6/09</td></tr> <tr><td>BK</td><td>12/2/09</td></tr> <tr><td>DM</td><td>12/2/09</td></tr> <tr><td>CN</td><td>12/3/09</td></tr> <tr><td>CR</td><td>12/3/09</td></tr> <tr><td>JS</td><td>12/3/09</td></tr> <tr><td>SF</td><td>12/5/09 refused</td></tr> <tr><td>SE</td><td>1/12/10</td></tr> <tr><td>VV</td><td>1/22/10</td></tr> <tr><td>TS</td><td>5/24/10</td></tr> <tr><td>JF</td><td>5/26/10</td></tr> <tr><td>AF</td><td>6/4/10</td></tr> <tr><td>JF</td><td>6/7/10</td></tr> <tr><td>KA</td><td>6/9/10</td></tr> </table>	GM	11/6/09	BK	12/2/09	DM	12/2/09	CN	12/3/09	CR	12/3/09	JS	12/3/09	SF	12/5/09 refused	SE	1/12/10	VV	1/22/10	TS	5/24/10	JF	5/26/10	AF	6/4/10	JF	6/7/10	KA	6/9/10	
GM	11/6/09																														
BK	12/2/09																														
DM	12/2/09																														
CN	12/3/09																														
CR	12/3/09																														
JS	12/3/09																														
SF	12/5/09 refused																														
SE	1/12/10																														
VV	1/22/10																														
TS	5/24/10																														
JF	5/26/10																														
AF	6/4/10																														
JF	6/7/10																														
KA	6/9/10																														
I.1.a. vii	posting in each living unit and day program site a brief and easily understood statement of individuals' rights, including information about how to pursue such rights and how to report violations of such rights;	<p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice, including internal monitoring.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: All units visited had a poster in a common area stating the rights of individuals and providing the name and number of the Patients Rights Advocate. In addition, the name and telephone number of the Clinical Administrator is posted and individuals are free to call his office with their concerns.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>																												

Section I: Protection from Harm

<p>I.1.a. viii</p>	<p>procedures for referring, as appropriate, allegations of abuse or neglect to law enforcement; and</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: DMH should investigate the circumstances described [in this cell in the previous report], determine the accuracy and provide guidance to investigators on how to proceed if it appears that an individual has committed a crime.</p> <p>Findings: Three investigations reviewed demonstrate the facility's awareness of the responsibility to report appropriate cases to law enforcement. The investigation of the alleged sexual abuse of CH (6/20/09) determined the allegation to be unsubstantiated. The investigation concluded that the case did not meet the criteria for filing with the San Bernardino District Attorney.</p> <p>The incident of aggression that resulted in a portion of KD's ear being bitten off by a peer (12/3/09) was forwarded to the District Attorney and accepted. The peer was charged with battery with serious injury and mayhem—unlawfully and maliciously depriving a human being of a body part. In the investigation of the serious injury to a staff member on 3/24/10, the individual (TM) was arrested and sent to West Valley Detention Center. When she returned to PSH, she was placed on a different unit, and staff were alerted to maintain vigilance.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
<p>I.1.a.ix</p>	<p>mechanisms to ensure that any staff person, individual, family member or visitor who in good faith reports an allegation of abuse or neglect is not subject to retaliatory action, including but not limited to reprimands,</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

	<p>discipline, harassment, threats or censure, except for appropriate counseling, reprimands or discipline because of an employee's failure to report an incident in an appropriate or timely manner.</p>	<p>Findings: The issue of retaliation or fear of retaliation for the reporting of incidents did not arise in the investigations reviewed.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Maintain vigilance in questioning individuals about retaliation for reporting incidents, particularly when an individual withdraws an allegation.</p>
<p>I.1.b</p>	<p>Each State hospital shall review, revise, as appropriate, and implement policies and procedures to ensure the timely and thorough performance of investigations, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. Such policies and procedures shall:</p>	<p>Compliance: Partial; additional work is needed to complete investigations within the timeframe set by the EP, conduct timely interviews and match determinations with the SIR definition.</p>
<p>I.1.b.i</p>	<p>require investigations of all deaths, as well as allegations of abuse, neglect, serious injury, and theft. The investigations shall be conducted by qualified investigator(s) who have no reporting obligations to the program or elements of the facility associated with the allegation and have expertise in conducting investigations and working with persons with mental disorders;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to track all MIRC recommendations through to completion.</p> <p>Findings: Two individuals died within the review period: TH died in the San Diego Central Jail on 1/17/10, having been transferred there 10 days earlier and MB completed suicide by hanging on the morning of 1/4/10 at PSH.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The initial MIRC review for TH was conducted on 2/2/10, followed by the Independent External Review on 2/21/10. The final MIRC (4/13/10) traced the recommendations from these reviews and determined that in-service training for medical staff on the use of the High Concern Medical Refusal Protocol had been completed and will be ongoing. All future MIRC Medical Death Summaries will address all issue areas required by SO 205 and will be signed and dated. Additional death review recommendations were also addressed. • The initial MIRC review of the death of MB occurred on 1/13/10 and a

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>psychological autopsy was conducted on 1/18/10. The Independent External Review followed on 2/7/10. The final MIRC review occurred on 4/13/10. The MIRC reviews, the External Review and the OSI investigation raised questions regarding where/how did MB obtain the electrical cord and how did he cut it. Questions related to how MB's refusal of medication beginning in November 2009 was handled and the need for a physician's note when significant changes in medication are made also surfaced. The final MIRC review documented that Medical Staff Bylaws currently address the requirement for a physician's note when medications are changed, further investigation has not shed light on how MB obtained and trimmed the electrical cord, and the "new involuntary medication panel and process" will address decisions not to continue involuntary medication after the order has expired.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to track all MIRC recommendations through to completion.</p>
I.1.b.ii	<p>ensure that only the State Hospital staff who have successfully completed competency-based training on the conduct of investigations be allowed to conduct investigations of allegations of petty theft and all other unusual incidents;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Special Investigators and hospital police investigate incidents.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
I.1.b.iii	<p>investigations required by paragraph I.1.b.i, (above) provide for the safeguarding of evidence;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>Findings: In several investigations reviewed, evidence was collected and secured. Specifically, in the investigation of the assault of RF by peers, the HPD officer collected blood-stained slippers and pajamas and took photos of the victim and the scene. Photos of the room in which the activity was reported to have occurred were taken and secured in the investigation of the allegation of sexual abuse of TM.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
I.1.b.iv	<p>investigations required by paragraph I.1.b.i, (above) require the development and implementation of standardized procedures and protocols for the conduct of investigations that are consistent with generally accepted professional standards. Such procedures and protocols shall require that:</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation 1, December 2009: Ensure that all investigators use the preponderance of evidence standard in making determination.</p> <p>Findings: The facility reports that investigators have been reminded that preponderance is the evidence level to be used in making determinations. Many investigation reports reviewed specifically noted that this standard was being applied in making determinations. See also I.1.b.iv.3(viii).</p> <p>Recommendation 2, December 2009: Request that preliminary investigators be mindful of the need to interview the named staff member as expeditiously as possible.</p> <p>Findings: This issue did not arise during this review.</p> <p>Other findings: The features of investigations that did not meet practice standards are identified in the cells below.</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>Current recommendation: Maintain a critical review of the accuracy and completeness of investigations as a protection to both individuals and staff members.</p>																																																
<p>I.1.b. iv.1</p>	<p>investigations commence within 24 hours or sooner, if necessary, of the incident being reported</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue efforts to pass incidents on to OSI in as timely manner as possible so that the investigations can begin.</p> <p>Findings: As shown in the table below, all but one of the allegations of A/N/E reviewed were received in the OSI within three days of being reported.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Allegation Type</th> <th>Date reported</th> <th>Date to OSI</th> <th>Date closed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Sexual Abuse</td> <td>11/23/09</td> <td>11/24/09</td> <td>5/17/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Abuse and Neglect</td> <td>3/16/10</td> <td>3/16/10</td> <td>4/14/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rape</td> <td>DOI: 3/21/10</td> <td>3/24/10</td> <td>4/12/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Assault</td> <td>DOI: 1/1/10</td> <td>HP investigation</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Physical and Verbal Abuse</td> <td>DOI: 12/15/09</td> <td>12/16/09</td> <td>1/21/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Neglect</td> <td>DOI: 1/5/10</td> <td>1/7/10</td> <td>4/6/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Failure to Report/Protect</td> <td>12/14/09</td> <td>1/5/10</td> <td>2/23/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rape/Sexual Abuse</td> <td>11/22/09</td> <td>11/25/09</td> <td>12/19/09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Physical Abuse</td> <td>DOI: 12/18/09</td> <td>12/18/09</td> <td>3/8/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Physical Abuse and Medical Neglect</td> <td>DOI: 12/15/09</td> <td>12/18/09</td> <td>3/15/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Verbal buse</td> <td>4/5/10</td> <td>4/5/10</td> <td>4/26/10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Allegation Type	Date reported	Date to OSI	Date closed	Sexual Abuse	11/23/09	11/24/09	5/17/10	Abuse and Neglect	3/16/10	3/16/10	4/14/10	Rape	DOI: 3/21/10	3/24/10	4/12/10	Assault	DOI: 1/1/10	HP investigation		Physical and Verbal Abuse	DOI: 12/15/09	12/16/09	1/21/10	Neglect	DOI: 1/5/10	1/7/10	4/6/10	Failure to Report/Protect	12/14/09	1/5/10	2/23/10	Rape/Sexual Abuse	11/22/09	11/25/09	12/19/09	Physical Abuse	DOI: 12/18/09	12/18/09	3/8/10	Physical Abuse and Medical Neglect	DOI: 12/15/09	12/18/09	3/15/10	Verbal buse	4/5/10	4/5/10	4/26/10
Allegation Type	Date reported	Date to OSI	Date closed																																															
Sexual Abuse	11/23/09	11/24/09	5/17/10																																															
Abuse and Neglect	3/16/10	3/16/10	4/14/10																																															
Rape	DOI: 3/21/10	3/24/10	4/12/10																																															
Assault	DOI: 1/1/10	HP investigation																																																
Physical and Verbal Abuse	DOI: 12/15/09	12/16/09	1/21/10																																															
Neglect	DOI: 1/5/10	1/7/10	4/6/10																																															
Failure to Report/Protect	12/14/09	1/5/10	2/23/10																																															
Rape/Sexual Abuse	11/22/09	11/25/09	12/19/09																																															
Physical Abuse	DOI: 12/18/09	12/18/09	3/8/10																																															
Physical Abuse and Medical Neglect	DOI: 12/15/09	12/18/09	3/15/10																																															
Verbal buse	4/5/10	4/5/10	4/26/10																																															

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Psychological Abuse</td> <td>4/20/10</td> <td>4/20/10</td> <td>4/22/10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Physical Abuse</td> <td>11/23/09</td> <td>11/24/09</td> <td>12/3/09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Aggressive Act to Another Patient</td> <td>DOI: 12/3/09</td> <td>12/4/09</td> <td>12/8/09</td> </tr> </table> <p>Other findings: The Hospital Police began preliminary investigations as soon as the incident was reported.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice and monitoring of timeliness.</p>	Psychological Abuse	4/20/10	4/20/10	4/22/10	Physical Abuse	11/23/09	11/24/09	12/3/09	Aggressive Act to Another Patient	DOI: 12/3/09	12/4/09	12/8/09
Psychological Abuse	4/20/10	4/20/10	4/22/10											
Physical Abuse	11/23/09	11/24/09	12/3/09											
Aggressive Act to Another Patient	DOI: 12/3/09	12/4/09	12/8/09											
I.1.b. iv.2	investigations be completed within 30 business days of the incident being reported, except that investigations where material evidence is unavailable to the investigator, despite best efforts, may be completed within 5 business days of its availability;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue efforts to complete investigations within the timeframe required by the Enhancement Plan.</p> <p>Findings: As demonstrated in the table above, nine of the 13 relevant investigations reviewed were completed within the 30 business day timeframe specified in the EP.</p> <p>A listing of open investigations provided during the CM visit indicated that 35 investigations have been open for more than 30 business days, some dating back to November 2009.</p> <p>Other findings: See also I.1.a.ii for one of the facility's measures to decrease the OSI workload and increase timeliness.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Take steps to complete investigations in a timely manner.</p>												

Section I: Protection from Harm

<p>I.1.b. iv.3</p>	<p>each investigation result in a written report, including a summary of the investigation, findings and, as appropriate, recommendations for corrective action. The report's contents shall be sufficient to provide a clear basis for its conclusion. The report shall set forth explicitly and separately:</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Determine the factors that impede timeliness in implementing disciplinary action and take action where possible.</p> <p>Findings: The facility has tracked the timeliness of disciplinary action. More recent examples show greater success:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the sustained case of verbal abuse closed on 1/21/10, the named staff member received a Letter of Warning on 5/10/10. • The named staff person was terminated effective June 9, 2010 in a sustained case of neglect closed on 4/6/10. • The named staff member was found to have failed to report an allegation of abuse in the investigation closed on 3/15/10. This staff member received a Letter of Counseling on 5/13/10. <p>Other findings: The investigation of the allegation of psychological abuse of unknown individuals resulted in a faulty and stigmatizing sustained determination. The named staff member was suspected of providing contraband to individuals. The investigation found no evidence that he was engaged in this behavior. However, during an interview, the named staff member acknowledged that once a while ago he had brought in burritos for his IT team to thank them for their assistance. With no rationale and based on this violation of policy, the allegation of psychological abuse was sustained. This error could have been avoided had the investigator linked the finding of fact (bringing in food) with the definition of psychological abuse; it would have been clear that there was no way to construe that action as abuse. The Chair of the IRC acknowledged the error and agreed to ensure that the determination is overturned and the employee's record corrected if necessary. In addition, the Chair of the IRC will be providing an in-service</p>
------------------------	---	---

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>training to the OSI investigators on the need for strict adherence to the SIR definitions in making determinations.</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Match the findings of fact to the relevant portion of the SIR incident definition. 2. As planned, provide training to the OSI investigators on the use of the SIR definitions in making determinations.
I.1.b. iv.3(i)	each allegation of wrongdoing investigated;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Each of the investigation reports reviewed described the circumstances of the allegation(s) under investigation.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
I.1.b. iv.3(ii)	the name(s) of all witnesses;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Most of the investigations reviewed identified the witnesses and provided a summary of the interview of that person. During the investigation of the assault of RF, the hospital police investigation did not document an effort to determine where staff members were when RF was assaulted by three peers in the day room (or slammed his head into the pool table).</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>Current recommendation: Document efforts to identify all possible witnesses among both individuals and staff members.</p>
I.1.b. iv.3(iii)	the name(s) of all alleged victims and perpetrators;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: All investigation reports reviewed clearly identified the alleged victims and alleged perpetrators.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
I.1.b. iv.3(iv)	the names of all persons interviewed during the investigation;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: In the investigation of the allegation of physical abuse and medical neglect (12/15/09), the investigator made a decision not to interview the alleged victim, but did not provide a rationale for this decision. In contrast, during the investigation of the 1/5/10 allegation of neglect, the named staff member was not interviewed. The investigator explained that the staff member was placed off duty by his physician and was not available for interview.</p> <p>The investigation of the allegation of the sexual abuse of TM was seriously marred by the failure to conduct interviews in a timely manner as illustrated:</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>Date incident reported: 11/23/09 Interview of TM: 11/24-25/09 Interview of named staff member: 12/10/09 Interview of other unit staff: 3/19/10 Interview of named staff member's supervisor: 5/14/10 Interview of staff with information about unit searches: 5/14, 5/17/10</p> <p>Current recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide a rationale when an investigator makes a decision to depart from standard investigation practice. 2. Conduct interviews as proximate to the event as possible.
<p>I.1.b. iv.3(v)</p>	<p>a summary of each interview;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: All of the investigation reports reviewed contained a summary of each interview conducted.</p> <p>Other findings: In the investigation of the allegation of physical assault, the investigator did not conduct complete interviews. Specifically, RF initially alleged he was assaulted by three peers in the dayroom. He suffered head injury and was taken to a local hospital. Several days later he said he inflicted the injuries on himself by hitting his head on the corner of the pool table in the dayroom. The investigation failed to document any attempt to find out where staff were when RF was either assaulted or harming himself in the dayroom. Furthermore, in the interview with one of the individuals whom RF alleged assaulted him, the individual explained he was watching TV in the dayroom "when the incident occurred," did not want to be involved and</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>"walked out while the incident was in progress." The investigator apparently did not question the individual sufficiently to learn the nature of the incident the individual was trying to avoid being involved in.</p> <p>Current recommendation: When open-ended questions do not provide sufficient information, the investigator should ask specific questions that will solicit the required information or will clarify that the interviewee cannot/will not provide the information.</p>
<p>I.1.b. iv.3(vi)</p>	<p>a list of all documents reviewed during the investigation;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: All of the investigation reports reviewed included a listing of documents reviewed. This listing is an item in the report format.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
<p>I.1.b. iv.3 (vii)</p>	<p>all sources of evidence considered, including previous investigations and their results, involving the alleged victim(s) and perpetrator(s);</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: DMH needs to provide guidance to the facilities on its expectations for how they should comply with this section of the EP, since at this time there is no consistency among the facilities.</p> <p>Findings: Development of this guidance is still in process.</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>Other findings: In nine of the relevant investigation reports reviewed, specific mention is made of the number of previous abuse allegations made by the individual. None of the investigation reports included a count of the number of allegations made against the staff member. On a periodic basis, a report is produced for the IRC listing staff members who have been involved in A/N/E incidents. Under each staff member's name is a listing of the incidents (with date and type) in which the staff member has been involved and his/her role. Please see I.1.d.ii for more discussion of this listing.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Ensure that the IRC is able to review staff members' incident histories on a frequent periodic basis, since investigations do not include this information.</p>
<p>I.1.b. iv.3 (viii)</p>	<p>the investigator's findings, including findings related to the substantiation of the allegations as well as findings about staff's adherence to programmatic requirements; and</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Use the preponderance of evidence standard in making determinations at the close of investigations.</p> <p>Findings: See the description of the investigation of the allegation of verbal and physical abuse in the cell below. The investigator's conclusion regarding physical abuse raises questions about the application of the preponderance of evidence standard. Furthermore, had the interviews not been conducted nearly a month after the incident, the individual might have been able to remember the incident and more precise questioning of the reporting staff member would have been possible and might have resulted in specific information about her location when she observed the incident.</p> <p>Other findings: The investigation of the allegation of psychological abuse (4/1-4/4/10) determined that the named staff member brought food from outside for</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>several individuals in his group as a means of thanking them for their help. This violates facility policy. The allegation of psychological abuse was sustained for this policy violation. The actions of the staff member did not meet the SIR definition of psychological abuse, however. This was discussed with the Supervising Special Investigator and Hospital Administrator, who agreed to change the determination, so that the staff member would not carry the stigma of having engaged in abusive behavior.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Link the determination with the relevant portion of the incident definition.</p>
<p>I.1.b. iv.3(ix)</p>	<p>the investigator's reasons for his/her conclusions, including a summary indicating how potentially conflicting evidence was reconciled; and</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice of close review of investigations by the Incident Review Committee.</p> <p>Findings: Members of the IRC continue to receive a copy of the complete investigation report and a copy of the hospital police report prior to the review of the investigation at the meeting.</p> <p>Other findings: In the investigation of the allegations of physical and verbal abuse (12/5/09), a staff witness reported that the named staff member pushed PL in the shoulder/chest, causing PL to take several steps backward into the wall when PL grabbed for the cookie that the 1:1 staff member was eating. The named staff member said she grabbed PL's sweatshirt to move her aside. PL had no recollection of the specific incident. The investigator did not acknowledge the conflicting evidence and did not take additional actions to reconcile the two versions of the event. The investigator sustained the allegation of verbal abuse, as the named staff member acknowledged using profanity—"Don't touch my ___ing food." The allegation of physical abuse</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>was not substantiated. Despite not having made a finding about what actions the staff member engaged in, the investigator concluded that it was "clear" that the staff member's actions were necessary and not inappropriate.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Acknowledge conflicting evidence and take additional investigatory steps to reconcile the disparities whenever possible.</p>
<p>I.1.b. iv.4</p>	<p>staff supervising investigations review the written report, together with any other relevant documentation, to ensure that the investigation is thorough and complete and that the report is accurate, complete, and coherent. Any deficiencies or areas of further inquiry in the investigation and/or report shall be addressed promptly. As necessary, staff responsible for investigations shall be provided with additional training and/or technical assistance to ensure the completion of investigations and investigation reports consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: All of the investigations reviewed were approved by the Supervising Special Investigator as indicated by his signature. The deficiencies in the investigations documented in this report were not identified during the supervision process.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Exercise vigilance in reviewing and approving investigation reports.</p>
<p>I.1.c</p>	<p>Each State Hospital shall ensure that whenever disciplinary or programmatic action is necessary to correct a situation or prevent reoccurrence, each State hospital shall implement such action promptly and thoroughly, and track and document such actions and the corresponding outcomes.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation 1, December 2009: Determine the factors that impede timeliness in implementing disciplinary action and take action where possible.</p> <p>Findings: Please see I.1.b.iv.3.</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>Recommendation 2, December 2009: Add disciplinary action to the IRC task tracking sheet in a manner that protects staff member's privacy but which ensures review of required actions to ensure timeliness.</p> <p>Findings: The IRC Investigation Tracking form provides the date, type, outcome and any follow-up action that needs to occur. This can be disciplinary action, referral to the ETRC, and implementation of programmatic recommendations. Each of the follow-up actions is tracked through to completion.</p> <p>Other findings: The IRC identified two policy issues that required follow-up—whether restrooms should be locked during Mall time and review of AD 15.08 as it relates to 1:1 supervision while transporting individuals. The Hospital Administrator said that Program Directors are discussing the question of locking the restrooms. This discussion follows discussion of the topic by the Individuals Council. AD 15.08 was revised and made effective 12/22/09. It clarifies procedures for enhanced supervision of individuals transported in a van.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
I.1.d	Each State hospital shall have a system to allow the tracking and trending of investigation results. Trends shall be tracked by at least the following categories:	<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

I.1.d.i	type of incident;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide analysis of the violence data presented to the Quality Council.</p> <p>Findings:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Abuse type</th> <th rowspan="2">May 09- Oct 09</th> <th rowspan="2">Nov 09- Apr 10</th> <th rowspan="2">Nov 09-Apr 10 investigations completed</th> <th colspan="2">Nov 09-Apr 10 determinations</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Sustained</th> <th>Not sustained</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Physical</td> <td>58</td> <td>41</td> <td>11</td> <td>1</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Verbal</td> <td>21</td> <td>30</td> <td>9</td> <td>1</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Psychological</td> <td>14</td> <td>15</td> <td>6</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sexual</td> <td>15</td> <td>5*</td> <td>3**</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Neglect</td> <td>6</td> <td>11</td> <td>6</td> <td>5</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exploitation</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other</td> <td>2</td> <td>Not provided</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>116</td> <td>103</td> <td>36</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>* This number is derived from the Hospital Police listing of incidents by victim for the period 1/1/09-2/28/10. Thus, this data does not capture any sexual abuse allegations for March and April 2010. ** One sexual abuse cases was reported as "for information."</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice including monitoring of trends.</p>	Abuse type	May 09- Oct 09	Nov 09- Apr 10	Nov 09-Apr 10 investigations completed	Nov 09-Apr 10 determinations		Sustained	Not sustained	Physical	58	41	11	1	10	Verbal	21	30	9	1	8	Psychological	14	15	6	2	4	Sexual	15	5*	3**	0	2	Neglect	6	11	6	5	1	Exploitation	0	1	1	1	0	Other	2	Not provided				Total	116	103	36		
Abuse type	May 09- Oct 09	Nov 09- Apr 10					Nov 09-Apr 10 investigations completed	Nov 09-Apr 10 determinations																																																		
			Sustained	Not sustained																																																						
Physical	58	41	11	1	10																																																					
Verbal	21	30	9	1	8																																																					
Psychological	14	15	6	2	4																																																					
Sexual	15	5*	3**	0	2																																																					
Neglect	6	11	6	5	1																																																					
Exploitation	0	1	1	1	0																																																					
Other	2	Not provided																																																								
Total	116	103	36																																																							
I.1.d.ii	staff involved and staff present;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide an analysis of the data regarding staff involvement in incidents and document discussion of this material.</p>																																																								

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>Findings: PSH provided the April 13 IRC meeting attendees with a report of staff members named in investigations of A/N/E during the 14-month period January 2009 through February 2010. In addition, the report contained a listing of staff members named in three or more of these investigations. The staff member was unknown in 15 investigations, one staff was named in four investigations, and four staff members were named in three.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Ensure that the IRC is able to review staff members' incident histories on a quarterly basis, since investigations do not address the incident history of staff members.</p>
I.1.d.iii	individuals directly and indirectly involved;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: The facility provided a report on individuals involved as victims in investigations of A/N/E during the period January 2009 through February 2010. The report was presented at the April 13, 2010 IRC meeting. The report contained a separate listing of individuals who had been involved as victim in three or more of these investigations. One individual was involved in 11 incidents, one individual was involved in seven, three were involved in four, and 16 individuals were involved in three incidents. When individuals are involved in incidents that reach trigger limits, the individual is reviewed by a risk management committee.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

I.1.d.iv	location of incident;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Expand the location data to include other types of incident, particularly those involving aggression toward self and others.</p> <p>Findings: The facility provided data on the location of two types of incidents during the review period. As shown below, the number of aggressive incidents to others far outnumbers the allegations of A/N/E.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">A/N/E Incidents</th> <th colspan="4">Physical Aggressive Acts to Others</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Unit 4</th> <th>0</th> <th>Unit 1</th> <th>1</th> <th>Unit 4</th> <th>13</th> <th>Unit 1</th> <th>77</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>5</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>5</td><td>15</td><td>2</td><td>51</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td>1</td><td>9</td><td>2</td><td>6</td><td>10</td><td>9</td><td>52</td></tr> <tr><td>11</td><td>4</td><td>10</td><td>0</td><td>11</td><td>15</td><td>10</td><td>3</td></tr> <tr><td>74</td><td>2</td><td>12</td><td>4</td><td>74</td><td>5</td><td>12</td><td>43</td></tr> <tr><td>30</td><td>4</td><td>70</td><td>5</td><td>30</td><td>9</td><td>70</td><td>45</td></tr> <tr><td>31</td><td>0</td><td>71</td><td>4</td><td>31</td><td>16</td><td>71</td><td>52</td></tr> <tr><td>32</td><td>10</td><td>75</td><td>7</td><td>32</td><td>49</td><td>75</td><td>38</td></tr> <tr><td>33</td><td>5</td><td>72</td><td>3</td><td>33</td><td>47</td><td>72</td><td>48</td></tr> <tr><td>22</td><td>2</td><td>73</td><td>1</td><td>22</td><td>33</td><td>73</td><td>20</td></tr> <tr><td>23</td><td>5</td><td>76</td><td>4</td><td>23</td><td>3</td><td>76</td><td>34</td></tr> <tr><td>26</td><td>2</td><td>77</td><td>0</td><td>26</td><td>21</td><td>77</td><td>26</td></tr> <tr><td>27</td><td>3</td><td>20</td><td>3</td><td>27</td><td>27</td><td>20</td><td>17</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td>21</td><td>1</td><td>34</td><td>25</td><td>21</td><td>13</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td>24</td><td>4</td><td>35</td><td>3</td><td>24</td><td>15</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td>25</td><td>3</td><td>36</td><td>9</td><td>25</td><td>27</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>37</td><td>25</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Total =84</td> <td colspan="2">Mean =3</td> <td colspan="2">Total = 886</td> <td colspan="2">Mean = 27</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>During the review period, 173 incidents of physical aggression to others</p>	A/N/E Incidents				Physical Aggressive Acts to Others				Unit 4	0	Unit 1	1	Unit 4	13	Unit 1	77	5	2	2	2	5	15	2	51	6	1	9	2	6	10	9	52	11	4	10	0	11	15	10	3	74	2	12	4	74	5	12	43	30	4	70	5	30	9	70	45	31	0	71	4	31	16	71	52	32	10	75	7	32	49	75	38	33	5	72	3	33	47	72	48	22	2	73	1	22	33	73	20	23	5	76	4	23	3	76	34	26	2	77	0	26	21	77	26	27	3	20	3	27	27	20	17			21	1	34	25	21	13			24	4	35	3	24	15			25	3	36	9	25	27					37	25			Total =84		Mean =3		Total = 886		Mean = 27	
A/N/E Incidents				Physical Aggressive Acts to Others																																																																																																																																																						
Unit 4	0	Unit 1	1	Unit 4	13	Unit 1	77																																																																																																																																																			
5	2	2	2	5	15	2	51																																																																																																																																																			
6	1	9	2	6	10	9	52																																																																																																																																																			
11	4	10	0	11	15	10	3																																																																																																																																																			
74	2	12	4	74	5	12	43																																																																																																																																																			
30	4	70	5	30	9	70	45																																																																																																																																																			
31	0	71	4	31	16	71	52																																																																																																																																																			
32	10	75	7	32	49	75	38																																																																																																																																																			
33	5	72	3	33	47	72	48																																																																																																																																																			
22	2	73	1	22	33	73	20																																																																																																																																																			
23	5	76	4	23	3	76	34																																																																																																																																																			
26	2	77	0	26	21	77	26																																																																																																																																																			
27	3	20	3	27	27	20	17																																																																																																																																																			
		21	1	34	25	21	13																																																																																																																																																			
		24	4	35	3	24	15																																																																																																																																																			
		25	3	36	9	25	27																																																																																																																																																			
				37	25																																																																																																																																																					
Total =84		Mean =3		Total = 886		Mean = 27																																																																																																																																																				

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>were reported as having occurred during Mall hours and 69 during transition.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue to provide incident location data to the IRC and in other appropriate forums.</p>																								
I.1.d.v	date and time of incident;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Expand this data to include other incident types.</p> <p>Findings: In response to this recommendation, the facility provided data on aggressive acts to others in addition to A/N/E data by month as shown below:</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>November</td><td>140</td></tr> <tr><td>December</td><td>144</td></tr> <tr><td>January</td><td>185</td></tr> <tr><td>February</td><td>160</td></tr> <tr><td>March</td><td>157</td></tr> <tr><td>April</td><td>179</td></tr> </table> <p>PSH data shows fewer incidents of physical aggression toward others occurred on Saturdays during the review period:</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>Sunday</td><td>122</td></tr> <tr><td>Monday</td><td>150</td></tr> <tr><td>Tuesday</td><td>149</td></tr> <tr><td>Wednesday</td><td>134</td></tr> <tr><td>Thursday</td><td>157</td></tr> <tr><td>Friday</td><td>142</td></tr> </table>	November	140	December	144	January	185	February	160	March	157	April	179	Sunday	122	Monday	150	Tuesday	149	Wednesday	134	Thursday	157	Friday	142
November	140																									
December	144																									
January	185																									
February	160																									
March	157																									
April	179																									
Sunday	122																									
Monday	150																									
Tuesday	149																									
Wednesday	134																									
Thursday	157																									
Friday	142																									

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Saturday</td> <td>111</td> </tr> </table> <p>Other findings: During the review period, more allegations of A/N/E were reported on Monday (20) than any other day, according to facility data:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Sunday</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Monday</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tuesday</td> <td>18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Wednesday</td> <td>13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Thursday</td> <td>17</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Friday</td> <td>15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Saturday</td> <td>15</td> </tr> </table> <p>January and February 2010 showed the least number of reports of A/N/E in the 12-month period May 2009-April 2010 with nine and seven reports respectively. The mean for the time period was 20 allegations per month.</p> <p>The April Quality Council minutes note the increase in aggression between 8:00-8:30 AM and asked the individuals present for suggestions about why this might be. The individuals cited irritability upon awakening, change of shift "busy-ness" and medication distribution. These latter two leave fewer staff to care for the needs of specific individuals.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice including the review of the data in appropriate forums such as the IRC and the Quality Council.</p>	Saturday	111	Sunday	12	Monday	20	Tuesday	18	Wednesday	13	Thursday	17	Friday	15	Saturday	15
Saturday	111																	
Sunday	12																	
Monday	20																	
Tuesday	18																	
Wednesday	13																	
Thursday	17																	
Friday	15																	
Saturday	15																	
I.1.d.vi	cause(s) of incident; and	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue working to complete HQ briefs as required by the Incident Management Special Order.</p>																

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>Findings: The Headquarters Briefs reviewed covered a variety of subjects and levels of review. Specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two briefs related to expected deaths and noted that no MIRC review was required because the individuals had been in an outside facility for a long period of time. • Two briefs related to incidents that occurred in December 2009 (neglect allegation dated 12/3/09 and medical intervention for fractured ribs sustained during a restraint procedure) had not yet been finalized. • The HQ brief regarding the attack on a staff member on 3/24/10 suggested that a stressor that may have contributed to the incident was "the unit being locked down throughout the day due to ongoing unit shakedowns in the West Compound." • The briefs for two incidents (abuse allegations reported on 1/11/09 and an allegation of abuse going back many years reported on 1/6/10) stated that the psychologist would provide 1:1 counseling for both alleged victims. • Although the HQ brief for the allegation of exploitation in which one individual asked for sexual favors from a peer in exchange for contraband is designated as final, there is no determination as to whether the allegation was deemed credible. • The HQ brief related to the incident on 5/16/10 stated that the officers "utilized the OC spray [pepper spray] appropriately." <p>Current recommendation: Monitor HQ briefs for completeness and timeliness.</p>
I.1.d. vii	outcome of investigation.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>Findings: The facility provided the information in the table in I.1.d.i regarding the determinations made in A/N/E investigations during the review period.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice, including presenting this data to the IRC and at other appropriate forums.</p>
I.1.e	<p>Each State hospital shall ensure that before permitting a staff person to work directly with any individual, each State hospital shall investigate the criminal history and other relevant background factors of that staff person, whether full-time or part-time, temporary or permanent, or a person who volunteers on a regular basis. Facility staff shall directly supervise volunteers for whom an investigation has not been completed when they are working directly with individuals living at the facility. The facility shall ensure that a staff person or volunteer may not interact with individuals at each State hospital in instances where the investigation indicates that the staff person or volunteer may pose a risk of harm to such individuals.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Please see the table in I.1.a.iv, which shows that two of the staff members sampled cleared the background check shortly after being hired. The remainder of the staff members sampled cleared on or before their date of hire. See also I.1.a.iii for discussion of the facility's attention to removing staff members named in A/N/E allegations.</p> <p>Other findings: The facility reported that all staff members who were hired during the review period had completed background and fingerprint checks.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

2. Performance Improvement		
I.2	Each State hospital shall develop, revise as appropriate, and implement performance improvement mechanisms that enable it to comply fully with this Plan, to detect timely and adequately problems with the provision of protections, treatment, rehabilitation, services and supports, and to ensure that appropriate corrective steps are implemented. Each State hospital shall establish a risk management process to improve the identification of individuals at risk and the provision of timely interventions and other corrective actions commensurate with the level of risk. The performance improvement mechanisms shall be consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care and shall include:	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. C. Brown, Standards Compliance, Risk Manager 2. G. Christison, MD, Acting Medical Director 3. G. Richardson, Standards Compliance Director 4. J. D'Braunstein, Standards Compliance 5. R. DePalmer, Standards Compliance <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Information regarding individuals transferred to external hospitals during the period November 2009-March 2010 2. Monthly Key Indicator Report 3. WRPs of individuals who reached triggers 4. WRPs of individuals on High Risk lists 5. Assault Reduction Taskforce minutes for April and May 2010 6. Implementation of selected ETRC recommendations 7. Quality Council minutes for November 2009-April 2010 <p><u>Observed:</u> Facility Review Committee</p>
I.2.a	Mechanisms for the proper and timely identification of high-risk situations of an immediate nature as well as long-term systemic problems. These mechanisms shall include, but not be limited to:	<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>
I.2.a.i	data collection tools and centralized databases to capture and provide information on various categories of high-risk situations;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Produce timely data with analysis. Document discussion of the data in the Quality Council and other appropriate forums.</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>Findings: The data in the table below was shared with the Quality Council.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>May 2009 - October 2009</th> <th>November 2009 - April 2010</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Peer-to-peer aggression resulting in major injury</td> <td>25</td> <td>26</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Aggression to staff resulting in major injury</td> <td>91</td> <td>126</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Individuals with two or more aggressive acts in 7 days</td> <td>117</td> <td>128</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Individuals with four or more aggressive acts in 30 days</td> <td>34</td> <td>46</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Homicide threats</td> <td>53</td> <td>52</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Review of facility data on external hospital visits for the period November 2009-March 2010 reveals that 22 visits (mostly ER visits) were related to SIB or injuries from peer aggression as shown below.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Individual</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Issue</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>KD</td> <td>12/5/09</td> <td>In fight with peer; portion of right ear bitten off</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AA</td> <td>12/5/09</td> <td>Sutures for head trauma</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AT</td> <td>12/7/09</td> <td>Removal of foreign body from ear</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SA*</td> <td>12/8/09</td> <td>Suspected foreign body ingestion</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CG</td> <td>12/9/09</td> <td>Head trauma</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DM</td> <td>12/11/09</td> <td>Facial laceration and head trauma, post assault</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RD</td> <td>12/12/09</td> <td>Head trauma, post assault</td> </tr> <tr> <td>YB</td> <td>12/14/09</td> <td>Alcohol intoxication</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RF</td> <td>1/1/10</td> <td>Multiple scalp sutures post assault by</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		May 2009 - October 2009	November 2009 - April 2010	Peer-to-peer aggression resulting in major injury	25	26	Aggression to staff resulting in major injury	91	126	Individuals with two or more aggressive acts in 7 days	117	128	Individuals with four or more aggressive acts in 30 days	34	46	Homicide threats	53	52	Individual	Date	Issue	KD	12/5/09	In fight with peer; portion of right ear bitten off	AA	12/5/09	Sutures for head trauma	AT	12/7/09	Removal of foreign body from ear	SA*	12/8/09	Suspected foreign body ingestion	CG	12/9/09	Head trauma	DM	12/11/09	Facial laceration and head trauma, post assault	RD	12/12/09	Head trauma, post assault	YB	12/14/09	Alcohol intoxication	RF	1/1/10	Multiple scalp sutures post assault by
	May 2009 - October 2009	November 2009 - April 2010																																																
Peer-to-peer aggression resulting in major injury	25	26																																																
Aggression to staff resulting in major injury	91	126																																																
Individuals with two or more aggressive acts in 7 days	117	128																																																
Individuals with four or more aggressive acts in 30 days	34	46																																																
Homicide threats	53	52																																																
Individual	Date	Issue																																																
KD	12/5/09	In fight with peer; portion of right ear bitten off																																																
AA	12/5/09	Sutures for head trauma																																																
AT	12/7/09	Removal of foreign body from ear																																																
SA*	12/8/09	Suspected foreign body ingestion																																																
CG	12/9/09	Head trauma																																																
DM	12/11/09	Facial laceration and head trauma, post assault																																																
RD	12/12/09	Head trauma, post assault																																																
YB	12/14/09	Alcohol intoxication																																																
RF	1/1/10	Multiple scalp sutures post assault by																																																

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>four peers</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MB</td> <td>1/4/10</td> <td>Suicide</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VS</td> <td>1/14/10</td> <td>Head injury, post assault</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SV</td> <td>1/16/10</td> <td>Head trauma, post fight</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NG</td> <td>2/17/10</td> <td>Fall secondary to dehydration—refusal to eat</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LB</td> <td>2/18/10</td> <td>Multiple facial lacerations from fight.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BP</td> <td>2/28/10</td> <td>Sutures to lip, post assault</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VV</td> <td>3/22/10</td> <td>Removal of foreign body</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DJ</td> <td>3/13/10</td> <td>Head trauma, post fight</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RR</td> <td>3/17/10</td> <td>Allegation of rape</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SA*</td> <td>3/18/10</td> <td>Possible hand fracture, post SIB</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CC</td> <td>11/15/09</td> <td>Nasal fracture from fight with peer</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WM</td> <td>11/24/09</td> <td>Facial sutures, post SIB</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SA*</td> <td>12/10/09</td> <td>Ingestion of foreign body</td> </tr> </table> <p>* indicates same individual</p> <p>Current recommendation: Include the review of this type of injury data when discussing initiatives to reduce aggression at the facility.</p>			four peers	MB	1/4/10	Suicide	VS	1/14/10	Head injury, post assault	SV	1/16/10	Head trauma, post fight	NG	2/17/10	Fall secondary to dehydration—refusal to eat	LB	2/18/10	Multiple facial lacerations from fight.	BP	2/28/10	Sutures to lip, post assault	VV	3/22/10	Removal of foreign body	DJ	3/13/10	Head trauma, post fight	RR	3/17/10	Allegation of rape	SA*	3/18/10	Possible hand fracture, post SIB	CC	11/15/09	Nasal fracture from fight with peer	WM	11/24/09	Facial sutures, post SIB	SA*	12/10/09	Ingestion of foreign body
		four peers																																										
MB	1/4/10	Suicide																																										
VS	1/14/10	Head injury, post assault																																										
SV	1/16/10	Head trauma, post fight																																										
NG	2/17/10	Fall secondary to dehydration—refusal to eat																																										
LB	2/18/10	Multiple facial lacerations from fight.																																										
BP	2/28/10	Sutures to lip, post assault																																										
VV	3/22/10	Removal of foreign body																																										
DJ	3/13/10	Head trauma, post fight																																										
RR	3/17/10	Allegation of rape																																										
SA*	3/18/10	Possible hand fracture, post SIB																																										
CC	11/15/09	Nasal fracture from fight with peer																																										
WM	11/24/09	Facial sutures, post SIB																																										
SA*	12/10/09	Ingestion of foreign body																																										
I.2.a.ii	<p>establishment of triggers and thresholds that address different levels of risk, as set forth in Appendix A; and</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: The ETRC should document a rationale for each of its recommendations.</p> <p>Findings: The ETRC minutes do not provide a rationale for each recommendation, but the intent and rationale for recommendations made at the FRC attended were clearly discussed. This is reported to be the same at the ETRC.</p>																																										

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>Other findings: A review of individuals whose aggression had resulted in a major injury to a peer yielded the finding that in each instance, the WRPT had responded as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Individual</th> <th>Trigger Date</th> <th>WRP Response</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>LS</td> <td>4/1/10</td> <td>Incident identified in Present Status. Focus 3 addressed aggression. Interventions included med change, enrolled in SAFE, chronicle mood changes.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TF</td> <td>4/1/10</td> <td>Incident identified in Present Status. Focus 3 addresses aggression. Interventions include use of an anger meter and Enhancing Self Control mall group.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JF</td> <td>4/1/10</td> <td>Incident identified in Present Status. Focus 3 addresses failure to control anger. Staff will assist JF in using coping skills in problem situations.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Similar findings resulted from a review of the WRPs of individual who had four or more aggressive acts to self in 30 consecutive days.</p> <table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>NM</td> <td>4/10/10</td> <td>The trigger was noted under Triggers. Focus 3 addressed aggression to self. Interventions include a PBS consult and enrollment in RISE and SAFE.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RK</td> <td>4/1/10</td> <td>Trigger noted. Focus 3 addresses suicidal behavior. Interventions include a PBS consult and referral to SAFE.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CH</td> <td>4/28/10</td> <td>Trigger not noted. Focus 3 addresses SIB and parasuicidal behavior. Attending SAFE and will be completing a scrapbook.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Individual	Trigger Date	WRP Response	LS	4/1/10	Incident identified in Present Status. Focus 3 addressed aggression. Interventions included med change, enrolled in SAFE, chronicle mood changes.	TF	4/1/10	Incident identified in Present Status. Focus 3 addresses aggression. Interventions include use of an anger meter and Enhancing Self Control mall group.	JF	4/1/10	Incident identified in Present Status. Focus 3 addresses failure to control anger. Staff will assist JF in using coping skills in problem situations.	NM	4/10/10	The trigger was noted under Triggers. Focus 3 addressed aggression to self. Interventions include a PBS consult and enrollment in RISE and SAFE.	RK	4/1/10	Trigger noted. Focus 3 addresses suicidal behavior. Interventions include a PBS consult and referral to SAFE.	CH	4/28/10	Trigger not noted. Focus 3 addresses SIB and parasuicidal behavior. Attending SAFE and will be completing a scrapbook.
Individual	Trigger Date	WRP Response																					
LS	4/1/10	Incident identified in Present Status. Focus 3 addressed aggression. Interventions included med change, enrolled in SAFE, chronicle mood changes.																					
TF	4/1/10	Incident identified in Present Status. Focus 3 addresses aggression. Interventions include use of an anger meter and Enhancing Self Control mall group.																					
JF	4/1/10	Incident identified in Present Status. Focus 3 addresses failure to control anger. Staff will assist JF in using coping skills in problem situations.																					
NM	4/10/10	The trigger was noted under Triggers. Focus 3 addressed aggression to self. Interventions include a PBS consult and enrollment in RISE and SAFE.																					
RK	4/1/10	Trigger noted. Focus 3 addresses suicidal behavior. Interventions include a PBS consult and referral to SAFE.																					
CH	4/28/10	Trigger not noted. Focus 3 addresses SIB and parasuicidal behavior. Attending SAFE and will be completing a scrapbook.																					

Section I: Protection from Harm

		Variable findings resulted from the review of seven individuals who had reached triggers related to falls and selected medical conditions.
Individual	Issue	WRP documentation
JG	Met trigger 7.2 for 3 or more falls in 30 days on 12/28/09	WRP finalized on 12/31/09 listed fall incidents in Present Status section but had no evidence of clinical discussion of trigger. Focus 6.10 open to address learning about fall risk.
JDM	2/16/2010 met trigger 7.1 for fall with major injury	Fall with fracture and ORIF performed; incident not discussed in WRP dated 3/16/10.
IKL	2/4/2010 met trigger 7.1 for fall with major injury	WRP dated 3/1/10 following trigger did not address trigger and reported fall risk as low.
LWS	Choking incident on 2/7/10	Speech Therapy swallowing assessment completed 2/11/10. Diet recommendations and choking risk found in Present Status of 2/25/10 WRP. No open Focus 3 for impulsive behaviors that contributed to choking incident.
GRA	Choking incident on 12/2/09	12/2/09 referral made to Speech Therapy for swallowing assessment. Diet and monitoring recommendations and choking risk found in Present Status of WRP dated 2/17/10. No open Focus 3 for impulsive behaviors that contributed to choking incident.
OVM	New diagnosis of diabetes reported on 11/5/09	Nutrition annual assessment completed 1/21/10 and addressed diabetes diagnosis and contributing factors; no nutrition consult found

Section I: Protection from Harm

				<p>following diagnosis. Diabetes diagnosis not listed under Axis III. Focus 6.3 open for Type II diabetes and risk for complications of diabetes identified in present status of 3/9/10 WRP.</p>
		<p>AB</p>	<p>New diagnosis of diabetes reported in Medical Conditions database in 1/10</p>	<p>Not reported in treatment plan until the WRP dated 4/7/10. Not listed as Axis III diagnosis; no consult to dietitian upon new diagnosis.</p>
		<p>Current recommendation: Ensure that WRPs consistently address triggers.</p>		
<p>I.2.a. iii</p>	<p>identification of systemic trends and patterns of high risk situations.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide data for the period under review as well as analysis of the figures presented.</p> <p>Findings: Please see I.1.d.i through I.1.d.v, I.2.c and the cells above.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>		
<p>I.2.b</p>	<p>Mechanisms for timely interventions and other corrective actions by teams and disciplines to prevent or minimize risk of harm to individuals. These mechanisms shall include, but not be limited to:</p>	<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p>		

Section I: Protection from Harm

I.2.b.i	a hierarchy of interventions by clinical teams that correspond to triggers and thresholds;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: All risk management committees are functioning. The facility will soon be providing additional guidance and training to the Program Review Committees to enhance their performance and lessen the burden on the ETRC.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>						
I.2.b.ii	timely corrective actions by teams and/or disciplines to address systemic trends and patterns;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to expand the monitoring by Standards Compliance of implementation of recommendations.</p> <p>Findings: During the review period, Standards Compliance monitored the implementation of 318 responses from WRPTs, according to facility data. The review of 13 recommendations from March and April ETRC meetings made on behalf of nine individuals found that the succeeding WRPs addressed 12 of the recommendations as completed, in process, or provided a rationale for not implementing the recommendation.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Individual</th> <th>ETRC recommendation</th> <th>Implementation status</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>ALA</td> <td>Get neurology consult Consult re: need for transfer Consider neuropsych testing</td> <td>WRP 6/3/10: Completed Improved. No need for transfer. Referral for testing completed.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Individual	ETRC recommendation	Implementation status	ALA	Get neurology consult Consult re: need for transfer Consider neuropsych testing	WRP 6/3/10: Completed Improved. No need for transfer. Referral for testing completed.
Individual	ETRC recommendation	Implementation status						
ALA	Get neurology consult Consult re: need for transfer Consider neuropsych testing	WRP 6/3/10: Completed Improved. No need for transfer. Referral for testing completed.						

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>WPW</td> <td>Get assistance from PBS</td> <td>WRP 5/18/10. PBS engaged.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>KJJ</td> <td>Request psychopharm consult Refer to SAFE</td> <td>WRP 5/26/10. No mention of consult. Attending SAFE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MGR</td> <td>PBS to do a behavioral assessment</td> <td>WRP 4/9/10: Assessment completed.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MEK</td> <td>Formal behavior guidelines to be developed</td> <td>Guidelines developed and Behavior Change Agent identified</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RPJ</td> <td>Recommends a transfer panel</td> <td>WRP 4/29/10: Individual transferred</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BSH</td> <td>PBS to do a behavioral assessment</td> <td>WRP 4/15/10: Unable to implement; individual sent back to prison.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LAB</td> <td>DCAT referral suggested</td> <td>WRP 5/21: DCAT referral completed.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AT</td> <td>SAFE program recommended Recommend involuntary medication</td> <td>WRP 4/29/10: Enrolled in DBT mall group instead Not necessary—individual agreed to take medication previously refused.</td> </tr> </table> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice of addressing Risk Management committee recommendations in WRPs.</p>	WPW	Get assistance from PBS	WRP 5/18/10. PBS engaged.	KJJ	Request psychopharm consult Refer to SAFE	WRP 5/26/10. No mention of consult. Attending SAFE	MGR	PBS to do a behavioral assessment	WRP 4/9/10: Assessment completed.	MEK	Formal behavior guidelines to be developed	Guidelines developed and Behavior Change Agent identified	RPJ	Recommends a transfer panel	WRP 4/29/10: Individual transferred	BSH	PBS to do a behavioral assessment	WRP 4/15/10: Unable to implement; individual sent back to prison.	LAB	DCAT referral suggested	WRP 5/21: DCAT referral completed.	AT	SAFE program recommended Recommend involuntary medication	WRP 4/29/10: Enrolled in DBT mall group instead Not necessary—individual agreed to take medication previously refused.
WPW	Get assistance from PBS	WRP 5/18/10. PBS engaged.																								
KJJ	Request psychopharm consult Refer to SAFE	WRP 5/26/10. No mention of consult. Attending SAFE																								
MGR	PBS to do a behavioral assessment	WRP 4/9/10: Assessment completed.																								
MEK	Formal behavior guidelines to be developed	Guidelines developed and Behavior Change Agent identified																								
RPJ	Recommends a transfer panel	WRP 4/29/10: Individual transferred																								
BSH	PBS to do a behavioral assessment	WRP 4/15/10: Unable to implement; individual sent back to prison.																								
LAB	DCAT referral suggested	WRP 5/21: DCAT referral completed.																								
AT	SAFE program recommended Recommend involuntary medication	WRP 4/29/10: Enrolled in DBT mall group instead Not necessary—individual agreed to take medication previously refused.																								
I.2.b. iii	formalized systems for the notification of teams and needed disciplines to support appropriate interventions and other corrective actions;	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: In view of the timely responses of the WRPs to triggers, Risk Management</p>																								

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>recommendations and incidents reviewed, it is reasonable to conclude that the systems for notifying teams and disciplines is functioning well.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>																					
<p>I.2.b. iv</p>	<p>formalized systems for feedback from teams and disciplines to the standards compliance department regarding completed actions; and</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Ensure that suicide risk assessments are reviewed and appropriate action taken following suicide attempts.</p> <p>Findings: The WRPTs of the four individuals sampled who had made suicide attempts addressed the attempt with interventions.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Individual</th> <th>Approximate date of trigger</th> <th>Addressed/cited in WRP?</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">Trigger: Aggression to peer resulting in major injury</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LS</td> <td>4/1/10</td> <td>WRP 3/30/10 addresses incident of kicking/hitting peer in the head. Focus 3 addresses aggression to others and self. Sent back to prison after this incident.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TF</td> <td>4/1/10</td> <td>WRP 5/5/10 addresses repeated assaults on peers in Focus 3.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JF</td> <td>4/21/10</td> <td>WRP 5/13 notes incident of shoving peer resulting in a rib fracture. Focus 3 addresses failure to resist aggressive impulses.</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Trigger: Suicide attempt</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DL</td> <td>3/19/10</td> <td>WRP: 4/21/10 addresses incident. Psychopharmacology consult completed.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Individual	Approximate date of trigger	Addressed/cited in WRP?	Trigger: Aggression to peer resulting in major injury			LS	4/1/10	WRP 3/30/10 addresses incident of kicking/hitting peer in the head. Focus 3 addresses aggression to others and self. Sent back to prison after this incident.	TF	4/1/10	WRP 5/5/10 addresses repeated assaults on peers in Focus 3.	JF	4/21/10	WRP 5/13 notes incident of shoving peer resulting in a rib fracture. Focus 3 addresses failure to resist aggressive impulses.	Trigger: Suicide attempt			DL	3/19/10	WRP: 4/21/10 addresses incident. Psychopharmacology consult completed.
Individual	Approximate date of trigger	Addressed/cited in WRP?																					
Trigger: Aggression to peer resulting in major injury																							
LS	4/1/10	WRP 3/30/10 addresses incident of kicking/hitting peer in the head. Focus 3 addresses aggression to others and self. Sent back to prison after this incident.																					
TF	4/1/10	WRP 5/5/10 addresses repeated assaults on peers in Focus 3.																					
JF	4/21/10	WRP 5/13 notes incident of shoving peer resulting in a rib fracture. Focus 3 addresses failure to resist aggressive impulses.																					
Trigger: Suicide attempt																							
DL	3/19/10	WRP: 4/21/10 addresses incident. Psychopharmacology consult completed.																					

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Placed in Chinese language stress management group.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CH</td> <td>4/3/10</td> <td>WRP 4/13/10 notes "suicide attempt". Enrolled in SAFE. Focus 3.1 addresses history of SIB and parasuicidal behavior.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HN</td> <td>4/20/10</td> <td>WRP 5/17/10 addresses incident. Being referred to SAFE and 1:1 therapy.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MJ</td> <td>4/22/10</td> <td>WRP 5/9/10 addresses incident. Focus 3 opened. Placed in Peaceful Living Group.</td> </tr> </table> <p>The review of the WRPs of three individuals who reached the trigger "falls with serious injury" found that the resulting injuries were not serious. Initially the SIR was coded serious injury because the individual was sent out for an x-ray. When the x-ray was negative, the SIR was not corrected. Standards Compliance leadership explained that PSH has just hired a staff person whose duties will include the correction of injury codes. See below.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Individual</th> <th>Date of fall</th> <th>Injury/Evaluation result</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>RH</td> <td>3/20/10</td> <td>Wrist injury/ x-ray negative</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SM</td> <td>4/8/10</td> <td>Hand injury/x-ray negative</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BK</td> <td>4/18/10</td> <td>Bruised eye. WRP 4/28/10 referenced the fall</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Current recommendation: Implement plans to review SIR injury codes once medical evaluations are complete and correct the codes as necessary to protect the integrity of the trigger data.</p>			Placed in Chinese language stress management group.	CH	4/3/10	WRP 4/13/10 notes "suicide attempt". Enrolled in SAFE. Focus 3.1 addresses history of SIB and parasuicidal behavior.	HN	4/20/10	WRP 5/17/10 addresses incident. Being referred to SAFE and 1:1 therapy.	MJ	4/22/10	WRP 5/9/10 addresses incident. Focus 3 opened. Placed in Peaceful Living Group.	Individual	Date of fall	Injury/Evaluation result	RH	3/20/10	Wrist injury/ x-ray negative	SM	4/8/10	Hand injury/x-ray negative	BK	4/18/10	Bruised eye. WRP 4/28/10 referenced the fall
		Placed in Chinese language stress management group.																								
CH	4/3/10	WRP 4/13/10 notes "suicide attempt". Enrolled in SAFE. Focus 3.1 addresses history of SIB and parasuicidal behavior.																								
HN	4/20/10	WRP 5/17/10 addresses incident. Being referred to SAFE and 1:1 therapy.																								
MJ	4/22/10	WRP 5/9/10 addresses incident. Focus 3 opened. Placed in Peaceful Living Group.																								
Individual	Date of fall	Injury/Evaluation result																								
RH	3/20/10	Wrist injury/ x-ray negative																								
SM	4/8/10	Hand injury/x-ray negative																								
BK	4/18/10	Bruised eye. WRP 4/28/10 referenced the fall																								
I.2.b.v	monitoring and oversight systems to support timely implementation of interventions and corrective actions and appropriate follow up.	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Clarify the expectation that WRPs should reflect the individual's current</p>																								

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>risk profile.</p> <p>Findings: As shown below, the WRPs of 80% of the sampled individuals who had been listed on high risk lists addressed the risk in question.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Individual</th> <th>High Risk Category</th> <th>Addressed/Cited in WRP?</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>AKA</td> <td>Victimization</td> <td>Noted in Present Status of 6/4/10 WRP</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ALA</td> <td>Victimization</td> <td>Not addressed in 6/23/10 WRP</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JGC</td> <td>Victimization</td> <td>Noted in Present Status of 6/7/10 WRP</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CC</td> <td>Victimization</td> <td>Noted in Present Status of 6/4/10 WRP. Focus 3 cites intrusiveness leading to victimization.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DJ</td> <td>Victimization</td> <td>Noted in Present Status of 5/24/10. Transfer panel scheduled for assailant.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DM</td> <td>Victimization</td> <td>Noted in Present Status of 5/12/10 WRP. Aggressor transferred.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NCA</td> <td>Metabolic syndrome</td> <td>30 day Dietitian consult- 3/24/10 and answered 4/15/10; assessment addressed recommendations for contributing factor of obesity. High risk identified in the Present Status of WRP dated 4/21/10; open Foci 6.2 for overweight and 6.7 for metabolic syndrome. Dietitian objective 6.2.3 and intervention 6.2.3.1 in place to address obesity.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JJM</td> <td>Metabolic syndrome</td> <td>High risk identified in the Present</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Individual	High Risk Category	Addressed/Cited in WRP?	AKA	Victimization	Noted in Present Status of 6/4/10 WRP	ALA	Victimization	Not addressed in 6/23/10 WRP	JGC	Victimization	Noted in Present Status of 6/7/10 WRP	CC	Victimization	Noted in Present Status of 6/4/10 WRP. Focus 3 cites intrusiveness leading to victimization.	DJ	Victimization	Noted in Present Status of 5/24/10. Transfer panel scheduled for assailant.	DM	Victimization	Noted in Present Status of 5/12/10 WRP. Aggressor transferred.	NCA	Metabolic syndrome	30 day Dietitian consult- 3/24/10 and answered 4/15/10; assessment addressed recommendations for contributing factor of obesity. High risk identified in the Present Status of WRP dated 4/21/10; open Foci 6.2 for overweight and 6.7 for metabolic syndrome. Dietitian objective 6.2.3 and intervention 6.2.3.1 in place to address obesity.	JJM	Metabolic syndrome	High risk identified in the Present
Individual	High Risk Category	Addressed/Cited in WRP?																											
AKA	Victimization	Noted in Present Status of 6/4/10 WRP																											
ALA	Victimization	Not addressed in 6/23/10 WRP																											
JGC	Victimization	Noted in Present Status of 6/7/10 WRP																											
CC	Victimization	Noted in Present Status of 6/4/10 WRP. Focus 3 cites intrusiveness leading to victimization.																											
DJ	Victimization	Noted in Present Status of 5/24/10. Transfer panel scheduled for assailant.																											
DM	Victimization	Noted in Present Status of 5/12/10 WRP. Aggressor transferred.																											
NCA	Metabolic syndrome	30 day Dietitian consult- 3/24/10 and answered 4/15/10; assessment addressed recommendations for contributing factor of obesity. High risk identified in the Present Status of WRP dated 4/21/10; open Foci 6.2 for overweight and 6.7 for metabolic syndrome. Dietitian objective 6.2.3 and intervention 6.2.3.1 in place to address obesity.																											
JJM	Metabolic syndrome	High risk identified in the Present																											

Section I: Protection from Harm

				Status of WRP dated 3/11/10; open Foci 6.1 for diabetes type 2, 6.2 for hypertension and 6.3 for obesity. Dietitian objective 6.3.3 and intervention 6.3.3.1 in place to address obesity.
		JB	Metabolic syndrome	High risk identified in the Present Status WRP dated 4/12/10, open Foci 6.3 for dyslipidemia, 6.12 for hypertension and 6.18 for obesity. Dietitian objective 6.18.4 and intervention 6.18.4.1 in place to address obesity.
		AC	Metabolic syndrome	High risk identified in the Present Status of WRP dated 5/11/10. Dietitian assessment completed that focused on obesity; recommendations not included in the WRP. Open Foci 6.6 for overweight, 6.7 for hypertension, and 6.12 for metabolic syndrome.
		EG	Metabolic syndrome	Individual identified as at high risk on 5/20/10 but no RD referral ordered.
		MLS	Impaired skin integrity	High risk not identified in WRP's dated 4/15/10 or 5/13/10.
		SN	Impaired skin integrity	High risk identified in the Present Status of WRP dated 6/7/10; Focus 6.5 open with objective and intervention to address impaired skin integrity risk related to diabetic complications.
		JWL	Aspiration and	High risk identified in the Present

Section I: Protection from Harm

			choking	Status of WRP dated 5/20/10; Focus 6.2 focus open with objective and intervention in place to address choking risk during mealtimes.
		RKB	Aspiration	High risk identified in the Present Status of the WRP dated 4/14/10; no open Focus 6.
		JH	Choking	High risk identified in the Present Status of the most recent WRP dated 5/10/10; Focus 6.19 objective and intervention in place to address choking risk during mealtimes.
		DG	Falls	High risk identified in the Present Status of the most recent WRP dated 4/28/10 due to history of ORIF surgery, pain. No Focus 6 objective and intervention in place to address fall risk. PT evaluation done on 1/12/10 but physical therapy not indicated- Orthopedic referral recommended in PT assessment and sent on 4/5/10.
		JRB	Falls	High risk identified in the Present Status of the most recent WRP dated 5/26/10. Physical therapy assessment completed on 2/20/09.
		<p>Current recommendation: Ensure that WRPs consistently address individuals' high risk status.</p>		

Section I: Protection from Harm

<p>I.2.c</p>	<p>Utilize, on an ongoing basis, appropriate performance improvement mechanisms to assess and address the facility's compliance with its identified service goals.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Review of the Quality Council minutes revealed the facility's attention to the issue of violence. Examples include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Medical Director explained the steps that have been taken to reduce violence on Unit 32 in the November minutes. These steps include stabilizing nursing staff and the assignment of two new psychiatrists and a new Unit Supervisor. • The Executive Director noted in the January minutes the increase in peer-to-peer aggression provoked by the intent to "get even." He further noted that Dr. Christison is developing a committee to look at this issue. • The February minutes note the increase in aggressive acts toward staff. Dr. Christison's committee has been formalized as the Aggression Reduction Task Force Committee. • During the March meeting, participants reviewed graphed data on violence for the period May 2006-January 2010 that showed peer-to-peer aggression increasing, peer-to-staff aggression showing a downward trend and staff injuries showing an upward trend with more staff going to community resources for evaluation and treatment. • The April minutes note the increase in aggression between 8:00-8:30 AM and asked the individuals present for suggestions about why this might be. The individuals cited irritability upon awakening, change of shift "busy-ness" and medication distribution. These latter two leave fewer staff to care for the needs of specific individuals. <p>The facility reports that two projects in addition to the Violence Reduction Initiative are underway: Water Intoxication Treatment Protocol</p>
--------------	--	--

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>development and implementation and Program Wide Trainers—clinicians assigned to Standards Compliance who provide written and verbal instruction on what needs to be changed in a WRP for it to meet EP standards.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
--	--	--

Section I: Protection from Harm

3. Environmental Conditions		
I.3	<p>Each State hospital shall develop and implement a system to review regularly all units and areas of the hospital to which individuals being served have access to identify any potential environmental safety hazards and to develop and implement a plan to remedy any identified issues, consistent with generally accepted professional standards of care. Such a system shall require that:</p>	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. B. Ray, Health and Safety Officer 2. B. Sherer, Hospital Administrator 3. E. Halsell, Chief of Plant Operations 4. E. Juarez, Supervising Housekeeper 5. M. Mosk, PhD, Psychologist on Unit EB-10 <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Unit 20 cord and adapter accountability logs 2. WRPs of 10 individuals with incontinence 3. Health and Safety officer Status Report for the review period 4. Environmental Random Spot Checks 5. Environment of Care Survey data 6. Environment of Care Grid <p><u>Toured:</u></p> <p>Six units: 20, 32, 77, 71, EB-10, EB-01</p> <p><u>Inspected:</u></p> <p>Prototype of the new locker</p>
I.3.a	<p>Potential suicide hazards are identified and prioritized for systematic corrective action, and such action is implemented on a priority basis as promptly as feasible;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Determine if evening staff on EB-10 have sufficient signing skills to communicate effectively with individuals.</p> <p>Findings: Individuals and staff on EB-10 stated that evening staff still lack sufficient signing skills to communicate effectively. The facility reported that six</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>staff are assigned to the PM shift on EB-10 and, while none of these staff are bilingual, all but one can hold a simple conversation using ASL. These staff are reported to be attending at least one ASL class weekly.</p> <p>Other findings: The facility's data indicates that a minimum of 10 individual-occupied areas were inspected each month during the review period, and in each instance in which a deficiency was noted, the program responded with a corrective action plan.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Date of survey</th> <th>Number of individual-occupied areas inspected</th> <th>Number of inspections with deficiencies reported to the program</th> <th>Number of Programs that responded with a POC</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Nov 2009</td> <td>10</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dec 2009</td> <td>12</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Jan 2010</td> <td>13</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Feb 2010</td> <td>12</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>March 2010</td> <td>15</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>April 2010</td> <td>12</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>In addition to the planned Environment of Care monthly inspections by the Health and Safety Environmental Survey Team, this team performed seven random spot checks on seven units during the review period. No problems were identified on four units. One inspection noted water damage and missing privacy curtains on Unit 75. Dust in the windows was noted on Units N-24 and N-25. The facility reports that all units were notified of the findings.</p> <p>The Health and Safety Officer evaluates the monthly inspection reports submitted by Unit Supervisors to ensure that they are complete and thorough. The facility data provided states that for each unit the monthly US inspections addressed specific suicide risks and cleanliness issues and</p>	Date of survey	Number of individual-occupied areas inspected	Number of inspections with deficiencies reported to the program	Number of Programs that responded with a POC	Nov 2009	10	2	2	Dec 2009	12	3	3	Jan 2010	13	2	2	Feb 2010	12	2	2	March 2010	15	1	1	April 2010	12	2	2
Date of survey	Number of individual-occupied areas inspected	Number of inspections with deficiencies reported to the program	Number of Programs that responded with a POC																											
Nov 2009	10	2	2																											
Dec 2009	12	3	3																											
Jan 2010	13	2	2																											
Feb 2010	12	2	2																											
March 2010	15	1	1																											
April 2010	12	2	2																											

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>are consisted with the H&S Inspection Survey Team notes.</p> <p>Attention to devices that could be used for hanging has been ongoing for the last several years as cited from the Environment of Care Grid provided by the facility.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Issue</th> <th>Current Status</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Replacement of doorknobs that could be used for hanging</td> <td>Estimated cost of project is \$1.1M. Work suspended until 2011.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Replacement of door hinges that could be used for hanging</td> <td>Not funded for budget year 2008/2009. DMH is requesting a study of feasibility of new buildings versus the cost of renovating old buildings.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Removal of exterior window grilles that could be used for hanging</td> <td>The facility has received a waiver from the State Fire Marshall for the limited use of Lexan. This will be placed over any remaining exterior bars.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Replacement of reading lights in bedrooms that present a hanging hazard.</td> <td>Renovation of the EB Building has been delayed. DMH is requesting a study of feasibility of new buildings versus the cost of renovating old buildings.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Replacement of wardrobes</td> <td>Production of new wardrobes is scheduled to begin in June 2010. Delivery is expected to begin in July 2010 at a rate of 200 units/month.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Replacement of bathroom fixtures</td> <td>Shower valves have been replaced with push-button models in the 30 Building, the N Building, and the 70 Building. The U and EB Buildings will be completed when resources permit.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Strict accountability for adapters and electrical cords was a</p>	Issue	Current Status	Replacement of doorknobs that could be used for hanging	Estimated cost of project is \$1.1M. Work suspended until 2011.	Replacement of door hinges that could be used for hanging	Not funded for budget year 2008/2009. DMH is requesting a study of feasibility of new buildings versus the cost of renovating old buildings.	Removal of exterior window grilles that could be used for hanging	The facility has received a waiver from the State Fire Marshall for the limited use of Lexan. This will be placed over any remaining exterior bars.	Replacement of reading lights in bedrooms that present a hanging hazard.	Renovation of the EB Building has been delayed. DMH is requesting a study of feasibility of new buildings versus the cost of renovating old buildings.	Replacement of wardrobes	Production of new wardrobes is scheduled to begin in June 2010. Delivery is expected to begin in July 2010 at a rate of 200 units/month.	Replacement of bathroom fixtures	Shower valves have been replaced with push-button models in the 30 Building, the N Building, and the 70 Building. The U and EB Buildings will be completed when resources permit.
Issue	Current Status															
Replacement of doorknobs that could be used for hanging	Estimated cost of project is \$1.1M. Work suspended until 2011.															
Replacement of door hinges that could be used for hanging	Not funded for budget year 2008/2009. DMH is requesting a study of feasibility of new buildings versus the cost of renovating old buildings.															
Removal of exterior window grilles that could be used for hanging	The facility has received a waiver from the State Fire Marshall for the limited use of Lexan. This will be placed over any remaining exterior bars.															
Replacement of reading lights in bedrooms that present a hanging hazard.	Renovation of the EB Building has been delayed. DMH is requesting a study of feasibility of new buildings versus the cost of renovating old buildings.															
Replacement of wardrobes	Production of new wardrobes is scheduled to begin in June 2010. Delivery is expected to begin in July 2010 at a rate of 200 units/month.															
Replacement of bathroom fixtures	Shower valves have been replaced with push-button models in the 30 Building, the N Building, and the 70 Building. The U and EB Buildings will be completed when resources permit.															

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<p>recommendation following the suicide of MB on 1/4/10 on Unit 20. Review of the implementation of the recommendation yielded variable findings. First, detachable cords and adapters were labeled with the owner's name and stored in the nursing station. A log listed the names of individuals and the number of cords and adapters each owns. As an individual checked out a cord or adapter this was noted on the log. <u>A count of the adapters and cords matched the log, indicating that all were accounted for.</u> There was a problem, however, because this equipment was not logged in when it was returned. Additionally, although the staff asserted that when the equipment is returned at the end of the day (at about 9:00PM) a count is completed to ensure that all have been returned, there was no documentation of the count. In response to this finding, Unit 20 immediately adopted a system for signing the equipment in and out and an accountability sheet for the end of the day count initialed by the staff member completing the count. By the close of the CM visit, the facility leadership said the Unit 20 accountability plan would be implemented facility-wide.</p> <p>The facility has been working on a prototype of a wardrobe for the last six months. It has finalized the design. Prison Industries will produce the wardrobes, which have a slanted top and sliding rather than hinged doors. The facility expects the first shipment of 200 to be delivered in July, with 200 expected each month at a cost of nearly \$1000 per wardrobe.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Implement, as planned, Unit 20 accountability procedures for cords and adapters facility-wide.</p>
I.3.b	All areas of the hospital that are occupied by individuals being served have adequate	Current findings on previous recommendation:

Section I: Protection from Harm

	<p>temperature control and deviations shall be promptly corrected;</p>	<p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice.</p> <p>Findings: Facility policy categorizes work orders related to temperature as Urgent Work Orders. The facility reported that all Urgent Work Orders were responded to within the same or by the next day. During the review period, the mean number of Urgent Work Orders per month was 179. Urgent Work Orders related to hot temperatures averaged 46 per month during the reporting period. Those related to cold temperatures averaged 37 per month.</p> <p>Other findings: During the tour, the residential units were of a comfortable temperature. No individuals complained about the temperature.</p> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>				
<p>I.3.c</p>	<p>Each State hospital reviews, revises, as appropriate, and implements procedures and practices so that individuals who are incontinent are assisted to change in a timely manner;</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue to ensure that individuals with the problem of incontinence are provided care and assistance appropriate to their needs.</p> <p>Findings: The facility reported the following data for the review period.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Criterion</th> <th>Compliance rate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Incontinence status is addressed in Present Status</td> <td>76%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Criterion	Compliance rate	Incontinence status is addressed in Present Status	76%
Criterion	Compliance rate					
Incontinence status is addressed in Present Status	76%					

Section I: Protection from Harm

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Incontinence identified in Focus 6</td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Objectives promote dignity and self-reliance</td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Individual is clean, dry and odor-free</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nursing staff explain how they assist the individual</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table> <p>Other findings: The review of the WRPs of ten individuals identified as having the problem of incontinence found that the problem was addressed in nine—a finding consistent with the facility's internal audit findings reported above.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Individual</th> <th>Focus 6</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>GG</td> <td>6.5</td> <td>Addresses urinary incontinence</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HE</td> <td>6.14</td> <td>Addresses incontinence when laughing/coughing</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JC</td> <td>6.23</td> <td>Addresses occasional enuresis</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JJ</td> <td>6.7</td> <td>Addresses nocturnal incontinence</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LF</td> <td>6.24</td> <td>Addresses urinary incontinence</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PB</td> <td>6.12</td> <td>Addresses urinary incontinence at night</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RJ</td> <td>6.12</td> <td>Addresses enuresis</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SD</td> <td>6.1</td> <td>Addresses incontinence at night</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SH</td> <td>6.26</td> <td>Addresses need for protective garment at night</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SK</td> <td></td> <td>No mention of incontinence</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>	Incontinence identified in Focus 6	95%	Objectives promote dignity and self-reliance	97%	Individual is clean, dry and odor-free	100%	Nursing staff explain how they assist the individual	100%	Individual	Focus 6		GG	6.5	Addresses urinary incontinence	HE	6.14	Addresses incontinence when laughing/coughing	JC	6.23	Addresses occasional enuresis	JJ	6.7	Addresses nocturnal incontinence	LF	6.24	Addresses urinary incontinence	PB	6.12	Addresses urinary incontinence at night	RJ	6.12	Addresses enuresis	SD	6.1	Addresses incontinence at night	SH	6.26	Addresses need for protective garment at night	SK		No mention of incontinence
Incontinence identified in Focus 6	95%																																										
Objectives promote dignity and self-reliance	97%																																										
Individual is clean, dry and odor-free	100%																																										
Nursing staff explain how they assist the individual	100%																																										
Individual	Focus 6																																										
GG	6.5	Addresses urinary incontinence																																									
HE	6.14	Addresses incontinence when laughing/coughing																																									
JC	6.23	Addresses occasional enuresis																																									
JJ	6.7	Addresses nocturnal incontinence																																									
LF	6.24	Addresses urinary incontinence																																									
PB	6.12	Addresses urinary incontinence at night																																									
RJ	6.12	Addresses enuresis																																									
SD	6.1	Addresses incontinence at night																																									
SH	6.26	Addresses need for protective garment at night																																									
SK		No mention of incontinence																																									
I.3.d	Each State hospital thoroughly reviews and revises, as appropriate, its policy and practice regarding sexual contact among individuals served at the hospital. Each State hospital shall	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Provide the findings from monitoring of WRPTs' responses to sexual</p>																																									

Section I: Protection from Harm

	<p>establish clear guidelines regarding staff response to reports of sexual contact and monitor staff response to incidents. Each State hospital documents comprehensively therapeutic interventions in the individual's charts in response to instances of sexual contact; and</p>	<p>incidents.</p> <p>Findings: The facility indicated that it had monitored 14 sexual incidents, but did not provide the findings.</p> <p>Other findings: In one of the three sexual incidents reviewed, the individual withdrew the allegation. TM withdrew the allegation that she was sexually abused by a staff member on 9/11/09—an allegation not reported until nine weeks after the alleged event. The incident was noted in the victim's WRP. The allegation of sexual assault made by CH was not substantiated after two individuals identified as witnesses denied the incident occurred. The incident was referenced in the victim's WRP. The 11/16/09 incident in which a male individual alleged he was touched inappropriately by a female individual was not referenced in the victim's WRP.</p> <p>Compliance: Partial, based on limited information.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Provide the findings from monitoring of WRPTs' responses to sexual incidents.</p>
<p>I.3.e</p>	<p>Each State hospital develops and implements clear guidelines stating the circumstances under which it is appropriate to utilize staff that is not trained to provide mental health services in addressing incidents involving individuals. Each State hospital ensures that persons who are likely to intervene in incidents are properly trained to work with individuals with mental health concerns.</p>	<p>Current findings on previous recommendation:</p> <p>Recommendation, December 2009: Continue current practice ensuring the availability of and monitoring of training for Mall facilitators.</p> <p>Findings: The facility's data indicates substantial compliance with the expectation that non-clinical staff members will complete a specific training curriculum.</p>

Section I: Protection from Harm

		Course	May 2009 - October 2009	November 2009 - April 2010
		PMAB	97%	99%
		CPR	92%	97%
		First Aid	94%	97%
		Recovery (Chapter 1)	86%	89%
		By Choice	90%	96%
		Patients Rights	92%	97%
		Neglect and Abuse	97%	99%
		Mean Compliance Rate	93%	96%
		<p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice, including monitoring.</p>		

J. First Amendment and Due Process		
J		<p>Summary of Progress:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The documents provided and the interview with Ms. Clark confirmed that the facility continues not only to be attentive to the concerns of individuals but to empower individuals. 2. Two individuals have seats on the Quality Council and have input into the development and revision of ADs. 3. The systematic identification of concerns by the Cooperative Council, the standardized procedures for bringing these to the attention of facility leadership, and the willingness of the individuals to acknowledge positive changes identify this Council as exemplary.
J	Each State hospital unconditionally permits individuals to exercise their constitutional rights of free speech, including the right to petition the government for redress of grievances without State monitoring, and provides them due process.	<p>Methodology:</p> <p><u>Interviewed:</u> Cynthia Clark, Administration Liaison to Individuals</p> <p><u>Reviewed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Central Council meeting minutes for January-May 2010 2. Individuals' Survey 3. Graphed survey results 4. Central Council Senate Roadmap for 2010
J		<p>Current findings on previous recommendations:</p> <p>Recommendation 1, December 2009: Continue to work toward a telephone system that reduces impediments to maintaining communication with family, friends and legal representatives.</p> <p>Findings: All of the Central Council minutes reviewed address the question telephone access and services. The Roadmap for 2010 states that PSH obtained a new</p>

statewide telephone service contract with Pacific Telephone Service, which is more responsive to concerns regarding services and repairs and has reduced the collect call rates; fewer individuals are having problems with blocked numbers.

Recommendation 2, December 2009:

Investigate the conditions that individuals describe enduring during outside medical appointments. Take measures to correct any conditions that unnecessarily compromise an individual's dignity. The first provision of the Protection from Harm section of the EP requires a humane environment.

Findings:

The May 21 Central Council minutes note that the Chief of Medical Services Dr. Mach and RN Supervisor J. Diaz attended the May 14 Hospital-Wide Senate meeting to discuss inside and community medical appointments. Dr. Mach spoke about his efforts to bring specialists to Patton. He has succeeded in arranging for consultation and treatment services on site for a surgeon specializing in infectious diseases, a physician specializing in rehabilitation, a gastroenterologist and a neurologist. He is continuing to try to recruit a dermatologist and a pulmonologist. Both of the speakers addressed the wisdom of addressing problems while they are small and continuity of care. Both the speakers and the individuals were pleased with the encounter, according to the minutes.

Other findings:

With the exception of the question asking if individuals believe staff believe they can get better, the answers to the other sampled questions remained essentially unchanged from the responses six months earlier.

Item	Percentage of positive responses	
	August 2009	February 2010
Feel safe?	71%	67%
Treated with respect?	72%	71%

Environment clean?	72%	71%
Encouraged to be of service to others?	55%	55%
Staff make sure rules are followed?	76%	74%
Unit's rules are fair?	69%	70%
Staff believe I can get better?	82%	75%
I have input into hospital rules and policies.	55%	53%

The facility provided survey data on a program-by-program basis as well as on a facility wide basis.

The Roadmap for 2010 lists the top eight concerns of the Council Senate as shown below:

Issue	2010 Rank
Unchecked violence continues to affect the quality of life at the hospital	1
Quality of Mall Groups	2
Telephone system with fewer restrictions	3
Designate one time slot per week in the afternoon for ward government meetings hospital-wide	4
Staff are so preoccupied with the EP that they have no time for us	5
We ought to be able to spend our own money as and when we choose	6
The growing frequency and poor quality of "alternative mall" treatment	7
Medical care at outside facilities	8

		<p>The second portion of the document is titled "Heartening Progress." The acknowledged improvements include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very positive changes in the Visiting Center resulting from the facility taking over most of the processes from CDCR. • Individuals are getting their packages much more expeditiously. • By Choice points are being posted daily. There is a greater variety of items added to the menu. By Choice is vastly improved over last year. • The evening and weekend leisure and recreation activities of the Supplemental Activity Program are valuable and fun. • The cleanliness of the units is generally improving. This is assisted by the absence of cigarette butts. During each meeting of the Senate, a clipboard is passed on which to report environmental concerns. This is provided directly to the Health and Safety Officer. • Treatment conferences have increased in quantity and quality. • Individuals' access to the policy-making process at the facility continues to improve and grow. Two individuals represent the interests of all individuals at the Quality Council, giving individuals input in the review of facility policies. Additionally, individuals are working to create an AD about patient government at the facility. • The Distance Learning Program via Coastline College is a "dream come true." • More individuals have Industrial Therapy assignments and are working for pay. <p>Compliance: Substantial.</p> <p>Current recommendation: Continue current practice.</p>
--	--	--